Overall Score:

**75 - Moderate**

Legal Framework Score:

**94 - Very Strong**

Actual Implementation Score:

**56 - Very Weak**

Category I. Civil Society, Public Information and Media

---

I-1. Civil Society Organizations

1. Are anti-corruption/good governance CSOs legally protected?

100

1a. In law, citizens have a right to form civil society organizations (CSOs) focused on anti-corruption or good governance.

**YES | NO**

Comments:
The national constitution guarantees the right of association.

References:
- National constitution
- Civil Code
- Law of Foundations 19.836

**YES:** A YES score is earned when freedom to assemble into groups promoting good governance or anti-corruption is protected by law, regardless of political ideology, religion or objectives. Groups with a history of violence or terrorism (within last ten years) may be banned. Groups sympathetic to or related to banned groups must be allowed if they have no history of violence.
NO: A NO score is earned when any single non-violent group is legally prohibited from organizing to promote good governance or anti-corruption. These groups may include non-violent separatist groups, political parties or religious groups.

1b. In law, anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are free to accept funding from any foreign or domestic sources.

YES | NO

Comments:
CSOs are free to accept foreign and domestic funding. They must disclose the information annually in their balance accounts. There is no prohibition in any legislation and other types of rules regulating CSOs activities.

References:
www.infoleg.gov.ar
www.afip.org
Law 22.325 Organica de la Inspeccion General de Justicia

YES: A YES score is earned if anti-corruption/good governance CSOs face no legal or regulatory restrictions to raise or accept funds from any foreign or domestic sources. A YES score may still be earned if funds from groups with a history of violence or terrorism (within last ten years) are banned.

NO: A NO score is earned if there any formal legal or regulatory bans on foreign or domestic funding sources for CSOs focused on anti-corruption or good governance.

1c. In law, anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are required to disclose their sources of funding.

YES | NO

Comments:
All CSOs must disclose annually their balance accounts. Many publish this documentation on the Web as well, i.e. on www.poderciudadano.org. There is a group of CSOs working to improve transparency and accountability of CSOs in Argentina. They promote the use of the internet to communicate all stakeholders. Acknowledged and well-known CSOs from different fields publish their accounts and lists of donor on the Web.

References:
www.infoleg.gov.ar
www.afip.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are required to publicly disclose their sources of funding.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such public disclosure requirement exists.

2. Are good governance/anti-corruption CSOs able to operate freely?
2a. In practice, the government does not create barriers to the organization of new anti-corruption/good governance CSOs.

Comments:
There are no registered problems in the case of CSOs promoting good governance in the country.

References:
Pilar Arcidiacono, CELS (Centro de esudios Legales y Sociales), Aug. 17, 2007
Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica – ClarinCom, Aug. 16, 2007

100: CSOs focused on promoting good governance or anti-corruption can freely organize with little to no interaction with the government, other than voluntary registration.

75:

50: CSOs focused on promoting good governance or anti-corruption must go through formal steps to form, requiring interaction with the state such as licenses or registration. Formation is possible, though there is some burden on the CSO. Some unofficial barriers, such as harassment of minority groups, may occur.

25:

0: Other than pro-government groups, CSOs focused on promoting good governance or anti-corruption are effectively prohibited, either by official requirements or by unofficial means, such as intimidation or fear.

2b. In practice, anti-corruption/good governance CSOs actively engage in the political and policymaking process.

Comments:
Despite the fact that anti-corruption and good governance organizations operate freely, their relevance in the policy making process has diminished recently. They have social recognition in the public opinion. However political leaders tend not to rely on NGOs. In the majority of cases, political leaders try to coopt and use the reputation of many of these NGOs. These organizations try to work with the public sector and political representatives. They usually work towards generating evidence and promoting recommendations for public policies. However, there has not been relevant success in the past year.

References:
Pilar Arcidiacono, CELS (Centro de esudios Legales y Sociales), Aug. 17, 2007
Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica – ClarinCom, Aug. 16, 2007

100: Civil society organizations focused on anti-corruption or good governance are an essential component of the political process. CSOs provide widely valued insights and have political power. Those CSOs play a leading role in shaping public opinion on political matters.
Anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are active, but may not be relevant to political decisions or the policymaking process. Those CSOs are willing to articulate opinions on political matters, but have little access to decision makers. They have some influence over public opinion, but considerably less than political figures.

Anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are effectively prohibited from engaging in the political process. Those CSOs are unwilling to take positions on political issues. They are not relevant to changes in public opinion.

2c. In practice, no anti-corruption/good governance CSOs have been shut down by the government for their work on corruption-related issues during the study period.

YES | NO

Comments:
There are no registered cases.

References:
Pilar Arcidiacono, CELS (Centro de estudios Legales y Sociales), Aug. 17, 2007
Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica – ClarinCom, Aug. 16, 2007

YES: A YES score is earned is there were no CSOs shut down by the government or forced to cease operations because of their work on corruption-related issues during the study period.

NO: A NO score is earned if any CSO has been effectively shut down by the government or forced to cease operations because of its work on corruption-related issues during the study period. The causal relationship between the cessation of operations and the CSO's work may not be explicit, however the burden of proof here is low. If it seems likely that the CSO was forced to cease operations due to its work, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

3. Are civil society activists safe when working on corruption issues?

100

3a. In practice, in the past year, no civil society activists working on corruption issues have been imprisoned.

YES | NO

Comments:
There were no registered cases. CSOs and their leaders work and operate freely.
References:
Pilar Arcidiacono, CELS (Centro de estudios Legales y Sociales), Aug. 17, 2007
Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica – ClarinCom, Aug. 16, 2007

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no CSO activists imprisoned because of their work covering corruption. YES is a positive score.

NO: A NO score is earned if any activist was jailed in relation to work covering corruption. The causal relationship between the official charges and the person’s work may not be explicit, however the burden of proof here is low. If it seems likely that the person was imprisoned due to his or her work, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes. "Imprisoned" is defined here as detention by the government lasting more than 24 hours.

3b. In practice, in the past year, no civil society activists working on corruption issues have been physically harmed.

YES | NO

Comments:
There were no registered cases.

References:
Pilar Arcidiacono, CELS (Centro de estudios Legales y Sociales), Aug. 17, 2007
Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica – ClarinCom, Aug. 16, 2007

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of CSO activists covering corruption being assaulted in the specific study period. A YES score can be earned if there was an attack but it was clearly unrelated to the activist’s work. YES is a positive score.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases during the study period of assault to an activist who covers corruption. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

3c. In practice, in the past year, no civil society activists working on corruption issues have been killed.

YES | NO

Comments:
There were no registered cases.

References:
Pilar Arcidiacono, CELS (Centro de estudios Legales y Sociales), Aug. 17, 2007
Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica – ClarinCom, Aug. 16, 2007
YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of CSO activists being killed because of their work covering corruption in the specific study period. YES is a positive score.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases during the study period where a person was killed related to a corruption trial, scandal or investigation. The relationship between a mysterious death and an individual's history may not be clear, however the burden of proof here is low. If it is reasonable that a person was killed in relation to his or her work on corruption issues, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

4. Can citizens organize into trade unions?

88

4a. In law, citizens have a right to organize into trade unions.

YES | NO

Comments:
The right to organize trade unions is guaranteed by the national constitution.

References:
National Constitution, Art 14
Law 23.551 of Trade Union Associations

YES: A YES score is earned when trade unions are allowed by law, regardless of political ideology, religion or objectives. Groups with a history of violence or terrorism (within last ten years) may be banned. Groups sympathetic to or related to banned groups must be allowed if they have no history of violence.

NO: A NO score is earned when any single non-violent trade union is legally prohibited by the government from organizing.

4b. In practice, citizens are able to organize into trade unions.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The CTA (Central de trabajadores Argentinos) was created in the 1990s. It gathers two trade unions: teachers and civil servants. Since its creation, the CTA has been critical towards the traditional CGT (Central General de Trabajadores). Since its creation, the CTA has not yet obtained the legal recognition by the government. The CGT has usually put pressure on the government to prevent it from legalizing the CTA situation. The CTA makes daily claims. The ILO/OIT (International Labour Organisation) has asked the Argentine government to legalize the CTA.

References:
http://www.minutouno.com/1/hoy/article/La-CTA-volvi%C3%B3-a-reclamar-su-reconocimiento-legal%E2%80%9Eid_45603.htm
100: Trade unions are common and are an important part to the political process and political discourse. Trade union organizers have widely understood rights. Trade unions are free from intimidation or violence.

75:

50: Trade unions exist, but are not always relevant to politics or policy debates. Barriers to organizing trade unions exist, such as intimidation at work, or retribution firings. Trade union organizers have some rights, but these may not be commonly known, or are difficult to defend.

25:

0: Trade unions are rare. Significant barriers to organization exist, including direct violence. Rights of union organizers are not widely known, or are ineffective in protecting organizers.

I-2. Media

5. Are media and free speech protected?

100

5a. In law, freedom of the media is guaranteed.

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution, Article 14.
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if freedom of the press is guaranteed in law, including to all political parties, religions, and ideologies.

NO: A NO score is earned if any specific publication relating to government affairs is legally banned, or any general topic is prohibited from publication. Specific restrictions on media regarding privacy or slander are allowed, but not if these amount to legal censorship of a general topic, such as corruption or defense. A NO score is earned if non-government media is prohibited or restricted.

5b. In law, freedom of speech is guaranteed.
YES: A YES score is earned if freedom of individual speech is guaranteed in law, including to all political parties, religions, and ideologies.

NO: A NO score is earned if any individual speech is legally prohibited, regardless of topic. Specific exceptions for speech linked with a criminal act, such as a prohibition on death threats, are allowed. However, any non-specific prohibition earns a NO score.

6. Are citizens able to form print media entities?

94

6a. In practice, the government does not create barriers to form a print media entity.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
There are no barriers against creating print media entities. There are no registered cases of political interference at the national level.

References:
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007
Interview: Florencia Bianco, Poder Ciudadano / Infocivica, Aug. 15, 2007

100: Print media entities can freely organize with little to no interaction with the government. This score may still be earned if groups or individuals with a history of political violence or terrorism (within last ten years) are banned from forming media entities.

75:

50: Formation of print media groups is possible, though there is some burden on the media group including overly complicated registration or licensing requirements. Some unofficial barriers, such as harassment of minority groups, may occur.

25:

0: Print media groups are effectively prohibited, either by official requirements or by unofficial means, such as intimidation or fear.

6b. In law, where a print media license is necessary, there is an appeal mechanism if a license is denied or revoked.
YES | NO

Comments:
A print license is not necessary.

References:
National Constitution, Article 14
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there is, in law or in accompanying regulations, a formal process to appeal a denied print media license, including through the courts. A YES score is also earned if no print license is necessary.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no appeal process for print media licenses.

6c. In practice, where necessary, citizens can obtain a print media license within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
There is no need for a license.

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007

100: Licenses are not required or licenses can be obtained within two months.

75:

50: Licensing is required and takes more than two months. Some groups may be delayed up to six months.

25:

0: Licensing takes close to or more than one year for most groups.

6d. In practice, where necessary, citizens can obtain a print media license at a reasonable cost.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0
Comments:
It is not necessary to obtain a license for print media.

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Licenses are not required or can be obtained at minimal cost to the organization. Licenses can be obtained on-line or through the mail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Licenses are required, and impose a financial burden on the organization. Licenses may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Licenses are required, and impose a major financial burden on the organization. Licensing costs are prohibitive to the organization.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Are citizens able to form broadcast (radio and TV) media entities?

63

7a. In practice, the government does not create barriers to form a broadcast (radio and TV) media entity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Broadcast media entities can freely organize with little to no interaction with the government. Media groups have equal access to broadcast bandwidth through a reasonably fair distribution system. This score may still be earned if groups or individuals with a history of political violence or terrorism (within last ten years) are banned from forming media entities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Formation of broadcast media groups is possible, though there is some burden on the media group including overly complicated registration or licensing requirements. Some unofficial barriers, such as harassment of minority groups, may occur. Division of broadcast bandwidth is widely viewed to be somewhat unfair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
The formation of broadcast media groups is possible, although there is some burden on the media group, including overly complicated registration or licensing requirements. There are also some restrictions coming from economic investors. In 2007, a news cable channel (C5N) went on the air. The main owner, who used to have a critical view on government policies in the past, has changed his attitude towards government officials since 2006.

References:
Interview to Florencia Bianco, Poder Ciudadano / Infocivica, Aug. 15, 2007
Interview to Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
0: Broadcast media groups are effectively prohibited, either by official requirements or by unofficial means, such as intimidation or fear. This score is appropriate if the division of broadcast bandwidth is widely viewed to be used as a political tool.

7b. In law, where a broadcast (radio and TV) media license is necessary, there is an appeal mechanism if a license is denied or revoked.

**YES | NO**

Comments:
Offerers may present impugnations before the selection process is finished. However, the administrative process does not offer an appeal mechanism. The judicial process is guaranteed by the national constitution.

References:
Decree 286/81
Law 22.285

**YES**: A YES score is earned if there is, in law or in accompanying regulations, a formal process to appeal a denied broadcast media license, including through the courts. A YES score is also earned if no broadcast license is necessary.

**NO**: A NO score is earned if there is no appeal process for broadcast media licenses.

7c. In practice, where necessary, citizens can obtain a broadcast (radio and TV) media license within a reasonable time period.

**100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0**

Comments:
The lack of transparency in many licensing processes may open a window of opportunities for corruption or pressures on the solicitor. There might be political interferences which will delay the process. The licensing time might be quicker or slower depending on the lobbying capacity and political connections of the solicitor. The process is very connected with the business and investment climate in general. There are no registered cases in which a license was denied.

References:
Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007
Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

**100**: Licenses are not required or licenses can be obtained within two months.

**75:**

**50**: Licensing is required and takes more than two months. Some groups may be delayed up to six months.

**25:**
0: Licensing takes close to or more than one year for most groups.

7d. In practice, where necessary, citizens can obtain a broadcast (radio and TV) media license at a reasonable cost.

|   | 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
National licensing is concentrated in the national capital. This might put some restrictions on small and medium-size broadcast media from other cities.

References:
Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007
Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Licenses are not required or can be obtained at minimal cost to the organization. Licenses can be obtained on-line or through the mail.

75:

50: Licenses are required, and impose a financial burden on the organization. Licenses may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Licenses are required, and impose a major financial burden on the organization. Licensing costs are prohibitive to the organization.

8. Can citizens freely use the Internet?

100

8a. In practice, the government does not prevent citizens from accessing content published online.

|   | 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
There is total freedom of online contents.

References:
INDEC, 2005, Accesos a Internet” (official report)
http://www.indec.gov.ar/nuevaweb/cuadros/14/internet_09_05.pdf;
ISOC.AR
100: The government does not prevent Internet users from accessing online content. While some forms of content may be illegal to download or own (such as child pornography), the government does not manipulate networks to prevent access to this information. This indicator addresses direct government intervention in the transfer of information, not indirect deterrents such as intimidation, surveillance or technical difficulties in countries with poor infrastructure.

75:

50: Internet users are prevented by the government from reaching online content in some cases. Government tactics may include firewalls preventing access to networks in other countries, or manipulating search engine results to exclude politically sensitive topics.

25:

0: Internet users are routinely prevented from accessing online content. Government restrictions are in place at all times for certain topics. Government tactics may include firewalls preventing access to networks in other countries, or manipulating search engine results to exclude politically sensitive topics.

8b. In practice, the government does not censor citizens creating content online.

Comments:
25 percent of Argentine citizens use the internet. There are no registered cases of internet censorship by the government. Citizens use Web blogs to publish critical information, or to vote for social claims (See www.greenpeace.org: The Case of Forest Law").

References:
Blogs: una explosión en cadena que no se detiene", May 5, 2007 http://www.clarin.com;
D'Alessio/IROL, "Internet en Argentina, 2005/6" (http://www.clarin.com/diario/2006/10/20/um/libro2.pdf);
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: The government never removes online information or disables servers due to their political content. All political speech is protected with limited exceptions, such as legitimate intellectual property restrictions; direct calls to violence; or pornography.

75:

50: In some cases, the government restricts political speech by its citizens on the Internet. This is accomplished either directly by controlling servers hosting restricted content, or indirectly through threats or intimidation against the persons posting political content.

25:

0: The government regularly restricts political speech by its citizens on the Internet. This is accomplished either directly by controlling servers hosting the restricted content, or indirectly through threats or intimidation against the persons posting political content.

9. Are the media able to report on corruption?

92

9a. In law, it is legal to report accurate news even if it damages the reputation of a public figure.
YES: The right of freedom of speech is guaranteed by the national constitution. If a piece of news is not accurate, the affected party can go to court.

References:
National Constitution, Article 14
www.infoelg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if it is legal to report accurate information on public figures regardless of damage to their reputations. Public figures are defined broadly, including anyone in a position of responsibility in the government or civil service; any political leader; leaders of civil society groups including religious groups, trade unions, or NGOs; leaders or officers of large businesses. A YES score can still be earned if a reckless disregard for the truth (i.e. slander) is prohibited.

NO: A NO score is earned if privacy laws protect any public figures (as defined in the YES coding) from accurate information.

9b. In practice, the government or media owners/distribution groups do not encourage self-censorship of corruption-related stories.

100: The government, its proxies, or media ownership/distribution groups make no attempt to restrict media coverage of corruption-related issues through unofficial means.

75:

50: The government, its proxies, or media ownership/distribution groups make some attempts to restrict media coverage of corruption-related issues through unofficial means, such as restricting access by disfavored media outlets, or other short-term consequences. Violent reprisals against media outlets are rare.

25:
The government, its proxies, or media ownership/distribution groups actively use illegal methods to restrict reporting of corruption-related issues. This may include harassment, arrests, and threats. Journalists and publishers take a personal risk to report on corruption, and media outlets who commonly report on corruption face long-term consequences or violent reprisals.

9c. In practice, there is no prior government restraint (pre-publication censoring) on publishing corruption-related stories.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
There are no registered cases at the national level.

References:
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007;
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
FOPEA. Foro de Periodismo Argentino
www.fopea.org

100: The government never prevents publication of controversial corruption-related materials.

75:

50: The government prevents publication of controversial corruption-related material in cases where there is a strong political incentive to suppress the information. This score is appropriate if in countries where illiteracy is high, the government may allow a free print press but censor broadcast media.

25:

0: The government regularly censors material prior to publication, especially politically sensitive or damaging corruption-related material. This score is appropriate even if the government restricts only politically damaging news while allowing favorable coverage.

10. Are the media credible sources of information?

70

10a. In law, print media companies are required to disclose their ownership.

YES | NO

Comments:
Experts on media ownership have shown that Argentina has higher media ownership concentration than the average in Latin America. The four main operators in print media, open TV and cable dominate more than 75 percent of the market.
YES: A YES score is earned if print media companies are required by law to disclose all owners of the company.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no such requirement or if the requirement is optional, only partially applicable, or exempts certain types of entities or agents from being disclosed.

10b. In law, broadcast (radio and TV) media companies are required to disclose their ownership.

YES | NO

YES: A YES score is earned if broadcast media companies are required by law to disclose all owners of the company.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no such requirement or if the requirement is optional, only partially applicable, or exempts certain type of entities or agents from being disclosed.

10c. In practice, journalists and editors adhere to strict, professional practices in their reporting.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The NGO FOPEA that gathers journalists throughout the country is implementing a Code of Ethics for its members. There is no general Code of Ethics regulated at the national level.

References:
http://www.fopea.org/contenido/c_digo_de_tica_de_fopea;
Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007

100: Editors and journalists at the major media outlets abide by a strict journalistic code of conduct and are unwilling to alter their coverage of a particular issue, event or person in exchange for money, gifts, or other favors or remuneration.

75:

50: Editors and journalists at the major media outlets generally avoid altering coverage in exchange for favors but some exceptions have been noted. Not all newsrooms abide by a formal journalistic code of conduct.

25:
Editors and journalists are widely known to sell favorable or unfavorable coverage in exchange for money, gifts, or other remuneration. The major media outlets do not abide by any formal journalistic code of conduct.

In practice, during the most recent election, political parties or independent candidates received fair media coverage.

Comments:
Candidates leading public opinion polls tend to have more media coverage. In general there is no balanced coverage in TV and radio. Another fact that might be disbalancing coverage is related to candidates buying advertising time and space. There seems to be a connection between advertising and coverage. This situation is worst at provincial levels.

References:
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

All political parties and independent candidates have some access to media outlets. Individual media outlets may have biases, but on balance, the national media coverage reflects the interests of the electorate. Media groups generally act as disinterested parties in an election. In places where a government is popular with the public, opposition viewpoints can access the public via media outlets.

Major popular media outlets have a persistent bias regarding some parties or independent candidates. Some major parties may be partially excluded from media coverage, or draw more negative coverage. Media sectors may have distinct biases, such as newspapers favoring one party, while radio favors another.

The mass media, on balance, have clear preferences in election outcomes and coverage is driven to achieve these goals. Some major parties or independent candidates are excluded or consistently negatively portrayed by mass media. Dissenting political opinions are only found on fringe or elite media outlets, such as Web sites.

In practice, political parties and candidates have equitable access to state-owned media outlets.

The government ensures that equal access and fair treatment of election contestants is provided by all state-owned media outlets, including all electronic and print media. This obligation extends to news reports, editorial comment, and all other content. All parties and candidates are offered consistent and equivalent rates for campaign advertising on state-owned media outlets.
The government generally ensures equal access and fair treatment of all candidates and parties by state-owned media outlets but some exceptions exist. State-owned media may occasionally discriminate against particular parties or candidates and advertising rates may be confusing or non-transparent.

The government uses state-owned media to routinely discriminate against opposition candidates and parties. Advertising space may be denied to opposition candidates and parties or higher rates may be charged.

11. Are journalists safe when investigating corruption?

YES | NO

11a. In practice, in the past year, no journalists investigating corruption have been imprisoned.

References:
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
FOPEA. Foro de Periodismo Argentino
www.fopea.org

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no journalists imprisoned related to work covering corruption during the study period. A YES score is positive.

NO: A NO score is earned if any journalist was jailed because of his/her work covering corruption during the study period. The causal relationship between the official charges and the journalist's work may not be explicit, however the burden of proof here is low. If it seems likely that the journalist was imprisoned due to his or her work, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes. Imprisoned* is defined here as detention by the government lasting more than 24 hours.

11b. In practice, in the past year, no journalists investigating corruption have been physically harmed.

YES | NO

References:
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007;
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
FOPEA. Foro de Periodismo Argentino
www.fopea.org
YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of journalists being assaulted during the specific study period for their work covering corruption issues. A YES score is positive.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases of assault to a journalist covering corruption during the study period. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

11c. In practice, in the past year, no journalists investigating corruption have been killed.

YES | NO

References:
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007;
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
FOPEA. Foro de Periodismo Argentino
www.fopea.org

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of journalists being killed because of their work covering corruption-related issues during the study period. A YES score is positive.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases where a journalist was killed in relation to his or her work covering corruption-related issues in the study period. The relationship between a mysterious death and an individual’s work may not be clear, however the burden of proof here is low. If it is a reasonable guess that a person was killed in relation to his or her work on corruption issues, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.
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I-3. Public Access to Information

12. Do citizens have a legal right of access to information?

YES | NO

Comments:
There is this constitutional right. However, the is no law of access to information. The executive regulated this right by decree 1172/2003.
YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal right to access government documents, including constitutional guarantees. Exceptions can be made for national security reasons or individual privacy, but they should be limited in scope. All other government documents should be available upon a public request.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no such right.

12b. In law, citizens have a right of appeal if access to a basic government record is denied.

YES | NO

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process of appeal for rejected information requests. A YES score can still be earned if the appeals process involves redress through the courts rather than administrative appeal.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no such formal process.

12c. In law, there is an established institutional mechanism through which citizens can request government records.

YES | NO

Comments:
There is a formal mechanism in the executive branch (Decree 1172/2003). There are no regulated mechanisms in Congress and the judiciary. The absence of a national law created several interpretations in Congress and the judiciary. In a different way, decree 1772/2003 has guaranteed better access to information. There is no unique government office where citizens can request information.

References:
Decree 1172/2003
www.infoleg.gov.ar
www.mejordemocracia.gov.ar
within agencies or ministries) or an electronic request system.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there is no such formal mechanism or institution.

### 13. Is the right of access to information effective?

#### 13a. In practice, citizens receive responses to access to information requests within a reasonable time period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
Decree 1772/2003 guarantees that requested information can be obtained within a month (considering the request of 10 days delay by the administration). However, there are no regulated times for the legislative and the judiciary. In general, there is more information available on the Web. The executive publishes procurement information on www.argentinacompra.gov.ar. Many requestors usually find it difficult to access to information and need to go to courts.

**References:**
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
Interview: Pilar Arcidiacono, CELS, Aug. 17, 2007;
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007

#### 13b. In practice, citizens can use the access to information mechanism at a reasonable cost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**References:**
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

13c. In practice, citizens can resolve appeals to access to information requests within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007

100: The agency/entity acts on appeals quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, appeals are acknowledged promptly and cases move steadily towards resolution.

75:

50: The agency/entity acts on appeals quickly but with some exceptions. Some appeals may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

25:

0: The agency/entity does not resolve appeals in a timely fashion quickly. Appeals may be unacknowledged for many months and simple issues may take more than three months to resolve.

13d. In practice, citizens can resolve appeals to information requests at a reasonable cost.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007
In most cases, the appeals mechanism is an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to challenge an access to information determination.

In some cases, the appeals mechanism is not an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to challenge an access to information determination.

The prohibitive cost of utilizing the access to information appeals mechanism prevents middle class citizens from challenging access to information determinations.

In practice, the government gives reasons for denying an information request. The executive must explain the requestor why a piece of information is not disclosed. In the case of the legislative and the judiciary, they do not usually explain the reasons for the denial.

The government always discloses to the requestor the specific, formal reasons for denying information requests. The government usually discloses reasons for denying an information request to the requestor, with some exceptions. The reasons may be vague or difficult to obtain.

The government does not regularly give reasons for denying an information request to the requestor.

Category II. Elections

II-1. Voting & Citizen Participation

14. Is there a legal framework guaranteeing the right to vote?
14a. In law, universal and equal adult suffrage is guaranteed to all citizens.

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if the right to vote is guaranteed to all citizens of the country (basic age limitations are allowed). A YES score can still be earned if voting procedures are, in practice, inconvenient or unfair.

NO: A NO score is earned if suffrage is denied by law to any group of adult citizens for any reason. Citizen is defined broadly, to include all ethnicities, or anyone born in the country. A NO score is earned if homeless or impoverished people are legally prohibited from voting.

14b. In law, there is a legal framework requiring that elections be held at regular intervals.

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a statutory or other framework enshrined in law that mandates elections at reasonable intervals.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such framework exists.

15. Can all citizens exercise their right to vote?

100

15a. In practice, all adult citizens can vote.
100: Voting is open to all citizens regardless of race, gender, prior political affiliations, physical disability, or other traditional barriers.

75:

50: Voting is often open to all citizens regardless of race, gender, prior political affiliations, physical disability, or other traditional barriers, with some exceptions.

25:

0: Voting is not available to some demographics through some form of official or unofficial pressure. Voting may be too dangerous, expensive, or difficult for many people.

15b. In practice, ballots are secret or equivalently protected.

100: Ballots are secret, or there is a functional equivalent protection, in all cases.

75:

50: Ballots are secret, or there is a functional equivalent protection, in most cases. Some exceptions to this practice have occurred. Ballots may be subject to tampering during transport or counting.

25:

0: Ballot preferences are not secret. Ballots are routinely tampered with during transport and counting.

15c. In practice, elections are held according to a regular schedule.
16. Are citizens able to participate equally in the political process?
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16a. In law, all citizens have a right to form political parties.

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar;
Law 23.298
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if citizens have the right to form political parties without interference from government. A YES score may still be earned if groups or individuals with a history of violence or terrorism (within last ten years) are banned from forming political parties. Non-discriminatory minimal criteria (e.g. minimum age) are also allowed.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are any legal or regulatory restrictions or prohibitions barring any types of political parties from being formed.

16b. In law, all citizens have a right to run for political office.

YES | NO
**References:**
National Constitution  
www.infoleg.gov.ar;  
National Electoral Code  
www.infoleg.gov.ar

**YES:** A YES score is earned if all citizens (citizen is defined broadly, to include all ethnicities, or anyone born in the country) have the right under law to run for political office. A YES score may still be earned if individuals with a history of violence, terrorism, or criminality are banned from running for office.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there are any legal restrictions barring certain individuals or groups from running for political office.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
Legal requirements are very flexible. There are almost 40 national parties and more than 500 districtal ones with legal recognition. After the 2001 crisis, the party system has fragmented and new political options emerged. Many experts are critical of the flexibility of the procedures for the creation of new parties.

**References:**
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;  
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007;  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**16c. In practice, all citizens are able to form political parties.**

**100:** While there is no guarantee of electoral success, political parties can form freely without opposition.

**75:**

**50:** Some barriers to formation are present, such as burdensome registration requirements that may not be fairly applied. Some parties' political viewpoints may draw pressure from the government, such as surveillance or intimidation. Some political parties or organizations may have extra barriers to getting on a ballot.

**25:**

**0:** Some political parties are effectively barred from forming through some manner of official or unofficial pressure. This may include threats, arrest, or violence from competing parties or other groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
The costs of campaigning are high. Small parties tend to form alliances.
While there is no guarantee of electoral success, anyone can run for office under transparent and equitable guidelines. There is a formal process for access to the ballot which is fairly applied. The costs of running a campaign are reasonable and do not deter candidates from entering a race.

Some barriers exist to getting on the ballot and bureaucratic or regulatory requirements for doing so may be unfairly applied. The costs of running a political campaign are significant and result in dissuading some candidates from running for office.

Citizens can effectively be barred from the ballot through government abuse of official rules and/or unofficial pressure. The costs of running a campaign are extremely high and result in most average citizens being unable to run an effective campaign for office.

In practice, an opposition party is represented in the legislature.

The opposition party always has some influence on the proceedings of the legislature. The opposition party can introduce legislation or bring pending matters to a vote without the consent of the ruling party.

The opposition party has influence on the proceeding of the legislature, but it is limited in scope. The opposition’s ability to force votes or publicly debate certain topics may be limited.

The opposition party has only token participation in the legislature’s proceedings and cannot advance legislation or force a debate.

II-2. Election Integrity
17. In law, is there an election monitoring agency or set of election monitoring agencies/entities?

**YES**    **NO**

Comments:
The judiciary is in charge of organizing and monitoring elections at the national level.

References:
Poder Judicial de la Nacion, Fuero Electoral
www.pjn.gov.ar

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is a domestic agency or set of domestic agencies/entities formally assigned to ensure the integrity of the election process.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if no domestic agency or set of domestic agencies/entities that monitors elections. A NO score is earned if elections are only monitored by an agency informally, such as poll booth monitoring by the police, only by international observers, or only by NGOs. A NO score is earned if the domestic election agency or set of domestic agencies simply facilitates the process of voting but is not empowered to report violations or abuses.

18. Is the election monitoring agency effective?

**70**

18a. In law, the agency or set of agencies/entities is protected from political interference.

**YES**    **NO**

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar

**YES:** A YES score is earned only if the agency or set of agencies/entities has some formal organizational independence from the bodies contesting in the election. A YES score is still earned even if the entity is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.
**NO:** A NO score is earned if the election monitoring agency or set of agencies/entities is legally tied to bodies contesting the election (i.e. an executive branch agency such as the Interior Ministry, or a committee of the legislature). A NO score is automatically earned if there is no domestic election monitoring agency.

18b. In practice, agency (or set of agencies/entities) appointments are made that support the independence of the agency.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Many judges were selected before the constitutional reform in 1994. The independence of some judges has been questioned. Two of the three judges at the Electoral Court of Appeal (Camara Nacional Electoral) were appointed after the constitutional reform through the Judicial Council (Consejo de la Magistratura). As judges have life tenure, appointments are not periodical.

References:

100: Appointments to the agency or set of agencies/entities are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.

75:

50: Appointments are usually based on professional qualifications. However, individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties.

25:

0: Appointments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

18c. In practice, the agency or set of agencies/entities has a professional, full-time staff.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
There is not enough staff.

References:

100: The agency or set of agencies/entities has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.
The agency or set of agencies/entities has limited staff, or staff without necessary qualifications to fulfill its basic mandate.

0: The agency or set of agencies/entities has no staff, or such a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

18d. In practice, the agency or set of agencies/entities makes timely, publicly available reports following an election cycle.

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007

100: Reports are released to the public on a predictable schedule, without exceptions.

75:

50: Reports are released, but may be delayed, difficult to access, or otherwise limited.

25:

0: The agency or set of agencies/entities makes no public reports, issues reports which are effectively secret, or issues reports of no value.

18e. In practice, when necessary, the agency or set of agencies/entities imposes penalties on offenders.

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
Transparency International / The Carter Center, 2007,

100: When rules violations are discovered, the agency or set of agencies/entities is aggressive in penalizing offenders and/or in cooperating with other agencies in penalizing offenders.

75:
The agency or set of agencies/entities enforces rules, but is limited in its effectiveness. The agency may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, reluctant to cooperate with other agencies, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

0: The agency or set of agencies/entities does not effectively penalize offenders and/or cooperate with other agencies in penalizing offenders. The agency may make judgments but not enforce them, or may fail to make reasonable judgments against offenders. The agency may be partisan in its application of power.

19. Are elections systems transparent and effective?

63

19a. In practice, there is a clear and transparent system of voter registration.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: There is a transparent system of voter registration that provides voters with sufficient time to understand their rights, check the accuracy of their registration, and ensure that errors are corrected before they vote.

75:

50: There is a transparent voter registration system that provides voters with sufficient time to understand their rights, check the accuracy of their registration, and ensure that errors are corrected before they vote but there are some problems. Voters may have not access to registration lists with sufficient time to correct errors before voting or registration lists may at times be inaccessible.

25:

0: The system of voter registration is incomplete or does not exist. Government may routinely falsify registration lists to affect voting patterns and limit access to the polls. Double voting and ghost voting by non-existent voters is common.

19b. In law, election results can be contested through the judicial system.

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar;
National Electoral Code
www.infoleg.gov.ar
YES: A YES score is earned if citizens or political parties can challenge allegedly fraudulent election results through the courts or other judicial mechanisms.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no legal right for citizens or political parties to challenge allegedly fraudulent election results in the courts or other judicial mechanisms.

19c. In practice, election results can be effectively appealed through the judicial system.

Comments:
Since democratization in 1983 there have been no complaints about national results. 75 to 80 percent of the registered population usually votes in national elections.

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
Interview: Ines Selvood, Plaza Publica / Clarin, Aug. 16, 2007

100: The electoral appeals mechanism takes cases from both candidates complaining of flaws in the electoral process as well as citizens bringing complaints related to denial of suffrage or registration errors. There is an expedited process for resolving such complaints to avoid delaying a timely announcement of electoral results.

75:

50: The electoral appeals mechanism takes complaints from both candidates and voters but may not always act on complaints promptly. The appeals mechanism may be abused at times by parties or candidates seeking to delay the announcement of electoral results.

25:

0: The electoral appeals mechanism rarely or never acts on complaints brought by candidates or citizens. Citizens may not be able to bring complaints related to denial of suffrage or voter registration errors.

19d. In practice, the military and security forces remain neutral during elections.

Comments:
The military have not interfered with political campaigns and voting since redemocratization.

References:
Interview to Pilar Arcidiacono, CELS Aug. 17, 2007;
Interview to Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
The military, military officers, and other security forces refrain from overtly supporting or opposing political candidates or commenting on elections. The military or security forces refrain from physically interfering with political campaigns, rallies, or voting.

The military, military officers, and security forces may be known to unofficially support or oppose particular candidates or parties. The military or security forces generally refrain from the use of force to support or oppose particular candidates or parties but there are exceptions.

The military or other security forces are an active and explicit player in politics and overly support or oppose particular candidates or parties. The military or security forces routinely exercise the use of force to support or oppose parties or candidates.

19e. In law, domestic and international election observers are allowed to monitor elections.

YES | NO

Comments:
There is no law regulating electoral observation. All electoral regulations give the public authorities and the political parties in competition the right to participate during the organization of elections and the counting of the votes. Third parties are not allowed. However, NGOs are asking for official recognition of domestic and international observation (Poder Ciudadano). Because Argentina is a member state, the international observation is ruled by the OAS Inter-American Democratic Charter.

References:
Poder Ciudadano, 2006,
Seguimiento civic a las elecciones para convencionales constituyentes de Misiones. www.poderciudadano.org

YES: A YES score is earned if domestic and international election observers are allowed to monitor the electoral process.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are any legal or regulatory prohibitions on the monitoring of the electoral process by domestic or international election observers.

19f. In practice, election observers are able to effectively monitor elections.

Comments:
The electoral process has been ruled by the authorities and political parties since forever in Argentina.

References:
Poder Ciudadano, 2006,
Seguimiento civic a las elecciones para convencionales constituyentes de Misiones. www.poderciudadano.org
Election observers have unfettered access to polling sites, counting stations, and voters themselves. The government does not interfere with the observers' activities.

Election observers generally have access to polling sites, counting stations, and voters but encounter restrictions in certain areas. The government may impose burdensome regulatory or bureaucratic requirements on observers to discourage their involvement.

Election observers' movements are significantly limited by the government and many polling and counting sites are restricted or barred from observers. The government imposes so many bureaucratic or regulatory burdens on the observers that their mission is rendered ineffective.

II-3. Political Financing

20. Are there regulations governing political financing?

100

20a. In law, there are regulations governing private contributions to political parties.

YES | NO

References:
Law 26.215
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there are any formal rules (by law or regulation) controlling private contributions to political parties.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no regulation of private contributions to political parties.

20b. In law, there are limits on individual donations to candidates and political parties.

YES | NO
YES: A YES score is earned if there are any limits, regardless of size, on individual contributions to political candidates and political parties. A YES score is earned if individual contributions are prohibited.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no limits on contributions from individuals. A NO score is also earned if limits are applied by the government on opposition parties/candidates in a discriminatory manner.

20c. In law, there are limits on corporate donations to candidates and political parties.

YES | NO

YES: A YES score is earned if there are any limits, regardless of size, on corporate contributions to political candidates and political parties. A YES score is earned if contributions are prohibited.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no limits on corporate contributions to candidates or political parties. A NO score is also earned if limits are applied by the government on opposition parties/candidates in a discriminatory manner.

20d. In law, there are limits on total political party expenditures.

YES | NO

YES: A YES score is earned if there are any limits, regardless of size, on political party expenditures. A YES score is earned if all party expenditures are prohibited.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no limits on political party expenditures. A NO score is also earned if limits are applied by the government on opposition parties in a discriminatory manner.

20e. In law, there are requirements for disclosure of donations to political candidates and parties.
### YES: A YES score is earned if there are any requirements mandating the disclosure of financial contributions to political parties or candidates.

### NO: A NO score is earned if there are no requirements mandating the disclosure of contributions to political parties or candidates, existing regulations do not require a donor’s name or amount given, or the regulations allow for anonymous donations.

#### 20f. In law, there are requirements for the independent auditing of the finances of political parties and candidates.

### YES | NO

### References:
- Law 26.215
- www.infoleg.gov.ar

---

### YES: A YES score is earned if there is a legal or regulatory requirement for independent auditing of candidate and party finances. The auditing is performed by an impartial third-party.

### NO: A NO score is earned if there are no legal or regulatory requirements for the independent auditing of political parties and candidates or if such requirements exist but allow for candidates or parties to self-audit.

#### 20g. In law, there is an agency or entity that monitors the political financing process.

### YES | NO

### References:
- Law 26.215
- www.infoleg.gov.ar

---

### YES: A YES score is earned if there is a domestic agency or set of domestic agencies/entities formally assigned to monitor and enforce laws and regulations around political financing. A YES score is earned even if the agency/entity is ineffective in practice.

### References:
- Law 26.215
- www.infoleg.gov.ar
21. Are the regulations governing political financing effective?

29

21a. In practice, the limits on individual donations to candidates and political parties are effective in regulating an individual’s ability to financially support a candidate or political party.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments: The control is ineffective. Some of the limitations are the result of the lack of resources – human, economic and technological. For the monitoring agency it is very difficult to prove with contrasting data that money flows to campaigns illegally.

References:
Transparency International / The carter Center, 2007.
Proyecto Crinis. Dinero en la politica, asunto de todos
www.transparency.org;
Interview to Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Existing limits represent the full extent to which an individual can directly or indirectly financially support a candidate or political party. Limits are reasonably low enough in the context of the total costs of running a campaign.

75:

50: Existing limits generally represent the full extent to which an individual can directly or indirectly financially support a candidate or political party. However, exceptions and loopholes exist through which individuals can indirectly support candidates or political parties above and beyond those formal limitations. Such loopholes could include making donations to third-party groups that advocate on behalf of (or against) a particular candidate or party; unregulated loans to candidates or parties (rather than direct donations); or in-kind support that is not explicitly regulated by laws or regulations. The limits may be too high in the context of the overall costs of running a campaign.

25:

0: Existing limits are routinely bypassed or willfully ignored. The vast majority of individual contributions to a candidate or political party are made outside of the formal limitation system. There is no enforcement of violations. Limits are so high that they are meaningless in the context of the overall costs of running a campaign.

21b. In practice, the limits on corporate donations to candidates and political parties are effective in regulating a company’s ability to financially support a candidate or political party.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Transparency International / The carter Center, 2007.
100: Existing limits represent the full extent to which a company can directly or indirectly financially support a candidate or political party. Limits are reasonably low enough in the context of the total costs of running a campaign to be meaningful.

75:

50: Existing limits generally represent the full extent to which a company can directly or indirectly financially support a candidate or political party. However, exceptions and loopholes exist through which companies can indirectly support candidates or political parties above and beyond those formal limitations. Such loopholes could include making to donations to third-party groups that advocate on behalf of (or against) a particular candidate or party; unregulated loans to candidates or parties (rather than direct donations); or in-kind support that is not explicitly regulated by laws or regulations. The limits may be too high in the context of the overall costs of running a campaign.

25:

0: Existing limits are routinely bypassed or willfully ignored. The majority of corporate contributions to a candidate or political party are made outside of the formal limitation system. There is no enforcement of violations. Limits are so high that they are meaningless in the context of the overall costs of running a campaign.

21c. In practice, the limits on total party expenditures are effective in regulating a political party’s ability to fund campaigns or politically-related activities.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Only a few parties are in the position to surpass the limits. Usually these are the parties in the government, which tend to use public resources with electoral purposes. Companies tend to be reluctant to fund campaigns because of the disclosure policy. This does not prevent them from making in kind or illegal donations.

References:
Transparency International / The carter Center, 2007.
Proyecto Crinis. Dinero en la politica, asunto de todos
www.transparency.org;
Interview to Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Existing limits represent the full extent to which political parties are able to finance their activities. Limits are reasonably low enough in the context of the total costs of running a party to be meaningful.

75:

50: Existing limits generally represent the full extent to which a political party can finance its activities. However, exceptions and loopholes exist through which parties can generate revenue or finance their activities beyond the scope of existing regulations. Such loopholes could include taking loans that are outside of the scope of regulations covering direct donations; links to revenue-generating business activities that are beyond the scope of electoral or campaign-related regulations; or accepting in-kind support that is not explicitly regulated by laws or regulations. The limits may be too high in the context of the overall costs of running a party

25:

0: Existing limits are routinely bypassed or willfully ignored. The majority of expenditures are made outside of the formal limitation system. Limits are so high that they are meaningless in the context of the overall costs of running a party.
21d. In practice, when necessary, an agency or entity monitoring political financing independently initiates investigations.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Judges are very reluctant to investigate on their own. They are usually moved by the media or other parties in competition. This has not been very common.

References:
Transparency International / The carter Center, 2007.
Proyecto Crinis. Dinero en la politica, asunto de todos
www.transparency.org;
Interview to Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: The agency or entity aggressively starts investigations into allegations of wrongdoing with respect to political financing. The agency is fair in its application of this power.

75: The agency or entity will start investigations, but often relies on external pressure to set priorities, or has limited effectiveness when investigating. The agency, though limited in effectiveness, is still fair in its application of power.

50: The agency or entity rarely investigates on its own, or the agency or entity is partisan in its application of this power.

21e. In practice, when necessary, an agency or entity monitoring political financing imposes penalties on offenders.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Transparency International / The carter Center, 2007.
Proyecto Crinis. Dinero en la politica, asunto de todos
www.transparency.org;
Interview to Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: When rules violations are discovered, the agency or entity is aggressive in penalizing offenders.

75: The agency or entity enforces rules, but is limited in its effectiveness. The agency or entity may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

50: The agency or entity imposes penalties, but is limited in its effectiveness. The agency or entity may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.
0: The agency or entity does not effectively penalize offenders. The agency or entity may make judgments but not enforce them, or may fail to make reasonable judgments against offenders. The agency or entity may be partisan in its application of power.

21f. In practice, contributions to political parties and candidates are audited.

### 100: Political party and candidate finances are regularly audited using generally accepted auditing practices. This includes the auditing of nominally independent financial organizations that act as financial extensions of the party.

### 75: Political party and candidate finances (as defined) are audited, but audits are limited in some way, such as using inadequate auditing standards, or the presence of exceptions to disclosed contributions. Contributions to the political party or candidate may be sufficiently audited, but the auditing of nominally independent extensions of the party may not be.

### 50: Party and candidate finances are not audited, or the audits performed have no value in tracking contributions. Audits may be performed by entities known to be partisan or biased in their practices.

22. Can citizens access records related to political financing?

### 83

22a. In practice, political parties and candidates disclose data relating to financial support and expenditures within a reasonable time period.

### 100: Political parties and candidates disclose their sources of funding and expenditures at least every quarter.

**References:**

Proyecto Crinis. Dinero en la politica, asunto de todos www.transparency.org;
Interview to Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
Political parties and candidates disclose their sources of funding and expenditures only one or two times per year. Delays may occur when sensitive political information is involved.

0: Political parties and candidates never publish their sources of funding or expenditures or publish that information only rarely with more than a year in between publication. Politically sensitive information is regular withheld from public disclosure.

22b. In practice, citizens can access the financial records of political parties and candidates within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
In this case the oversight body has had a leading role in publishing online the official funding reports of the political parties.

References:
Transparency International / The carter Center, 2007.
Proyecto Crinis. Dinero en la politica, asunto de todos.
www.transparency.org;
Interview to Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

22c. In practice, citizens can access the financial records of political parties and candidates at a reasonable cost.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Transparency International / The carter Center, 2007.
Proyecto Crinis. Dinero en la politica, asunto de todos.
www.transparency.org;
Interview to Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007
100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

---

Category III. Government Accountability

III-1. Executive Accountability

23. In law, can citizens sue the government for infringement of their civil rights?

100

23. In law, can citizens sue the government for infringement of their civil rights?

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution

YES: A YES score is earned if all citizens (citizen is defined broadly, to include all ethnicities, or anyone born in the country) can receive compensation or redress through the courts for civil rights violations committed by the government, such as failure to follow due process of law when detaining suspected criminals.

NO: A NO score is earned if any group of citizens is excluded from the right to sue the government, or no such mechanism exists.

24. Can the chief executive be held accountable for his/her actions?

56
24a. In practice, the chief executive gives reasons for his/her policy decisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>The chief executive and/or cabinet ministers give formal explanations of all policy matters. The chief executive regularly takes critical questions from journalists or an opposition party, usually at least once a month. There is no censoring of such sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>The chief executive and/or cabinet ministers give explanations of policy, but not always in a timely or complete way. The chief executive occasionally takes critical questions from journalists or an opposition party, but not in a regular or formalized process. Particular issues of political sensitivity may be censored by government broadcasters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>The chief executive and/or cabinet ministers do not give substantial justifications for policy. Public appearances by the chief executive offer no exposure to critical questions. The government and government-run media routinely sensor such sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
President Kirchner has never given a press conference since 2003. He has attacked the press during several speeches throughout his administration. Cabinet ministers are less reluctant to talk to the media. However, it is said they are very careful when choosing the journalists they wish to speak to.

References:
Asociaciones de Entidades Periodisticas Argentinas
www.adepa.org.ar;

Foro de Periodismo Argentino
www.fopea.org.ar;

Kirchner dijo que la prensa lo quiere “hacer perder de cualquiera manera”
Clarín, June 26, 2007
www.clarin.com;

Gustavo Vittori: “El poder cortó la comunicación con la prensa”.
Interview with the president of ADEPA
published by La Nacion, Aug. 5, 2007
www.lanacion.com.ar

24b. In law, the judiciary can review the actions of the executive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>If a decision goes against the constitution, the judiciary must review it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
If a decision goes against the constitution, the judiciary must review it.
YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process by which the judiciary can pass judgments on the legality or constitutionality of actions taken by the executive.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such mechanism exists. A NO score is earned if judicial review is vaguely established in law or regulation without formal procedures. A NO score is earned if general exemptions exist with respect to executive actions that are reviewable (a national security exemption, for example).

24c. In practice, when necessary, the judiciary reviews the actions of the executive.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments: The general perception is that the judiciary acts in a very slow manner. In the case of many judges, their independence is under suspicion. However, the Supreme Court with its new members and decisions is becoming more reliable. The legitimacy of this Supreme Court has been built by the new selection process applied in 2003 after President Kirchner decided to put in practice the recommendations of a group of NGOs. The new selection process is open to the public and offers the possibility to participate by introducing public hearings and access to information while the candidates are reviewed by the Senate.

References:

Decree 222/2003. New selection process for Supreme Court Justices
www.infoleg.gov.ar

100: When constitutional or legal questions or possible violations are raised, the judiciary is aggressive in reviewing executive actions and can void illegal or unconstitutional actions. The judiciary is fair and nonpartisan in its application of this power. It does not need to relay upon the executive to initiate a constitutional or legal review.

75:

50: The judiciary will review executive actions, but is limited in its effectiveness. The judiciary may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically sensitive issues, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The judiciary does not effectively review executive policy. The judiciary may make judgments but not enforce them, or may fail to pass judgments on executive abuses. The judiciary may be partisan in its application of power. It must rely on instructions from the executive in order to initiate a legal or constitutional review.

24d. In practice, the chief executive limits the use of executive orders for establishing new regulations, policies, or government practices.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0
Comments:
A new study will be released soon. It shows that President Kichner has signed fewer necessity and urgency decrees in 2007. The official majority in Congress is extremely disciplined and the executive drafts are treated and passed quickly.

References:
Interview: Delia Ferreira Rubio, expert on the decisions of the executive, Aug. 14, 2007;
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: The chief executive utilizes executive orders only when there is no constitutional or legal requirement for official legislative action or approval. Executive orders are limited in number and narrow in scope.

75:

50: The chief executive sometimes relies on executive orders to implement policies and regulations opposed by the legislature. Some executive orders are overly broad in scope and are designed to circumvent constitutional or legal requirements for legislative action or approval.

25:

0: The chief executive routinely abuses executive orders to render the legislature practically useless. Executive orders are the norm, not the exception, and directly contravene constitutional or legal requirements for legislative action or approval.

25. Is the executive leadership subject to criminal proceedings?

100

25a. In law, the heads of state and government can be prosecuted for crimes they commit.

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if the heads of state and government can be investigated, charged or prosecuted for criminal allegations. Figurehead officials (symbolic figures without day-to-day authority) may be exempt.

NO: A NO score is earned if either the head of state or government cannot be investigated, charged or prosecuted for criminal allegations or the executive branch controls whether investigative or prosecutorial immunity can be lifted on the heads of state or government.

25b. In law, ministerial-level officials can be prosecuted for crimes they commit.
YES: A YES score is earned if ministerial-level officials, or their equivalents, can all be investigated, charged or prosecuted for criminal allegations.

NO: A NO score is earned if any ministerial-level official, or equivalent official, cannot be investigated, charged or prosecuted for criminal allegations or the executive branch controls whether investigative or prosecutorial immunity can be lifted on ministerial-level officials.

26. Are there regulations governing conflicts of interest by the executive branch?

59

26a. In law, the heads of state and government are required to file a regular asset disclosure form.

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar

Law 25.188 (Public Ethics)
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if the heads of state and government are required by law to file an asset disclosure form while in office, illustrating sources of income, stock holdings, and other assets. This form need not be publicly available to score a YES. Figurehead officials (symbolic figures without day-to-day authority) may be exempt.

NO: A NO score is earned if either the head of state or government is not required to disclose assets.

26b. In law, ministerial-level officials are required to file a regular asset disclosure form.

YES | NO
YES: A YES score is earned if ministerial-level officials, or their equivalents, are all required by law to file an asset disclosure form while in office, illustrating sources of income, stock holdings, and other assets.

NO: A NO score is earned if ministers are not required to disclose assets. A NO score is earned if some ministers must disclose assets, but other ministers are not required.

26c. In law, there are regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the executive branch.

YES | NO

YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal guidelines regulating gifts and hospitality offered to members of the executive branch of government.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no guidelines or regulations with respect to gifts and hospitality offered to members of the executive branch. A NO score is earned if the guidelines are overly general and do not specify what is and is not appropriate.

26d. In law, there are requirements for the independent auditing of the executive branch asset disclosure forms (defined here as ministers and heads of state and government).

YES | NO

Comments:
The Public Ethics Committee was never created. In 2000, the executive created the Anti-Corruption Office which is in charge of controlling asset disclosure forms of public officials at this branch of government. The head of this office is appointed by the president. By institutional design, this position is not truly independent. Besides, the budget depends on the Ministry of Justice.

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a legal or regulatory requirement for independent auditing of executive branch asset disclosures. The auditing is performed by an impartial third-party. Figurehead officials (symbolic figures without day-to-day authority) may be exempt.
**NO:** A NO score is earned if there are no legal or regulatory requirements for the independent auditing of executive branch asset disclosures or if such requirements exist but allow for self-auditing.

26e. In law, there are restrictions on heads of state and government and ministers entering the private sector after leaving the government.

| YES | NO |

**Comments:**
The abovementioned decree modified the opportunities for appointment of those working in the private sector. It created no time limits, thus modifying the original law.

**References:**
Law 25.188  
Decree 862/2001

---

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there are regulations restricting the ability of heads of state/government and ministers to take positions in the private sector after leaving government that would present a conflict of interest, including positions that directly seek to influence their former government colleagues. Figurehead officials (symbolic figures without day-to-day authority) may be exempt.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if no such restrictions exist.

26f. In practice, the regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for heads of state and government and ministers are effective.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**Comments:**
Conflicts of interest are not effectively controlled.

**References:**
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

---

**100:** The regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for heads of state/government and ministers are uniformly enforced. There are no or few cases of those officials taking jobs in the private sector after leaving government where they directly lobby or seek to influence their former government colleagues without an adequate cooling off period.

**75:**

**50:** The regulations are generally enforced though some exceptions exist. In certain sectors, heads of state/government or ministers are known to regularly take jobs in the private sector that entail directly lobbying or seeking to influence their former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are short and sometimes ignored.

**25:**
0: The regulations are rarely or never enforced. Heads of state/government or ministers routinely take jobs in the private sector following government employment that involve direct lobbying or influencing of former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are non-existent or never enforced.

26g. In practice, the regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the executive branch are effective.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

References:
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the executive branch are regularly enforced and sufficiently restrict the amounts of gifts and hospitality that can be given. Members of the executive branch never or rarely accept gifts or hospitality above what is allowed.

75:

50: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the executive branch are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some ministers in certain sectors are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.

25:

0: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the executive branch are routinely ignored and unenforced. Ministers and other members of the executive branch routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.

26h. In practice, executive branch asset disclosures (defined here as ministers and above) are audited.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

References:
2006 Annual Report. Anti Corruption Office
www.jus.anticorrupcion.gov.ar;
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Executive branch asset disclosures are regularly audited using generally accepted auditing practices.

75:

50: Executive branch asset disclosures are audited, but audits are limited in some way, such as using inadequate auditing standards, or the presence of exceptions to disclosed assets.

25:
Executive branch asset disclosures are not audited, or the audits performed have no value. Audits may be performed by entities known to be partisan or biased in their practices.

27. Can citizens access the asset disclosure records of the heads of state and government?

**75**

27a. In law, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of the heads of state and government.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

References:
National Constitution
Law 25.188
Decree 1172/2003

**YES:** A YES score is earned if the heads of state and government file an asset disclosure form that is, in law, accessible to the public (individuals, civil society groups or journalists).

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there is no asset disclosure for either the head of state or government. A NO score is earned if the form is filed, but not available to the public.

27b. In practice, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of the heads of state and government within a reasonable time period.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

References:
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

**100:** Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

**75:**

**50:** Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some additional delays may be experienced.

**25:**

**0:** Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.
27c. In practice, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of the heads of state and government at a reasonable cost.

Comments:
Poder Ciudadano Foundation usually requests more than 30 reports every year. Sometimes the Anti-Corruption Office has asked the NGO to provide papers for the photocopying.

References:
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

50: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

25: Clear rules are followed distinguishing state functions from party activities. Government funds are never used for party activities. The civil service is completely distinct from party bureaucracy.

28. In practice, official government functions are kept separate and distinct from the functions of the ruling political party.

Comments:
Public resources are used with electoral purposes. The ruling party is very weak, however the former president and his wife – the new president – have confused the use of public resources with electoral purposes, i.e. official airplanes, TV state-channel, human resources.

References:
The ruling party is, in principal, separate from the state, but exceptions to this standard sometimes occur. Examples may be the use of civil servants to organize political rallies, use of government vehicles on campaign trips, or use of government funds for party purposes.

The government bureaucracy is an extension of the ruling party. There are few boundaries between government and party activities. Government funds, equipment and personnel are regularly used to support party activities.

III-2. Legislative Accountability

29. Can members of the legislature be held accountable for their actions?

50

29a. In law, the judiciary can review laws passed by the legislature.

YES | NO

Comments:
If the law goes against the national constitution, the judiciary must review it.

References:
National Constitution

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process by which the judiciary or constitutional courts can pass judgments on the legality or constitutionality of laws passed by the legislature.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such mechanism exists. A NO score is earned if judicial review is vaguely established in law or regulation without formal procedures. A NO score is earned if general exceptions exist exempting certain legislative actions from being reviewed (a national security exemption, for example).

29b. In practice, when necessary, the judiciary reviews laws passed by the legislature.

Comments:
The general perception is that the judiciary acts in a slow manner. Beyond the new integration of the Supreme Court that has
made some important decisions, the inferior courts tend to be very slow in their actions. Many times they must be very pressed by the media, due to some political scandal, in order to act. However, proceedings are very slow. An investigation into corruption matters takes 14 years on average to be solved. In many cases, they are prescribed.

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano, Aug. 17, 2007;
Interview: Pilar Arcidiacono, CELS, Aug. 17, 2007;
CIPCE, 2007, Judicial corruption investigations.

100: When constitutional or legal questions or possible violations are raised, the judiciary is aggressive in reviewing laws passed and can void illegal or unconstitutional actions. The judiciary is fair and nonpartisan in its application of this power.

75:

50: The judiciary will review laws passed, but is limited in its effectiveness. The judiciary may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically sensitive issues, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The judiciary does not effectively review laws passed. The judiciary may make judgments but not enforce them, or may fail to pass judgments on executive abuses. The judiciary may be partisan in its application of power.

29c. In law, are members of the national legislature subject to criminal proceedings?

YES | NO

Comments:
The proceedings must be applied when the representative is not a member of the legislature anymore. According to the rules of both Houses, there is a possibility to review the membership of anyone if there is an ongoing criminal investigation.

References:
National Constitution
www.infoelg.gov.ar;

Rules of House of Representative and the Senate
www.senado.gov.ar
www.diputados.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if all members of the legislature can, in law, be investigated and prosecuted for criminal allegations.

NO: A NO score is earned if any member of the legislature cannot, in law, be investigated and prosecuted for criminal proceedings.

30. Are there regulations governing conflicts of interest by members of the national legislature?
30a. In law, members of the national legislature are required to file an asset disclosure form.

**YES** | **NO**

**References:**
Law 25.188
www.infoleg.gov.ar

**YES:** A YES score is earned if all members of the legislature are required by law to file an asset disclosure form while in office, illustrating sources of income, stock holdings, and other assets. This form does not need to be publicly available to score a YES.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if any member of the legislature is not required to disclose assets.

30b. In law, there are restrictions for national legislators entering the private sector after leaving the government.

**YES** | **NO**

**Comments:**
Decree 862/2001 modified the Public Ethics Law. There are no restrictions.

**References:**
Law 25.188
Decree 862/2001

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there are regulations restricting national legislators’ ability to take positions in the private sector after leaving government that would present a conflict of interest, including positions that directly seek to influence their former government colleagues.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if no such restrictions exist.

30c. In law, there are regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the national legislature.

**YES** | **NO**

**References:**
Law 25.188
YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal guidelines regulating gifts and hospitality for members of the legislature.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no guidelines or regulations with respect to gifts or hospitality offered to members of the legislature. A NO score is earned if the guidelines are general and do not specify what is and is not appropriate.

30d. In law, there are requirements for the independent auditing of the asset disclosure forms of members of the national legislature.

YES | NO

Comments:
The Public Ethics Committee was never created.

References:
Law 25.188
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a legal or regulatory requirement for independent auditing of legislative branch asset disclosures. The auditing is performed by an impartial third-party.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no legal or regulatory requirements for the independent auditing of legislative branch asset disclosures or if such requirements exist but allow for self-auditing.

30e. In practice, the regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for national legislators are effective.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Nobody controls any of the prescriptions of the Public Ethics Law in Congress. The Administrative Secretariat of both Houses keeps the files with the asset disclosure forms. However, nobody controls their content. There is no control of possible conflicts of interest.

References:
Nadie investiga posibles casos de enriquecimiento. Las autoridades legislativas no aplican mecanismos de control" February 2007

Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: The regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for national legislators are uniformly enforced. There are no or few cases of legislators taking jobs in the private sector after leaving government where they directly lobby or seek to influence their former government colleagues without an adequate cooling off period.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>The regulations are generally enforced though some exceptions exist. In certain sectors, legislators are known to regularly take jobs in the private sector that entail directly lobbying or seeking to influence their former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are short and sometimes ignored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>The regulations are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some legislators in certain sectors are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>The regulations are rarely or never enforced. Legislators routinely take jobs in the private sector following government employment that involve direct lobbying or influencing of former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are non-existent or never enforced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to national legislators are routinely ignored and unenforced. Legislators routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
Nobody controls any of the prescriptions of the Public Ethics Law in Congress. The Administrative Secreariat of both Houses keeps the files of the asset disclosure forms. However, nobody controls their content. There is no control of possible conflicts of interest.

**References:**
Nadie investiga posibles casos de enriquecimiento. Las autoridades legislativas no aplican mecanismos de control” February 2007

Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>The regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to national legislators are effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to national legislators are regularly enforced and sufficiently restrict the amounts of gifts and hospitality that can be given to legislators. Legislators never or rarely accept gifts or hospitality above what is allowed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to national legislators are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some legislators in certain sectors are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to national legislators are routinely ignored and unenforced. Legislators routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>In practice, national legislative branch asset disclosures are audited.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
31. Can citizens access the asset disclosure records of members of the national legislature?

67

31a. In law, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of members of the national legislature.

YES | NO

Comments:
Accessing asset disclosure forms of national legislators is not as easy as in the case of the executive. The proceedings are not clear. The Senate and the House of Deputies have separate policies. There is no chance to request this information online. The House of Deputies regulated the access in 2006.
### 31c. In practice, citizens can access legislative asset disclosure records at a reasonable cost.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**Comments:**
As in other countries, Poder Ciudadano Foundation has asked authorities to publish these forms online. However, this has been rejected in general. Few national legislators publish their records on the Web. It is the result of a personal decision. They are not forced by the law.

### 32. Can citizens access legislative processes and documents?

#### 32a. In law, citizens can access records of legislative processes and documents.
YES: A YES score is earned if there is a general legal right to access records of legislative proceedings including voting records. A YES score can still be given if there are formal rules for specific exemptions to the right to disclosure (special secret sessions related to national security).

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no general right to access documents recording legislative proceedings. A NO score is earned if there exemptions to the general right that are not clearly defined by formal rules.

32b. In practice, citizens can access records of legislative processes and documents within a reasonable time period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>50</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Since 2003, Congress has published more information online. However, a lot of documents are not on the Web. Depending on the content of the requested documents, some can be more difficult to access. When someone requests information and does not live in the capital city, this can be an impediment against rapid access.

References:
Poder Ciudadano, El Congreso bajo la lupa 2006 (forthcoming);
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

32c. In practice, citizens can access records of legislative processes and documents at a reasonable cost.
References:
Poder Ciudadano, El Congreso bajo la lupa 2006 (forthcoming);
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

III-3. Judicial Accountability

33. Are judges appointed fairly?

83

33a. In law, there is a transparent procedure for selecting national-level judges.

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar
Decree 222/2003
www.infoleg.gov.ar
Law 26.080 (Judicial Council)
**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is a formal process for selecting national level justices. This process should be public in the debating and confirmation stages. National-level judges are defined as judges who have powers that derive from a national law or constitution; are nominated/appointed by a national governmental body (head of state/government or national legislature); and/or are elected nationally.

**NO:** A NO score is given if there is no formal process of selection or the process is conducted without public oversight. National-level judges are defined as judges who have powers that derive from a national law or constitution; are nominated/appointed by a national governmental body (head of state/government or national legislature); and/or are elected nationally.

---

33b. In practice, there are certain professional criteria required for the selection of national-level judges.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

---

**References:**
Poder Ciudadano, 2006,
Una mirada atenta sobre el Consejo de la Magistratura
www.poderciudadano.org;

Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

---

100: National-level judges selected have relevant professional qualifications such as formal legal training, experience as a lower court judge or a career as a litigator.

75:

50: Most national-level judges selected meet these qualifications, with some exceptions.

25:

0: National-level judges are often unqualified due to lack of training or experience.

---

33c. In law, there is a confirmation process for national-level judges (i.e. conducted by the legislature or an independent body).

| YES | NO |

---

**Comments:**
In December 2006, the Congress modified the Judicial Council (Consejo de la Magistratura). This is the independent body in charge of selecting judges for the first and second levels, not for the Supreme Court.

---

**References:**
National Constitution
Law 26.080
YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process establishing a review of national-level judicial nominees by an agency independent from the body appointing the judges.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no formal review. A NO score is earned if the review is conducted by a body directed by the body appointing the judges (such as review by the head of police if judges are appointed by the executive).

34. Can members of the judiciary be held accountable for their actions?

83

34a. In law, members of the national-level judiciary are obliged to give reasons for their decisions.

YES | NO

Comments:
Judges have to give reasons for their sentences and decisions. They have to be justified with evidence and supported by the law.

References:
National Constitution

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal and mandatory process for judges to explain their decisions.

NO: A NO score is earned if justices are not required to explain decisions. A NO score is earned if there is a general exemption from explaining some decisions (such as national security).

34b. In practice, members of the national-level judiciary give reasons for their decisions.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Judges are formally required to explain their judgments in detail, establishing a body of precedent. All judges comply with these requirements.

75:

50: Judges are compelled to give substantial reasons for their decisions, but some exceptions exist. These may include special courts, such as military courts or tribunals.

25:
0: Judges commonly issue decisions without formal explanations.

34c. In law, there is a disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) for the national-level judicial system.

| YES | NO |

**References:**
National Constitution
www.inforleg.gov.ar (Political trial for Supreme Court Magistrates);

Consejo de la Magistratura / Jury de Enjuiciameinto (National-level judges of first and second level)

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is a disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) for the judicial system. A disciplinary agency is defined here as an agency or mechanism specifically mandated to investigate breaches of procedure, abuses of power or other failures of the judiciary.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if no agency or mechanism is specifically mandated to act as a disciplinary mechanism for the national-level judiciary.

34d. In law, the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is protected from political interference.

| YES | NO |

**References:**
National Constitution
www.inforleg.gov.ar (Political trial for Supreme Court Magistrates);

Consejo de la Magistratura / Jury de Enjuiciameinto (National-level judges of first and second level)

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there are formal rules establishing that the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is operationally independent from political interference by the executive, legislative and judicial branches.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there are no formal rules establishing the independence of the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism). A NO score is given if the judicial disciplinary agency or equivalent mechanism function is carried out by an inherently subordinate organization, such as an executive ministry, legislative committee, or by an internal judiciary committee or council that can only act with the approval of judges themselves.

34e. In practice, when necessary, the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) initiates investigations.
References:
Poder Ciudadano, 2006,
Una mirada atenta sobre el Consejo de la Magistratura
www.poderciudadano.org;

Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) aggressively starts investigations — or participates fully with cooperating agencies' investigations — into judicial misconduct. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is fair in its application of this power.

75:

50: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) will start or cooperate in investigations, but often relies on external pressure to set priorities, or has limited effectiveness when investigating. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism), though limited in effectiveness, is still fair in its application of power.

25:

0: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) rarely investigates on its own or cooperates in other agencies' investigations, or the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is partisan in its application of this power.

34f. In practice, when necessary, the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) imposes penalties on offenders.

References:
Poder Ciudadano, 2006,
Una mirada atenta sobre el Consejo de la Magistratura
www.poderciudadano.org;

Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: When rules violations are discovered, the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is aggressive in penalizing offenders or in cooperating with other agencies who penalize offenders.

75:

50: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) enforces rules, but is limited in its effectiveness. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, resistant to cooperating with other agencies, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) does not effectively penalize offenders. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) may make judgments but not enforce them, does not cooperate with other agencies in
enforcing penalties, or may fail to make reasonable judgments against offenders. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) may be partisan in its application of power.

35. Are there regulations governing conflicts of interest for the national-level judiciary?

35a. In law, members of the national-level judiciary are required to file an asset disclosure form.

YES | NO

References:
Law 25.188
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if all members of the national-level judiciary are required by law to file an asset disclosure form while in office, illustrating sources of income, stock holdings, and other assets. This form does not need to be publicly available to score a YES.

NO: A NO score is earned if any member of the national-level judiciary is not required to publicly disclose assets.

35b. In law, there are regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the national-level judiciary.

YES | NO

References:
Law 25.188
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal guidelines regulating gifts and hospitality for members of the national-level judiciary.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no guidelines or regulations with respect to gifts or hospitality offered to members of the national-level judiciary. A NO score is earned if the guidelines are general and do not specify what is and is not appropriate.

35c. In law, there are requirements for the independent auditing of the asset disclosure forms of members of the national-level judiciary.
### 35d. In law, there are restrictions for national-level judges entering the private sector after leaving the government.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**References:**
Law 25.188
www.infoleg.gov.ar

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is a legal or regulatory requirement for independent auditing of national-level judiciary asset disclosures. The auditing is performed by an impartial third-party.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there are no legal or regulatory requirements for the independent auditing of national-level judiciary asset disclosures or if such requirements exist but allow for self-auditing.

---

### 35e. In practice, the regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for national-level judges are effective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
Generally, when judges leave office they practice the legal profession in the private sector. Many of them have defended companies against state interests.

**References:**
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

**100:** The regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for national-level judges are uniformly enforced. There are no or few cases of judges taking jobs in the private sector after leaving government where they directly lobby or seek to influence their former government colleagues without an adequate cooling off period.
75:

The regulations are generally enforced though some exceptions exist. In certain cases, judges are known to regularly take jobs in the private sector that entail directly lobbying or seeking to influence their former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are short and sometimes ignored.

25:

0: The regulations are rarely or never enforced. Judges routinely take jobs in the private sector following government employment that involve direct lobbying or influencing of former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are non-existent or never enforced.

35f. In practice, the regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the national-level judiciary are effective.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Poder Ciudadano, 2006,
Una mirada atenta sobre el Consejo de la Magistratura
www.poderciudadano.org;

Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the national-level judiciary are regularly enforced and sufficiently restrict the amounts of gifts and hospitality that can be given to judges. Judges never or rarely accept gifts or hospitality above what is allowed.

75:

50: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the national-level judiciary are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some judges are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.

25:

0: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the national-level judiciary are routinely ignored and unenforced. Judges routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.

35g. In practice, national-level judiciary asset disclosures are audited.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The judiciary asset forms are not audited.
100: National-level judiciary asset disclosures are regularly audited using generally accepted auditing practices.

75:

50: National-level judiciary asset disclosures are audited, but audits are limited in some way, such as using inadequate auditing standards, or the presence of exceptions to disclosed assets.

25:

0: National-level judiciary asset disclosures are not audited, or the audits performed have no value. Audits may be performed by entities known to be partisan or biased in their practices.

36. Can citizens access the asset disclosure records of members of the national-level judiciary?

42

36a. In law, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of members of the national-level judiciary.

YES | NO

References:
Law 25.188

YES: A YES score is earned if members of the national-level judiciary file an asset disclosure form that is, in law, accessible to the public (individuals, civil society groups or journalists).

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no asset disclosure for members of the national-level judiciary. A NO score is earned if the form is filed, but not available to the public.

36b. In practice, citizens can access judicial asset disclosure records within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The access to judicial asset disclosure forms is more complicated than in the case of legislative and executive branches. The Judicial Council has applied a consulting mechanism for the judges whose asset forms are requested. Poder Ciudadano has led the civil society campaign on the issue. Since 2000, this NGO has tried to obtain asset disclosure forms. Many of them were authorized by the Judicial Council. Access has been impossible to those who were not authorized.
100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

36c. In practice, citizens can access judicial asset disclosure records at a reasonable cost.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
In the case of accessing the judicial asset disclosure forms, the main problem is not the cost, but the question whether a positive answer will be given to the request.

References:
Poder Ciudadano, 2006,
Una mirada atenta sobre el Consejo de la Magistratura
www.poderciudadano.org
http://www.poderciudadano.org/?do=news&id=176


Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.
III-4. Budget Processes

37. Can the legislature provide input to the national budget?

42

37a. In law, the legislature can amend the budget.

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if the legislature has the power to add or remove items to the national government budget.

NO: A NO score is earned if the legislature can only approve but not change details of the budget. A NO score is earned if the legislature has no input into the budget process.

37b. In practice, significant public expenditures require legislative approval.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The reform of Law 24.156 of financial administration gave the executive the chance to modify part of the national budget without congressional approval. This law reform consolidated a long term action of the executive, which used to be approved by Congress every year due to economic emergency. In 2007, this has provided the executive with the possibility to change the budget with electoral purposes. The Public Works Ministry has been the main beneficiary.

References:
www.asap.org.ar

Por decreto, Kirchner aumentó el Presupuesto en algo más de 14 mil millones de pesos”

Decretos y superpoderes, un combo poderoso mirando hacia el 2007
“Según un informe privado, en 2005 se usaron así más de $ 15.000 millones. El Gobierno busca no seguir acumulando DNU:
All significant government expenditures (defined as any project costing more than 1% of the total national budget), must be approved by the legislature. This includes defense and secret programs, which may be debated in closed hearings.

Most significant government expenditures (as defined) are approved by the legislature, but some exceptions to this rule exist. This may include defense programs, an executive's personal budget, or other expenses.

The legislature does not have the power to approve or disapprove large portions of the government budget, or the legislature does not exercise this power in a meaningful way.

In practice, the legislature has sufficient capacity to monitor the budget process and provide input or changes.

Comments:
The Congress has no political capacity to control the executive. The political majority is well disciplined to abide to the requests of the executive. Besides, Congress has a low and almost no-technical capacity and staff to control the budgetary process. Many NGOs have been calling for better staff to strengthen the congressional capacities to control the budgetary process and the executive.

References:
Interview: Pablo Secchi, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007;
Poder Ciudadano, El Congreso bajo la lupa 2006
www.poderciudadano.org

Legislators benefit from a sufficient and qualified staff as well as adequate financial and physical resources. Lack of capacity is never a reason why legislators cannot carry out their duties effectively.

Legislators have some staff and financial resources but are limited by a shortfall of resources to adequately perform all of their budgetary oversight functions. Legislators are occasionally overwhelmed by the volume of work to be performed.

Legislators have little to no staff and virtually no financial resources with which to perform their budgetary oversight role. Lack of resources is a regular and systemic problem that cripples the performance of the legislature.

Can citizens access the national budgetary process?

In practice, the national budgetary process is conducted in a transparent manner in the debating stage (i.e. before final approval).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indice Latinoamericano de Transparencia Presupuestaria. Argentina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.poderciudadano.org">www.poderciudadano.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 100: Budget debates are public and records of these proceedings are easily accessible. Authors of individual budget items can easily be identified. Nearly all budget negotiations are conducted in these official proceedings.

### 75:

### 50: There is a formal, transparent process for budget debate, but major budget modifications may be negotiated in separate, closed sessions. Some items, such as non-secret defense projects, may be negotiated in closed sessions. Authors of individual line items may be difficult to identify.

### 25:

### 0: Budget negotiations are effectively closed to the public. There may be a formal, transparent process, but most real discussion and debate happens in other, closed settings.

38b. In practice, citizens provide input at budget hearings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indice Latinoamericano de Transparencia Presupuestaria. Argentina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.poderciudadano.org">www.poderciudadano.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 100: Citizens, usually acting through CSOs, can provide information or commentary to the budget debate through a formal process. This information is essential to the process of evaluating budget priorities.

### 75:

### 50: Citizens or CSOs can provide input, but this information is often not relevant to budget decisions.

### 25:

### 0: Citizens or CSOs have no formal access to provide input to the budget debate.
38c. In practice, citizens can access itemized budget allocations.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

References:
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007;
Indice Latinoamericano de Transparencia Presupuestaria. Argentina
www.poderciudadano.org

100: Citizens, journalists and CSOs can access itemized lists of budget allocations. This information is easily available and up to date.

75:  

50: Citizens, journalists and CSOs can access itemized lists of budget allocations but this information may be difficult to access, incomplete or out of date.

25:  

0: Citizens cannot access an itemized list of budget allocations, due to secrecy, prohibitive barriers or government inefficiency.

39. In law, is there a separate legislative committee which provides oversight of public funds?

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution
Comision de Presupuesto y Hacienda. Senado de la Nacion
www.senado.gov.ar
Comision de Presupuesto y Hacienda. Camara de Diputados de la Nacion
www.diputados.gov.ar
Comision Mixta Revisora de Cuentas (This one is an ex-post controller)
YES: A YES score is earned if there is a dedicated legislative committee (or equivalent group located in the legislature) that oversees the expenditure of public funds.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such body exists within the legislature. A NO score is earned if there is a body executing this function but it is not part of the legislature (such as a separate supreme audit institution).

40. Is the legislative committee overseeing the expenditure of public funds effective?

0

40a. In practice, department heads regularly submit reports to this committee.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007;
Indice Latinoamericano de Transparencia Presupuestaria. Argentina www.poderciudadano.org

100: Heads of ministry- or cabinet-level agencies submit regular, formal reports of expenses to a budget oversight committee.

75:

50: Agency heads submit reports to a budget oversight committee, but these reports are flawed in some way. The reports may be inconsistently delivered, or lacking important details.

25:

0: There is no budget oversight committee or equivalent, or heads of agencies do not submit meaningful reports to the agency.

40b. In practice, the committee acts in a non-partisan manner with members of opposition parties serving on the committee in an equitable fashion.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Most members in both committees belong to the ruling party.

References:
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007;
The committee is comprised of legislators from both the ruling party (or parties) and opposition parties in a roughly equitable distribution. All members of the committee — including opposition party members — are able to fully participate in the activities of the committee and influence the committee’s work to roughly the same extent as any other member of the committee.

The committee is comprised of legislators from both the ruling party (or parties) and opposition parties although the ruling party has a disproportionate share of committee seats. The chairperson of the committee may be overly influential and curb other members’ ability to shape the committee’s activities.

The committee is dominated by legislators of the ruling party and/or the committee chairperson. Opposition legislators serving on the committee have in practice no way to influence the work of the committee.

In practice, this committee is protected from political interference.

Most members in both committees belong to the ruling party.

References:
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007;
Indice Latinoamericano de Transparencia Presupuestaria. Argentina
www.poderciudadano.org

This committee operates independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable judgments on politically sensitive issues. Investigations are rarely praised or criticized by political figures.

This committee is usually independent but is sometimes influenced by negative or positive political incentives. This may include public praise or criticism by the government.

This committee is commonly influenced by personal or political forces or incentives. This may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties that ultimately influence the committee’s behavior and decision-making. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses of power by the government.

In practice, when necessary, this committee initiates independent investigations into financial irregularities.
References:
Interview: Julieta Arias, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007;
Indice Latinoamericano de Transparencia Presupuestaria. Argentina
www.poderciudadano.org

100: When irregularities are discovered, the committee is aggressive in investigating the government.
75:
50: The committee starts investigations, but is limited in its effectiveness. The committee may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.
25:
0: The committee does not effectively investigate financial irregularities. The committee may start investigations but not complete them, or may fail to detect offenders. The committee may be partisan in its application of power.

Category IV. Administration and Civil Service

IV-1. Civil Service Regulations

41. Are there national regulations for the civil service encompassing, at least, the managerial and professional staff?

100

41a. In law, there are regulations requiring an impartial, independent and fairly managed civil service.

YES | NO

References:
UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
Ethics Law (Law 25.188)
Decree 41/99
National Civil Service Law (Law 25.164)
41b. In law, there are regulations to prevent nepotism, cronyism, and patronage within the civil service.

YES | NO

References:
UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
Ethics Law (Law 25.188)
Decree 41/99
National Civil Service Law (Law 25.164)

41c. In law, there is an independent redress mechanism for the civil service.

YES | NO

References:
Administrative Investigations Rules (Decree 467/99)

41d. In law, civil servants convicted of corruption are prohibited from future government employment.
According to Law 25164, convicted felons are forbidden to enter the civil service, both in the national and the provincial levels.

References:
Civil Service Law (Law 25164)

YES: A YES score is earned if there are specific rules prohibiting continued government employment following a corruption conviction.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such rules exist.

42. Is the law governing the administration and civil service effective?

69

42a. In practice, civil servants are protected from political interference.

Comments:
The INDEC (National Institute of Statistics and Censuses) affair is an example of how civil servants may not be protected from political interference. In this case, some of them were removed for not allowing the manipulation of official statistics and for violating the statistical secrecy.

References:
Interview with Julieta Arias, Transparency and Anti-Corruption Department, Poder Ciudadano Foundation.

100: Civil servants operate independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable treatment or policy decisions on politically sensitive issues. Civil servants rarely comment on political debates. Individual judgments are rarely praised or criticized by political figures. Civil servants can bring a case to the courts challenging politically-motivated firings.

75:

50: Civil servants are typically independent, yet are sometimes influenced in their judgments by negative or positive political or personal incentives. This may include favorable or unfavorable treatment by superiors, public criticism or praise by the government, or other forms of influence. Civil servants may bring a case to the judicial system challenging politically-motivated firings but the case may encounter delays or bureaucratic hurdles.

25:

0: Civil servants are commonly influenced by political or personal matters. This may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses.
of power. Civil servants are unable to find a remedy in the courts for unjustified or politically-motivated firings.

42b. In practice, civil servants are appointed and evaluated according to professional criteria.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
According to this report, there are many irregularities in the implementation of the mechanisms available to appoint civil servants.

References:
Poder Ciudadano’s Reply to the Questionnaire of the Committee of Experts of the Follow-up Mechanism for the implementation of The Interamerican Convention against Corruption, 2006
http://www.poderciudadano.org/files/INFORME%20SOC%20CIV%20ARGENTINA.pdf


100: Appointments to the civil service and their professional evaluations are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest arising from personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.

75:

50: Appointments and professional assessments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties, however.

25:

0: Appointments and professional assessments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

42c. In practice, civil service management actions (e.g. hiring, firing, promotions) are not based on nepotism, cronyism, or patronage.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
According to this report, there are many irregularities related to nepotism, cronyism, and patronage as well, in the way the legislative, executive and judicial branches appoint civil servants.

References:
Poder Ciudadano’s Reply to the Questionnaire of the Committee of Experts of the Follow up Mechanism for the implementation of The Interamerican Convention against Corruption, 2006
http://www.poderciudadano.org/files/INFORME%20SOC%20CIV%20ARGENTINA.pdf
Nepotism (favorable treatment of family members), cronyism (favorable treatment of friends and colleagues), and patronage (favorable treatment of those who reward their superiors) are actively discouraged at all levels of the civil service. Hirings, firings, and promotions are based on merit and performance.

Nepotism, cronyism, and patronage are discouraged, but exceptions exist. Political leaders or senior officials sometimes appoint family member or friends to favorable positions in the civil service, or lend other favorable treatment.

Nepotism, cronyism, and patronage are commonly accepted principles in hiring, firing and promotions of civil servants.

In practice, civil servants have clear job descriptions.

Civil servants almost always have formal job descriptions establishing levels of seniority, assigned functions, and compensation. Job descriptions are a reliable means to map positions to both human capital requirements (including the position's authority and responsibilities) and base pay.

Civil servants often have formal job descriptions, but exceptions exist. Some civil servants may not be part of the formal assignment of duties and compensations. Some job descriptions may not map clearly to pay or responsibilities in some cases.

Civil servants do not have formal roles or job descriptions. If they do, such job descriptions have little or nothing to do with the position's responsibilities, authority, or pay.

In practice, civil servant bonuses constitute only a small fraction of total pay.

References:
Nicolás Dassen, expert on anti-corruption affairs, Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor.
Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007
Civil servant bonuses constitute no more than 10% of total pay and do not represent a major element of take-home pay.

Civil servant bonuses are generally a small percentage of total take-home pay for most civil servants though exceptions exist where some civil servants' bonuses represent a significant part of total pay.

Most civil servants receive bonuses that represent a significant amount of total take-home pay. In some cases bonuses represent the majority of total pay to civil servants.

In practice, the government publishes the number of authorized civil service positions along with the number of positions actually filled.

The government publishes such a list on a regular basis.

The government publishes such a list but it is often delayed or incomplete. There may be multiple years in between each successive publication.

The government rarely or never publishes such a list, or when it does it is wholly incomplete.

In practice, the independent redress mechanism for the civil service is effective.

The independent redress mechanism for the civil service can control the timing and pace of its investigations without any input from the bodies that manage civil servants on a day-to-day basis.

References:
http://www.sgp.gov.ar/contenidos/onep/movimientos/movimientos.html#personal

Interview with Julieta Arias, Transparency and Anti-corruption Department, Poder Ciudadano Foundation. Aug. 17, 2007

Nicolás Dassen, expert on anti-corruption affairs, Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007
The independent civil service redress mechanism can generally decide what to investigate and when but is sometimes subject to pressure from the executive or the bodies that manage civil servants on a day-to-day basis on politically sensitive issues.

The civil service redress mechanism must rely on approval from the executive or the bodies that manage civil servants on a day-to-day basis before initiating investigations. Politically sensitive investigations are almost impossible to move forward on.

In practice, in the past year, the government has paid civil servants on time.

In the past year, no civil servants have been paid late.

In the past year, some civil servants have been paid late.

In the past year, civil servants have frequently been denied due pay.

In practice, civil servants convicted of corruption are prohibited from future government employment.

A system of formal blacklists and cooling off periods is in place for civil servants convicted of corruption. All civil servants are subject to this system.
50: A system of formal blacklists and cooling off periods is in place, but the system has flaws. Some civil servants may not be affected by the system, or the prohibitions are sometimes not effective.

25:

0: There is no such system, or the system is consistently ineffective in prohibiting future employment of convicted civil servants.

43. Are there regulations addressing conflicts of interest for civil servants?

42

43a. In law, there are requirements for civil servants to recuse themselves from policy decisions where their personal interests may be affected.

YES   |   NO

Comments:
Law 25188 has been regulated for Public Administration by Decree 164/99. On the other hand, Decree 862/01 modified law 25188.

References:
UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
Ethics Law (Law 25.188)
Decree 41/99
Decree 164/99
Decree 862/01
National Civil Service Law (Law 25.164)
Ministries Law (Law 25.233)

www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there are requirements for civil servants to recuse themselves from policy decisions where their personal interests, including personal financial interests as well as those of their family and friends, are affected.

NO: A NO score exists if no such requirements exist in regulation or law.

43b. In law, there are restrictions for civil servants entering the private sector after leaving the government.

YES   |   NO

References:
There are no regulations.
YES: A YES score is earned if there are regulations restricting civil servants' ability to take positions in the private sector after leaving government that would present a conflict of interest, including positions that directly seek to influence their former government colleagues.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such restrictions exist.

43c. In law, there are regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to civil servants.

| YES | NO |

References:
UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
Ethics Law (Law 25.188)
Decree 41/99
National Civil Service Law (Law 25.164)
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal guidelines regarding gifts and hospitality given to civil servants.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no such guidelines or regulations.

43d. In practice, the regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for civil servants are effective.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

References:
There are no regulations.

100: The regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for civil servants are uniformly enforced. There are no or few cases of civil servants taking jobs in the private sector after leaving government where they directly lobby or seek to influence their former government colleagues without an adequate cooling off period.

75:

50: The regulations are generally enforced though some exceptions exist. In certain sectors, civil servants are known to regularly take jobs in the private sector that entail directly lobbying or seeking to influence their former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are short and sometimes ignored.

25:

0: The regulations are rarely or never enforced. Civil servants routinely take jobs in the private sector following government employment that involve direct lobbying or influencing of former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are non-existent or never enforced.
43e. In practice, the regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to civil servants are effective.

References:
Nicolás Dassen, expert on anti-corruption affairs, Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor.
Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

100: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to civil servants are regularly enforced and sufficiently restrict the amounts of gifts and hospitality that can be given to civil servants. Civil servants never or rarely accept gifts or hospitality above what is allowed.

75:

50: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to civil servants are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some civil servants in certain sectors are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.

25:

0: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to the civil service are routinely ignored and unenforced. Civil servants routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.

43f. In practice, the requirements for civil service recusal from policy decisions affecting personal interests are effective.

References:
Nicolás Dassen, expert on anti-corruption affairs, Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor.
Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

100: The requirements that civil servants recuse themselves from policy decisions where their personal interests are affected are routinely followed by most or all civil servants.

75:

50: The requirements that civil servants recuse themselves from policy decisions where their personal interests are affected are followed by most civil servants though exceptions exist. In certain sectors, civil servants are known to routinely participate in policy decisions where their personal interests are affected.

25:

0: Most civil servants routinely ignore recusal requirements and continue to participate in policy decisions where their personal interests are affected.
44. Can citizens access the asset disclosure records of senior civil servants?

83

44a. In law, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of senior civil servants.

YES | NO

Comments:
According to Law 25188, the executive, legislative and judicial branches are obligated to present asset records, which should be made available for citizens.

Law 25.188 sets out incompatibilities and conflicts of interest for civil servants. The executive has issued regulations (Decree 164/99) for this law only in the framework of the central and decentralized civil service. Ministers Law sets out special standards on conflicts of interest for officials directly appointed by the president. The judiciary has regulated the same issue by Acordada 1/2000, while the Senate has done so through its internal rules.

References:
UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
Public Ethic law (Law 25188)
Ministries Law (Law 25233)
Decree 102/99
Decree 164/99
Acordada 1/2000
Senate Rules, article 46

www.infoleg.gov.ar/

YES: A YES score is earned if laws or regulations guarantee that citizens can access the asset records of senior civil servants.

NO: A NO score is earned if senior civil servants do not file an asset disclosure. A NO score is earned if senior civil servants file an asset disclosure, but it is not available to the public.

44b. In practice, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of senior civil servants within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
During the last year, Poder Ciudadano Foundation has accessed the records of the Senate members within a reasonable time period. On the other hand, there have been some difficulties in accessing the same information in the House of Representatives and the judiciary. In the first case, it is important to say that some legislators voluntarily published their disclosure reports on the Web site.

When it comes to the executive, Poder Ciudadano declares it is possible to access the asset disclosure records of civil servants within a reasonable period of time, over the Internet.

http://www2.jus.gov.ar/minjus/oac/cgi/register.htm
100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

44c. In practice, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of senior civil servants at a reasonable cost.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

Comments:
It is important to say that it is possible to access the information at a reasonable cost, but only in those cases when the information is available.

References:
www.poderciudadano.org

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

IV-2. Whistle-blowing Measures

45. Are employees protected from recrimination or other negative consequences when reporting corruption (i.e. whistle-blowing)?
45a. In law, civil servants who report cases of corruption, graft, abuse of power, or abuse of resources are protected from recrimination or other negative consequences.

**YES**  |  **NO**

**Comments:**
Law 25.764 does not specifically include corruption cases, but in certain instances it might.

**References:**
UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
Code of Criminal Procedure, article 79, section c).

Defendants and Witnesses Protection National Program (Law 25.764).


**YES:** A YES score is earned if there are specific laws against recrimination against public sector whistleblowers. This may include prohibitions on termination, transfer, harassment or other consequences.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there are no legal protections for public-sector whistleblowers.

45b. In practice, civil servants who report cases of corruption, graft, abuse of power, or abuse of resources are protected from recrimination or other negative consequences.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**References:**
Nicolás Dassen, expert on anti-corruption affairs, Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor
Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

Poder Ciudadano’s Replay to the Questionnaire of the Committee of Experts of the Follow-up Mechanism for the implementation of The Interamerican Convention against Corruption, 2006

**100:** Public sector whistleblowers can report abuses of power without fear of negative consequences. This may be due to robust mechanisms to protect the identity of whistleblowers or may be due to a culture that encourages disclosure and accountability.

**75:**

**50:** Public sector whistleblowers are sometimes able to come forward without negative consequences, but in other cases, whistleblowers are punished for disclosing, either through official or unofficial means.

**25:**
Public sector whistleblowers often face substantial negative consequences, such as losing a job, relocating to a less prominent position, or some form of harassment.

45c. In law, private sector employees who report cases of corruption, graft, abuse of power, or abuse of resources are protected from recrimination or other negative consequences.

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{YES} & \text{NO}
\end{array}
\]

References:
Code of Criminal Procedure, article 79, section c).

Defendants and Witnesses Protection National Program (Law 25.764).

http://www.infoleg.gov.ar/

\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
100 & 75 & 50 & 25 & 0
\end{array}
\]

Comments:
No cases were found.

References:
Interview with Julieta Arias. Transparency and Anti-corruption Department, Poder Ciudadano Foundation. Aug. 17, 2007

100: Private sector whistleblowers can report abuses of power without fear of negative consequences. This may be due to robust mechanisms to protect the identity of whistleblowers or may be due to a culture that encourages disclosure and accountability.

75:

50: Private sector whistleblowers are sometimes able to come forward without negative consequences, but in other cases, whistleblowers are punished for disclosing, either through official or unofficial means.

25:

0: Private sector whistleblowers often face substantial negative consequences, such as losing a job, relocating to a less prominent position, or some form of harassment.
46. In law, is there an internal mechanism (i.e. phone hotline, e-mail address, local office) through which civil servants can report corruption?

**100**

46. In law, is there an internal mechanism (i.e. phone hotline, e-mail address, local office) through which civil servants can report corruption?

**YES | NO**

**Comments:**
They are the Anti-Corruption Office and the National Administrative Investigation Attorney's Office. Civil servants can report corruption by e-mail, telephone, or in person.

**References:**
Anti-corruption Office – Investigations Department.
Internal Regulations (Resolution MJDHN n° 749/00).

Code of Criminal Procedure (articles 174 y 175)

Public Ministry organic Law (24.946 article 45, sections a) and b))

National Administrative Investigations Attorney Office (Res. PGN 18/05)

Poder Ciudadano’s Reply to the Questionnaire of the Committee of Experts of the Follow-up Mechanism for the implementation of The Interamerican Convention against Corruption, 2006.

[www.infoleg.gov.ar](http://www.infoleg.gov.ar)

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is a mechanism, or multiple mechanisms for multiple national government agencies, through which civil servants can report cases of graft, misuse of public funds, or corruption.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if no such mechanism (or equivalent series of mechanisms) exists.

47. In practice, is the internal mechanism (i.e. phone hotline, e-mail address, local office) through which civil servants can report corruption effective?

**50**

47a. In practice, the internal reporting mechanism for public sector corruption has a professional, full-time staff.
**References:**
Poder Ciudadano’s Reply to the Questionnaire of the Committee of Experts of the Follow-up Mechanism for the implementation of The Interamerican Convention against Corruption, 2006.
http://www.poderciudadano.org/files/INFORME%20SOC%20CIV%20ARGENTINA.pdf

100: The agency/entity has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

75:

50: The agency/entity has limited staff, a fact that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.

25:

0: The agency/entity has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

47b. In practice, the internal reporting mechanism for public sector corruption receives regular funding.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

**References:**
Poder Ciudadano’s Reply to the Questionnaire of the Committee of Experts of the Follow-up Mechanism for the implementation of The Interamerican Convention against Corruption, 2006.
http://www.poderciudadano.org/files/INFORME%20SOC%20CIV%20ARGENTINA.pdf

Nicolás Dassen, expert on anti-corruption affairs, Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor.
Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

100: The agency/entity has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

75:

50: The agency/entity has a regular source of funding but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

25:

0: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions.

47c. In practice, the internal reporting mechanism for public sector corruption acts on complaints within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0
100: The agency/entity acts on complaints quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, complaints are acknowledged promptly and investigations into serious abuses move steadily towards resolution. Citizens with simple issues can expect a resolution within a month.

75:

50: The agency/entity acts on complaints quickly, with some exceptions. Some complaints may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

25:

0: The agency/entity cannot resolve complaints quickly. Complaints may be unacknowledged for more than a month, and simple issues may take more than three months to resolve. Serious abuses are not investigated with any urgency.

47d. In practice, when necessary, the internal reporting mechanism for public sector corruption initiates investigations.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0
48. Is the public procurement process effective?

YES | NO

48a. In law, there are regulations addressing conflicts of interest for public procurement officials.

References:
UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
Ethics Law (Law 25188)
Decree 41/99
Decree 164/99
Decree 862/01
National Civil Service Law (Law 25164)
Ministries Law (Law 25233)
Acquisition and procurement of public goods and services regulation (decree 436/00)
Public Procurement Regulation (Decree 1023/01)

www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there are specific formal regulations defining and regulating conflicts of interest between official public duty and private interests for public procurement officials. A YES score is earned if such regulations cover all civil servants, including procurement officials.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such rules exist.

48b. In law, there is mandatory professional training for public procurement officials.

YES | NO

References:
Public Employment National Law (Law 25164 Del Fondo Permanente de capacitación y recalificación laboral"

www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if public procurement officials receive regular mandatory training to ensure professional standards in supervising the tendering process.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no regular required training of public procurement officials or if training is sporadic, inconsistent, unrelated to procurement processes, or voluntary.
48c. In practice, the conflicts of interest regulations for public procurement officials are enforced.

| 100 | 75  | 50  | 25  | 0   |

**References:**
Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007

University of Buenos Aires

100: Regulations regarding conflicts of interest for procurement officials are aggressively enforced.

75:

50: Conflict of interest regulations exist, but are flawed. Some violations may not be enforced, or some officials may be exempt from regulations.

25:

0: Conflict of interest regulations do not exist, or are consistently ineffective.

48d. In law, there is a mechanism that monitors the assets, incomes and spending habits of public procurement officials.

| YES | NO |

**References:**
UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
Anti-corruption Office (National Public Administration)

Public Ethic law (Law 25188)
Ministries Law (Law 25233)
Decree 102/99

www.infoleg.gov.ar

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is a formal mandate to some agency to monitor the assets, incomes and spending habits of public procurement officials, such as an inspector general, or ombudsman.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if no such mandate exists.

48e. In law, major procurements require competitive bidding.
YES | NO

Comments:
According to Decree 1023/01 and Decree 436/00, procurements over 75,000 pesos (US$23,900) require competitive bidding processes.

References:
Acquisition and procurement of public goods and services regulation (decree 436/00)
Public Procurement Regulation (Decree 1023/01)
www.infoleg.gov.ar

Poder Ciudadano’s Reply to the Questionnaire of the Committee of Experts of the Follow-up Mechanism for the implementation of The Interamerican Convention against Corruption, 2006.
http://www.poderciudadano.org/files/INFORME%20SOC%20CIV%20ARGENTINA.pdf

YES: A YES score is earned if all major procurements (defined as those greater than 0.5% of GDP) require competitive bidding.

NO: A NO score is earned if competitive bidding is not required by law or regulation for major procurement (greater than 0.5% of GDP).

48f. In law, strict formal requirements limit the extent of sole sourcing.

YES | NO

References:
Decree 1023/01;
Interview with Gaston Rosenberg, Responsible for the Public Procurement Program, Poder Ciudadano Foundation.

YES: A YES score is earned if sole sourcing is limited to specific, tightly defined conditions, such as when a supplier is the only source of a skill or technology.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no prohibitions on sole sourcing. A NO score is earned if the prohibitions on sole sourcing are general and unspecific.

48g. In law, unsuccessful bidders can instigate an official review of procurement decisions.

YES | NO

Comments:
According to the sources mentioned, unsuccessful bidders can instigate an official review of procurement decisions. Specifically, Decree 1023/01 establishes that the regulation in each procurement process must define which are the cases in which this situation may occur.
YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal appeal process for unsuccessful bidders.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such process exists.

48h. In law, unsuccessful bidders can challenge procurement decisions in a court of law.

YES | NO

Comments:
Unsuccessful bidders can challenge procurement decisions in a court of law. The mechanism for doing so is defined in each process. However, a free process is not guaranteed in all cases.

References:
Acquisition and procurement of public goods and services regulation (decree 436/00)
Public Procurement Regulation (Decree 1023/01)
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if unsuccessful bidders can use the courts to appeal a procurement decision.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such process exists.

48i. In law, companies guilty of major violations of procurement regulations (i.e. bribery) are prohibited from participating in future procurement bids.

YES | NO

Comments:
Both sources mentioned prohibit convicted felons from participating in procurement bids.

References:
Acquisition and procurement of public goods and services regulation (decree 436/00)
Public Procurement Regulation (Decree 1023/01)
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal procurement blacklists, preventing convicted companies from doing business with the government.
NO: A NO score is earned if no such process exists.

48. In practice, companies guilty of major violations of procurement regulations (i.e. bribery) are prohibited from participating in future procurement bids.

49. Can citizens access the public procurement process?

67

49a. In law, citizens can access public procurement regulations.

Comments:
Public procurement regulations must be published through the Internet (the Web site of the National Procurement Office).

References:
Acquisition and procurement of public goods and services regulation (decree 436/00)
YES: A YES score is earned if procurement rules are, by law, open to the public. These regulations are defined here as the rules governing the competitive procurement process.

NO: A NO score is earned if procurement rules are officially secret for any reason or if there are no procurement rules.

49b. In law, the government is required to publicly announce the results of procurement decisions.

YES | NO

Comments:
Public procurement results must be published on the Web site of the National Procurement Office.

References:
Acquisition and procurement of public goods and services regulation (decree 436/00)
Public Procurement Regulation (Decree 1023/01)
www.infoleg.gov.ar

www.argentinacompra.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if the government is required to publicly post or announce the results of the public procurement process. This can be done through major media outlets or on a publicly-accessible government register or log.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no requirement for the government to publicly announce the results of the public procurement process.

49c. In practice, citizens can access public procurement regulations within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007

University of Buenos Aires

Vulnerable Public Contracting (Risk Map)
100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information. These records are defined here as the rules governing the competitive procurement process.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

49d. In practice, citizens can access public procurement regulations at a reasonable cost.

Comments:
Most of them are published on the Internet.

References:
Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires
www.argentinacompra.gov.ar
Vulnerable Public Contracting (Risk Map)

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line. These records are defined here as the rules governing the competitive procurement process.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

49e. In practice, major public procurements are effectively advertised.
### References:
Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007

**University of Buenos Aires**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100: There is a formal process of advertising public procurements. This may include a government website, newspaper advertising, or other official announcements. All major procurements are advertised in this way. Sufficient time is allowed for bidders to respond to advertisements.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50: There is a formal process of advertisement but it is flawed. Some major procurements may not be advertised, or the advertising process may not be effective. The time between advertisements and bidding may be too short to allow full participation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0: There is no formal process of advertising major public procurements or the process is superficial and ineffective.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

49f. In practice, citizens can access the results of major public procurement bids.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

### References:
Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007

**University of Buenos Aires**

Vulnerable Public Contracting (Risk Map)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100: Records of public procurement results are publicly available through a formal process.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50: Records of public procurements are available, but there are exceptions to this practice. Some information may not be available, or some citizens may not be able to access information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0: This information is not available to the public through an official process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**IV-4. Privatization**
50. Is the privatization process effective?

50a. In law, all businesses are eligible to compete for privatized state assets.

**YES | NO**

Comments:
There were no privatizations in the study period. They were made mostly between 1989-1994 with a high level of discretion, lack of control and access to information and without competition.

References:
http://www.mepriv.mecon.gov.ar/

**YES:** A YES score is earned if all businesses are equally eligible to compete for privatized assets. A YES score is still earned if the government did not privatize any state-owned assets during the study period.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if any group of businesses (other than those blacklisted due to corruption charges) is excluded by law.

50b. In law, there are regulations addressing conflicts of interest for government officials involved in privatization.

**YES | NO**

References:
Public Ethics Law 25188

Decree 164/1999

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there are specific formal regulations defining and regulating conflicts of interest between official public duty and private interests for privatization officials. A YES score is earned if such regulations cover all civil servants, including privatization officials.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there are no such formal regulations.

50c. In practice, conflicts of interest regulations for government officials involved in privatization are enforced.
Comments:
There were no privatizations in the study period. They were made mostly between 1989-1994 with a high level of discretion, lack of control and access to information and without competition.
If a privatization process were carried out nowadays, it would be difficult to enforce conflict of interest regulations. It would depend on the government officials’ will to testify that there are no conflict of interest between the process in which they are involved and other activities developed by them. Every process would be different and would have a different regulation.

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano

100: Regulations regarding conflicts of interest for privatization officials are aggressively enforced.

75:

50: Conflict of interest regulations exist, but are flawed. Some violations may not be enforced, or some officials may be exempt from the regulations.

25:

0: Conflict of interest regulations do not exist, or are consistently ineffective.

51. Can citizens access the terms and conditions of privatization bids?

0

51a. In law, citizens can access privatization regulations.

YES | NO

Comments:
There were no privatizations in the study period. They were made mostly between 1989-1994 with a high level of discretion, lack of control and access to information and without competition.

References:
http://www.mepriv.mecon.gov.ar/

YES: A YES score is earned if privatization rules (defined here as the rules governing the competitive privatization process) are, by law, open to the public. Even if privatization is infrequent or rare, the most recent privatization should be used as the basis for scoring this indicator.

NO: A NO score is earned if privatization rules are officially secret for any reason or if there are no privatization rules.
51b. In practice, privatizations are effectively advertised.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
There were no privatizations in the study period. They were made mostly between 1989-1994 with a high level of discretion, lack of control and access to information and without competition.

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation

100: There is a formal process of advertising privatizations. This may include a government website, newspaper advertising, or other official announcements. All major procurements are advertised in this way. Sufficient time is allowed for bidders to respond to advertisements.

75:

50: There is a formal process of advertisement but it is flawed. Some privatizations may not be advertised, or the advertising process may not be effective. The time between advertisements and bidding may be too short to allow full participation.

25:

0: There is no formal process of advertising privatizations or the process is superficial and ineffective.

51c. In law, the government is required to publicly announce the results of privatization decisions.

YES | NO

Comments:
There were no privatizations in the study period. They were made mostly between 1989-1994 with a high level of discretion, lack of control and access to information and without competition.

References:
Law 23696
http://mepriv.mecon.gov.ar/Normas/23696.htm

YES: A YES score is earned if the government is required to publicly post or announce the results of the privatization process. This can be done through major media outlets or on a publicly-accessible government register or log.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no requirement for the government to publicly announce the results of the privatization process.

51d. In practice, citizens can access privatization regulations within a reasonable time period.
Comments:
There were no privatizations in the study period. They were made mostly between 1989-1994 with a high level of discretion, lack of control and access to information and without competition.

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation

100: Records (defined here as the rules governing the competitive privatization process) are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

51e. In practice, citizens can access privatization regulations at a reasonable cost.

Comments:
There were no privatizations in the study period. They were made mostly between 1989-1994 with a high level of discretion, lack of control and access to information and without competition.

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation

100: Records (defined here as the rules governing the competitive privatization process) are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.
52. In law, is there a national ombudsman, public protector or equivalent agency (or collection of agencies) covering the entire public sector?

YES | NO

References:
Ombudsman creation Law (Law 24.284 and modifications, Law 24.379)

www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a specific agency or set of agencies whose primary mandate is to investigate the actions of government on the behalf of common citizens. This agency or set of agencies should be specifically charged with seeking out and documenting abuses of power.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such agency or set of agencies exists, or that function is a secondary concern of a larger body, such as the legislature.

53. Is the national ombudsman effective?

73

53a. In law, the ombudsman is protected from political interference.

YES | NO
YES: A YES score is earned only if the agency (or set of agencies) has some formal organizational independence from the government. A YES score is earned even if the entity is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.

NO: A NO score is earned if the agency is a subordinate part of any government ministry or agency, such as the Department of Interior or the Justice Department.

53b. In practice, the ombudsman is protected from political interference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>This agency (or set of agencies) operates independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable judgments in politically sensitive cases. Investigations can operate without hindrance from the government, including access to politically sensitive information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>This agency (or set of agencies) is typically independent, yet is sometimes influenced in its work by negative or positive political incentives. This may include public criticism or praise by the government. The ombudsman may not be provided with some information needed to carry out its investigations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>This agency (or set of agencies) is commonly influenced by political or personal incentives. This may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses of power. The ombudsman cannot compel the government to reveal sensitive information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0: This agency (or set of agencies) is commonly influenced by political or personal incentives. This may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses of power. The ombudsman cannot compel the government to reveal sensitive information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

53c. In practice, the head of the ombudsman agency/entity is protected from removal without relevant justification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### References:


Interview published by La Nación

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>The director of the ombudsman (or directors of multiple agencies) serves a defined term and cannot be removed without a significant justification through a formal process, such as impeachment for abuse of power.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>The director of the ombudsman (or directors of multiple agencies) serves a defined term, but can in some cases be removed through a combination of official or unofficial pressure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The director of the ombudsman (or directors of multiple agencies) can be removed at the will of political leadership.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

53d. In practice, the ombudsman agency (or agencies) has a professional, full-time staff.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### References:


Interview published by La Nación

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>The ombudsman agency (or agencies) has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>The ombudsman agency (or agencies) has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The ombudsman agency (or agencies) has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

53e. In practice, agency appointments support the independence of the ombudsman agency (or agencies).

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### References:


Interview published by La Nación
100: Appointments to the agency (or agencies) are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.

75:

50: Appointments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties.

25:

0: Appointments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

53f. In practice, the ombudsman agency (or agencies) receives regular funding.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Interview with Pilar Arcidiacono, Cels (Centro de Estudios Legales y sociales), Aug. 17, 2007

100: The agency (or agencies) has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

25:

0: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency functions.

53g. In practice, the ombudsman agency (or agencies) makes publicly available reports.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Reports are available on the Internet.

References:
http://www.defensor.gov.ar/informes/infoanual-sp.htm
100: The agency (or agencies) makes regular, publicly available, substantial reports either to the legislature or directly to the public outlining the full scope of its work.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) makes publicly available reports to the legislature and/or directly to the public that are sometimes delayed or incomplete.

25:

0: The agency (or agencies) makes no reports of its activities, or makes reports that are consistently out of date, unavailable to the public, or insubstantial.

53h. In practice, when necessary, the national ombudsman (or equivalent agency or agencies) initiates investigations.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References:
Interview with the Ombudsman, published by La Nación

Ombudsman Public Reports
www.defensor.gov.ar

100: The agency aggressively starts investigations — or participates fully with cooperating agencies' investigations — into judicial misconduct. The agency is fair in its application of this power.

75:

50: The agency will start or cooperate in investigations, but often relies on external pressure to set priorities, or has limited effectiveness when investigating. The agency, though limited in effectiveness, is still fair in its application of power.

25:

0: The agency rarely investigates on its own or cooperates in other agencies' investigations, or the agency is partisan in its application of this power.

53i. In practice, when necessary, the national ombudsman (or equivalent agency or agencies) imposes penalties on offenders.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
The agency does not have the authority to impose penalties on offenders.
100: When rules violations are discovered, the agency is aggressive in penalizing offenders or in cooperating with other agencies who penalize offenders.

75:

50: The agency enforces rules, but is limited in its effectiveness. The agency may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, resistant to cooperating with other agencies, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The agency does not effectively penalize offenders. The agency may make judgments but not enforce them, does not cooperate with other agencies in enforcing penalties, or may fail to make reasonable judgments against offenders. The agency may be partisan in its application of power.

53j. In practice, the government acts on the findings of the ombudsman agency (or agencies).

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:

Interview with Pilar Arcidiacono, Cels, Aug. 17, 2007

100: Ombudsman's reports are taken seriously, with negative findings drawing prompt corrective action.

75:

50: In most cases, ombudsman's reports are acted on, though some exceptions may occur for politically sensitive issues, or particularly resistant agencies.

25:

0: Ombudsman reports are often ignored, or given superficial attention. Ombudsman reports do not lead to policy changes.

53k. In practice, the ombudsman agency (or agencies) acts on citizen complaints within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0
100: The agency (or agencies) acts on complaints quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, complaints are acknowledged promptly and investigations into serious abuses move steadily towards resolution. Citizens with simple issues can expect a resolution within a month.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) acts on complaints quickly, with some exceptions. Some complaints may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

25:

0: The agency (or agencies) cannot resolve complaints quickly. Complaints may be unacknowledged for more than a month, and simple issues may take more than three months to resolve. Serious abuses are not investigated with any urgency.

54. Can citizens access the reports of the ombudsman?

100

54a. In law, citizens can access reports of the ombudsman(s).

YES | NO

Comments:
The ombudsman must present an annual report. Those reports are published through the Official State Bulletin, and the session reports of both the House of Representatives and the Senate.

References:

www.infoleg.gov.ar/

YES: A YES score is earned if all ombudsman reports are publicly available.

NO: A NO score is earned if any ombudsman reports are not publicly available. This may include reports made exclusively to the legislature or the executive, which those bodies may choose not to distribute the reports.

54b. In practice, citizens can access the reports of the ombudsman(s) within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Reports are available on the Internet.
### V-2. Supreme Audit Institution

55. In law, is there a national supreme audit institution, auditor general or equivalent agency covering the entire public sector?
55. In law, is there a national supreme audit institution, auditor general or equivalent agency covering the entire public sector?

YES | NO

References:
UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
The National General Audit Office is the institution whose main mandate is to audit the public administration. It is in charge of the external control of economic, financial and operative aspects.

National Constitution (article 85)

Financial Administration and Public Control Systems Law, Law 24156 (articles 116 to 127)

www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a specific agency whose primary mandate is to audit and track the movement of money through the government. This agency should be specifically charged to investigate and document the misuse of funds. A system of agencies located in each department is equivalent.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such agency exists, or that function is a secondary concern of a larger body, such as the executive.

56. Is the supreme audit institution effective?

YES | NO

Comments:
The agency is a self-governing office. Its president is appointed by recommendation of the opposition party with more members in Congress.

References:
National Constitution (article 85)
Financial Administration and Public Control Systems Law, Law 24156 (articles 116 to 127)

www.infoleg.gov.ar
YES: A YES score is earned only if the agency has some formal organizational independence from the government. A YES score is earned even if the entity is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.

NO: A NO score is earned if the agency is a subordinate part of any government ministry or agency, such as the Department of Interior or the Justice Department.

56b. In practice, the head of the audit agency is protected from removal without relevant justification.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
No cases were found.

References:
Interview with Julieta Arias, Transparency and Anti-Corruption Department, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: The director of the agency serves a defined term and cannot be removed without a significant justification through a formal process, such as impeachment for abuse of power.

75:

50: The director of the agency serves a defined term, but can in some cases be removed through a combination of official or unofficial pressure.

25:

0: The director of the agency can be removed at the will of political leadership.

56c. In practice, the audit agency has a professional, full-time staff.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
En busca del control perdido", ACIJ,
http://www.acij.org.ar/INFORME_CPMRC.pdf

100: The agency has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

75:

50: The agency has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.
The agency has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

56d. In practice, audit agency appointments support the independence of the agency.

- 100: Appointments to the agency are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.
- 75:
- 50: Appointments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties.
- 25:
- 0: Appointments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

56e. In practice, the audit agency receives regular funding.

References:

Interview with Julieta Arias, Transparency and Anti-Corruption Department, Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 17, 2007

100: The agency has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

References:
http://buscador.lanacion.com.ar/Nota.asp?nota_id=890125&high=oficina%252520anticorrupci%2525F3n

50: The agency has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

25:

0: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

56f. In practice, the audit agency makes regular public reports.

Comments:
Reports are available on the Internet.

References:
www.agn.gov.ar

100: The agency makes regular, publicly available, substantial reports to the legislature and/or to the public directly outlining the full scope of its work.

75:

50: The agency makes publicly available reports to the legislature and/or to the public directly that are sometimes delayed or incomplete.

25:

0: The agency makes no reports of its activities, or makes reports that are consistently out of date, unavailable to the public, or insubstantial.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

56g. In practice, the government acts on the findings of the audit agency.

References:
http://buscador.lanacion.com.ar/Nota.asp?nota_id=890125&high=oficina%252520anticorrupci%2525F3n
En busca del control perdido”, ACIJ
http://www.acij.org.ar/INFORME_CPMRC.pdf

100: Audit agency reports are taken seriously, with negative findings drawing prompt corrective action.
In most cases, audit agency reports are acted on, though some exceptions may occur for politically sensitive issues, or particularly resistant agencies.

Audit reports are often ignored, or given superficial attention. Audit reports do not lead to policy changes.

In practice, the audit agency is able to initiate its own investigations.

Comments:
The agency initiates investigations according to an annual plan defined by Congress.

References:
http://buscador.lanacion.com.ar/Nota.asp?nota_id=890125&high=oficina%252520anticorrupci%2525F3n
En busca del control perdido*, ACIJ
http://www.acij.org.ar/INFORME_CPMRC.pdf

The supreme audit institution can control the timing and pace of its investigations without any input from the executive or legislature.

The supreme audit institution can generally decide what to investigate, and when, but is subject to pressure from the executive or legislature on politically sensitive issues.

The supreme audit institution must rely on approval from the executive or legislature before initiating investigations. Politically sensitive investigations are almost impossible to move forward on.

Can citizens access reports of the supreme audit institution?

In law, citizens can access reports of the audit agency.
YES: A YES score is earned if all supreme auditor reports are available to the general public.

NO: A NO score is earned if any auditor reports are not publicly available. This may include reports made exclusively to the legislature or the executive, which those bodies may choose not to distribute.

57b. In practice, citizens can access audit reports within a reasonable time period.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Reports are available on the Internet.

References:
www.agn.gov.ar


100: Reports are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Reports are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Reports take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Reports take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most reports may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

57c. In practice, citizens can access the audit reports at a reasonable cost.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Reports are available on the Internet.
100: Reports are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Reports can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Reports impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving reports may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving reports imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Report costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

V-3. Taxes and Customs

58. In law, is there a national tax collection agency?

100

YES | NO

References:
Decree 618/1997
The Federal Administration of Public Incomes is the agency responsible for the national tax collection. It is part of the Ministry of Economics.

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a national agency formally mandated to collect taxes.

NO: A NO score is earned if that function is spread over several agencies, or does not exist. A NO score is earned if national government ministries can collect taxes independently.
59a. In practice, the tax collection agency has a professional, full-time staff.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation

100: The agency has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

75:

50: The agency has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.

25:

0: The agency has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

59b. In practice, the tax agency receives regular funding.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation

100: The agency has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

75:

50: The agency has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

25:

0: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions.
### 60. In practice, are tax laws enforced uniformly and without discrimination?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
Evasion has decreased in the last year due to the effective role of the Federal Administration of Public Incomes.

**References:**

Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

---

### 100: Tax laws (which may be economically unfair as written) are enforced consistently for all citizens. No general group of citizens is more or less likely to evade tax law than another.

### 75:

### 50: Tax laws are generally enforced consistently, but some exceptions exist. For example, some groups may occasionally evade tax law. Some arbitrary and discriminatory tax rules exist.

### 25:

### 0: Tax law is unequally applied. Some groups of citizens are consistently more or less likely to evade tax law than others. Tax regulations are, as a rule, written to be discriminatory and/or arbitrary.

---

### 61. In law, is there a national customs and excise agency?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**References:**
General Customs Office

Decree 618/1997: General Customs Office belongs to the Federal Administration of Public Incomes.
YES: A YES score is earned if there is an agency formally mandated to collect excises and inspect customs.

NO: A NO score is earned if that function is spread over several agencies, or does not exist.

62. Is the customs and excise agency effective?

75

62a. In practice, the customs and excise agency has a professional, full-time staff.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation

100: The agency has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

75:

50: The agency has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.

25:

0: The agency has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

62b. In practice, the customs and excise agency receives regular funding.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation
The agency has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

The agency has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions.

63. In practice, are customs and excise laws enforced uniformly and without discrimination?

Customs and excise laws (which may be economically unfair as written) are enforced consistently for all citizens. No general group of citizens is more or less likely to evade customs than another.

Customs and excise laws are generally enforced consistently, but some exceptions exist. For example, some groups may occasionally evade customs requirements.

Customs and excise laws are unequally applied. Some groups of citizens are consistently more or less likely to evade customs and excise laws than others.

References:

Gastón Rosenberg – Professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

V-4. State-Owned Enterprises

64. In law, is there an agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies?
64. In law, is there an agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies?

**YES | NO**

**Comments:**
This law creates two regulatory bodies whose mandate is to control state-owned companies. Besides, every state-owned company has their own regulatory body.

**References:**
The Financial Administration Law: 24156

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is an agency or equivalent mechanism tasked with overseeing the conduct and performance of state-owned companies on behalf of the public. State-owned companies are defined as companies owned in whole or in part by the government.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if this function does not exist.

---

65. Is the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies effective?

55

65a. In law, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies is protected from political interference.

**YES | NO**

**References:**
The Financial Administration Law: 24156

**YES:** A YES score is earned only if the agency or equivalent mechanism has some formal operational independence from the government. A YES score is earned even if the entity is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if the agency or equivalent mechanism is a subordinate part of any government ministry or agency, such as the Department of Interior or the Justice Department.

---

65b. In practice, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies has a professional, full-time staff.
100: The agency or equivalent mechanism has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

75:

50: The agency or equivalent mechanism has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.

25:

0: The agency or equivalent mechanism has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

65c. In practice, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies receives regular funding.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation

100: The the agency or equivalent mechanism has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

75:

50: The agency or equivalent mechanism has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

25:

0: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency functions.

65d. In practice, when necessary, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies independently initiates investigations.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation
Comments:
The General Auditing Office (AGN) carries out random controls. The General Sindicate (SIGEN) is not independent. Just like the other specific regulatory bodies, it is created by the executive branch.

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano Foundation

100: When irregularities are discovered, the agency or equivalent mechanism is aggressive in investigating and/or in cooperating with other investigative bodies.

75:

50: The agency or equivalent mechanism starts investigations, but is limited in its effectiveness or in its cooperation with other investigative agencies. The agency may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The agency or equivalent mechanism does not effectively investigate financial irregularities or cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency may start investigations but not complete them, or may fail to detect offenders. The agency may be partisan in its application of power.

65e. In practice, when necessary, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies imposes penalties on offenders.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007

100: When rules violations are discovered, the agency or equivalent mechanism is aggressive in penalizing offenders and/or in cooperating with other agencies that impose penalties.

75:

50: The agency or equivalent mechanism enforces rules, but is limited in its effectiveness or reluctant to cooperate with other agencies. The agency may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The agency or equivalent mechanism does not effectively penalize offenders or refuses to cooperate with other agencies that enforce penalties. The agency may make judgments but not enforce them, or may fail to make reasonable judgments against offenders. The agency may be partisan in its application of power.

66. Can citizens access the financial records of state-owned companies?
66a. In law, citizens can access the financial records of state-owned companies.

YES | NO

Comments:

References:
Decree 1172/2003 Access to public information. Annex VII Article 2

YES: A YES score is earned if the financial information of all state-owned companies is required by law to be public. State-owned companies are defined as companies owned in whole or in part by the government.

NO: A NO score is earned if any category of state-owned company is exempt from this rule, or no such rules exist.

66b. In practice, the financial records of state-owned companies are regularly updated.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007

100: State-owned companies always disclose financial data, which is generally accurate and up to date.

75:

50: State-owned companies disclose financial data, but it is flawed. Some companies may misstate financial data, or file the information behind schedule.

25:

0: Financial data is not available, or is consistently superficial or otherwise of no value.

66c. In practice, the financial records of state-owned companies are audited according to international accounting standards.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0
Comments:
The companies are audited by internal and external regulatory bodies.

References:
Agustín Mazzi – Autopistas Urbanas S.A., September 2007

100: Financial records of all state-owned companies are regularly audited by a trained third party auditor using accepted international standards.

75:

50: Financial records of state-owned companies are regularly audited, but exceptions may exist. Some companies may use flawed or deceptive accounting procedures, or some companies may be exempted from this requirement.

25:

0: State-owned companies are not audited, or the audits have no functional value. The auditors may collude with the companies in providing misleading or false information to the public.

66d. In practice, citizens can access the financial records of state-owned companies within a reasonable time period.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

Comments:
Although there is a Decree of Access to Public Information, not all state bodies abide to it.

References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007
Poder Ciudadano

100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

66e. In practice, citizens can access the financial records of state-owned companies at a reasonable cost.
References:
Federico Arenoso – Poder Ciudadano Foundation, September 2007

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

75

V-5. Business Licensing and Regulation

67. Are business licenses available to all citizens?

63

67a. In law, anyone may apply for a business license.

YES | NO

References:
Sociedades Comerciales “Law 19.550 for organizing entities (of two or more people). Decree 618/1997. The Federal Administration of Public Incomes is the agency responsible.
http://200.1.116.73/genericos/guiadeTramites/documentos/65414.htm

YES: A YES score is earned if no particular group or category of citizens is excluded from applying for a business license, when required. A YES score is also earned if basic business licenses are not required.

NO: A NO score is earned if any group of citizens are categorically excluded from applying for a business license, when required
67b. In law, a complaint mechanism exists if a business license request is denied.

| YES | NO |

References:
Decree 618/1997
The Federal Administration of Public Incomes is the agency responsible.

| YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process for appealing a rejected license. |
| NO: A NO score is earned if no such mechanism exists. |

67c. In practice, citizens can obtain any necessary business license (i.e. for a small import business) within a reasonable time period.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Anyone who wants to run a commercial activity must register it with the Federal Administration of Public Incomes and in the corresponding City Council administration.

References:
Julieta Arenoso – University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

| 100: Licenses are not required, or licenses can be obtained within roughly one week. |
| 75: |
| 50: Licensing is required and takes around one month. Some groups may be delayed up to a three months |
| 25: |
| 0: Licensing takes more than three months for most groups. Some groups may wait six months to one year to get necessary licenses. |

67d. In practice, citizens can obtain any necessary business license (i.e. for a small import business) at a reasonable cost.
100: Licenses are not required, or licenses are free. Licenses can be obtained at little cost to the organization, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Licenses are required, and impose a financial burden on the organization. Licenses may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Licenses are required, and impose a major financial burden on the organization. Licensing costs are prohibitive to the organization.

68. Are there transparent business regulatory requirements for basic health, environmental, and safety standards?

100

68a. In law, basic business regulatory requirements for meeting public health standards are transparent and publicly available.

YES | NO

References:
Health and Security in Work Law 19.587

Decree 351/79

YES: A YES score is earned if basic regulatory requirements for meeting public health standards are publicly accessible and transparent.

NO: A NO score is earned if such requirements are not made public or are otherwise not transparent.
68b. In law, basic business regulatory requirements for meeting public environmental standards are transparent and publicly available.

**YES | NO**

References:
Health and Security in Work Law 19.587. Article 6 c)

Decree 351/79

**YES:** A YES score is earned if basic regulatory requirements for meeting public environmental standards are publicly accessible and transparent.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if such requirements are not made public or are otherwise not transparent.

68c. In law, basic business regulatory requirements for meeting public safety standards are transparent and publicly available.

**YES | NO**

References:
Health and Security in Work Law 19.587

Decree 351/79

**YES:** A YES score is earned if basic regulatory requirements for meeting public safety standards are publicly accessible and transparent.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if such requirements are not made public or are otherwise not transparent.

69. Does government effectively enforce basic health, environmental, and safety standards on businesses?

69a. In practice, business inspections by government officials to ensure public health standards are being met are carried out in a uniform and even-handed manner.

50
Although it is very difficult to prove, bribery to avoid inspections exists. (Rosenberg)

The Ministry of Employment increased the number of inspectors in the last years in order to detect and sanction any violations of the law. (Cacioni)

References:
Leandro Cacioni – Ministry of Employment, September 2007
Ministry of Employment

Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

100: Business inspections by the government to ensure that public health standards are being met are designed and carried out in such a way as to ensure comprehensive compliance by all businesses with transparent regulatory requirements.

75:

50: Business inspections by the government to ensure public health standards are met are generally carried out in an even-handed way though exceptions exist. Bribes are occasionally paid to extract favorable treatment or expedited processing.

25:

0: Business inspections to ensure that public health standards are met are routinely carried out by government officials in an ad hoc, arbitrary fashion designed to extract extra payments from businesses in exchange for favorable treatment.

69b. In practice, business inspections by government officials to ensure public environmental standards are being met are carried out in a uniform and even-handed manner.

Comments:
Although it is very difficult to prove, bribery to avoid inspections exists. (Rosenberg)

The Ministry of Employment increased the number of inspectors in the last years in order to detect and sanction any violations of the law. (Cacioni)

References:
Leandro Cacioni – Ministry of Employment, September 2007
Ministry of Employment

Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires
Business inspections by the government to ensure that public environmental standards are being met are designed and carried out in such a way as to ensure comprehensive compliance by all businesses with transparent regulatory requirements.

Business inspections by the government to ensure public environmental standards are met are generally carried out in an even-handed way though exceptions exist. Bribes are occasionally paid to extract favorable treatment or expedited processing.

Business inspections to ensure that public environmental standards are met are routinely carried out by government officials in an ad hoc, arbitrary fashion designed to extract extra payments from businesses in exchange for favorable treatment.

In practice, business inspections by government officials to ensure public safety standards are being met are carried out in a uniform and even-handed manner.

Although it is very difficult to prove, bribery to avoid inspections exists. (Rosenberg)

The Ministry of Employment increased the number of inspectors in the last years in order to detect and sanction any violations of the law. (Cacioni)

References:
Leandro Cacioni – Ministry of Employment, September 2007
Ministry of Employment

Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

Business inspections by the government to ensure that public safety standards are being met are designed and carried out in such a way as to ensure comprehensive compliance by all businesses with transparent regulatory requirements.

Business inspections by the government to ensure public safety standards are met are generally carried out in an even-handed way though exceptions exist. Bribes are occasionally paid to extract favorable treatment or expedited processing.

Business inspections to ensure that public safety standards are met are routinely carried out by government officials in an ad hoc, arbitrary fashion designed to extract extra payments from businesses in exchange for favorable treatment.
70. Is there legislation criminalizing corruption?

100

70a. In law, attempted corruption is illegal.

YES | NO

References:
Criminal Code, Article 45
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if corruption laws include attempted acts.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70b. In law, extortion is illegal.

YES | NO

References:
Criminal Code
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if corruption laws include extortion. Extortion is defined as demanding favorable treatment (such as a bribe) to withhold a punishment.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70c. In law, offering a bribe (i.e. active corruption) is illegal.
YES: A YES score is earned if offering a bribe is illegal.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70d. In law, receiving a bribe (i.e. passive corruption) is illegal.

YES: A YES score is earned if receiving a bribe is illegal.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70e. In law, bribing a foreign official is illegal.

YES: A YES score is earned if bribing a foreign official is illegal.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70f. In law, using public resources for private gain is illegal.
YES | NO

References:
Public Ethics Law (Law 25188)
Criminal Code, Article 261

YES: A YES score is earned if using public resources for private gain is illegal.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70g. In law, using confidential state information for private gain is illegal.

YES | NO

References:
Criminal Code, Articles 233, 26.
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if using confidential state information for private gain is illegal.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70h. In law, money laundering is illegal.

YES | NO

References:
Creation of the Financial Information Unit Law (Law 25246)
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if money laundering is illegal. Money laundering is defined as concealing the origin of funds to hide wrongdoing or avoid confiscation.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.
70i. In law, conspiracy to commit a crime (i.e. organized crime) is illegal.

| YES | NO |

References:
- Criminal Code Article 210, 210 bis
- Law on narcotics and psychotropic substances (Law 23737)
- www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if organized crime is illegal.
NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

VI-2. Anti-Corruption Agency

71. In law, is there an agency (or group of agencies) with a legal mandate to address corruption?

100

References:
- UN / OAS Conventions against Corruption
- The Anti-Corruption Office is the agency specifically mandated to address corruption.
- Ministries Law (Law 25.233)
- Decree 102/99
- www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if an agency is specifically mandated to address corruption. A YES score is earned if there are several agencies or entities with specific roles in fighting corruption, including special prosecutorial entities.
NO: A NO score is earned if no agency (or group of agencies/entities) is specifically mandated to prevent or prosecute corruption.
72. Is the anti-corruption agency effective?

75

72a. In law, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) is protected from political interference.

YES | NO

References:
Ministries Law (Law 25.233)
Decree 102/99

www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned only if the agency (or agencies) has some formal organizational or operational independence from the government. A YES score is earned even if the agency/agencies is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.

NO: A NO score is earned if the agency (or agencies) is a subordinate part of any government ministry or agency, such as the Department of Interior or the Justice Department, in such a way that limits its operational independence.

72b. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) is protected from political interference.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

100: This agency (or agencies) operates independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable judgments in politically sensitive cases. Investigations can operate without hindrance from the government, including access to politically sensitive information.

75:

50: This agency (or agencies) is typically independent, yet is sometimes influenced in its work by negative or positive political incentives. This may include favorable or unfavorable public criticism by the government, political appointments, or other forms of influence. The agency (or agencies) may not be provided with some information needed to carry out its investigations.

25:
This agency (or agencies) is commonly influenced by political or personal incentives. These may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses of power. The agency (or agencies) cannot compel the government to reveal sensitive information.

72c. In practice, the head of the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) is protected from removal without relevant justification.

Comments:
There were no registered cases.

References:
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

Interview with Julieta Arias, Transparency and Anti-Corruption Department, Poder Ciudadano Foundation. Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 10, 2007

The director(s) cannot be removed without a significant justification through a formal process, such as impeachment for abuse of power.

75:

The director(s) can in some cases be removed through a combination of official or unofficial pressure.

25:

The director(s) can be removed at the will of political leadership.

72d. In practice, appointments to the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) are based on professional criteria.

References:
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

Appointments to the agency (or agencies) are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest arising from personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.

75:

Appointments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties, however.
Appointments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest arising from personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) has a professional, full-time staff.

References:
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

The agency (or agencies) has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

The agency (or agencies) has limited staff, or staff without necessary qualifications to fulfill its basic mandate.

The agency (or agencies) has no staff, or a limited staff, that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) receives regular funding.

References:
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

The agency (or agencies) has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

The agency (or agencies) has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

The agency’s funding sources are unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions.
72g. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) makes regular public reports.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Decree 102/99
http://www.anticorrupcion.jus.gov.ar/gestion.asp

Interview with Julieta Arias, Transparency and Anti-Corruption Department, Poder Ciudadano Foundation. Poder Ciudadano Foundation, Aug. 10, 2007

100: The agency (or agencies) makes regular, publicly available, substantial reports to the legislature and/or to the public directly outlining the full scope of its work.

75: 

50: The agency (or agencies) makes publicly available reports to the legislature that are sometimes delayed or incomplete.

25: 

0: The agency (or agencies) makes no reports of its activities, or makes reports that are consistently out of date, unavailable to the public, or insubstantial.

72h. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) has sufficient powers to carry out its mandate.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
In terms of investigations, the AO has sufficient powers to carry out its mandate, but it depends on the political will in order to implement transparency policies.

References:
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

100: The agency (or agencies) has powers to gather information, including politically sensitive information. The agency (or agencies) can question suspects, order arrests and bring suspects to trial (or rely on related agencies or law enforcement authorities to perform such functions).

75: 

50: The agency (or agencies) has most of the powers needed to carry out its mandate with some exceptions.

25:
The agency (or agencies) lacks significant powers which limit its effectiveness.

72i. In practice, when necessary, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) independently initiates investigations.

References:
Interview with Julieta Arias, Transparency and Anti-Corroption Department, Poder Ciudadano Fundation, Aug. 17, 2007
http://buscador.lanacion.com.ar/Nota.asp?nota_id=870863&high=oficina%252520anticorrupci%252525F3n

100: When irregularities are discovered, the agency (or agencies) is aggressive in investigating the government or in cooperating with other investigative agencies.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) starts investigations, but is limited in its effectiveness or is reluctant to cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency (or agencies) may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The agency (or agencies) does not effectively investigate or does not cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency (or agencies) may start investigations but not complete them, or may fail to detect offenders. The agency (or agencies) may be partisan in its application of power.

73. Can citizens access the anti-corruption agency?

75

73a. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) acts on complaints within a reasonable time period.

References:
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

100: The agency (or agencies) acts on complaints quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, complaints are acknowledged promptly and investigations into serious abuses move steadily towards resolution. Citizens with simple issues can expect a resolution within a month.

75:
50: The agency (or agencies) acts on complaints quickly, with some exceptions. Some complaints may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

0: The agency (or agencies) cannot resolve complaints quickly. Complaints may be unacknowledged for more than a month, and simple issues may take more than three months to resolve. Serious abuses are not investigated with any urgency.

73b. In practice, citizens can complain to the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) without fear of recrimination.

References:
Interview with Julieta Arias, Transparency and Anti-Corruption Department, Poder Ciudadano Fundation. Telephone interview, Aug. 17, 2007
Poder Ciudadano’s Reply to the Questionnaire of the Committee of Experts of the Follow-up Mechanism for the implementation of The Interamerican Convention against Corruption, 2006
http://www.poderciudadano.org/files/INFORME%20SOC%20CIV%20ARGENTINA.pdf

100: Whistleblowers can report abuses of power without fear of negative consequences. This may be due to robust mechanisms to protect the identity of whistleblowers, or may be due to a culture that encourages disclosure and accountability.

50: Whistleblowers are sometimes able to come forward without negative consequences, but in other cases, whistleblowers are punished for disclosing, either through official or unofficial means.

25: 0: Whistleblowers often face substantial negative consequences, such as losing a job, relocating to a less prominent position, or some form of harassment.

83
VI-3. Rule of Law

74. Is there an appeals mechanism for challenging criminal judgments?

58

74a. In law, there is a general right of appeal.
YES | NO

References:
National Constitution, Articles 18 (the right to fair trial) and 117 (About original and appeal competence)

Internal Rules for each fuero” (civil and commercial; administrative, criminal)

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process of appeal for challenging criminal judgments.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no such process.

74b. In practice, appeals are resolved within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Slowness is a problem that affects the whole judicial system, including appeals. Sometimes the problem is related to lack of resources, and sometimes to the absence of political will to solve judicial cases.

References:
www.ceppas.org/cipce
www.unidosjusticia.org.ar/

100: Appeals are acted upon quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, appeals are acknowledged promptly and cases move steadily towards resolution.

75:

50: Appeals are generally acted upon quickly but with some exceptions. Some appeals may not be acknowledged, and simple cases may take years to resolve.

25:

0: Most appeals are not resolved in a timely fashion. Appeals may go unacknowledged for months or years and simple cases may never be resolved.

74c. In practice, citizens can use the appeals mechanism at a reasonable cost.
**References:**
Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

**100:** In most cases, the appeals mechanism is an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to challenge criminal judgments.

**75:**

**50:** In some cases, the appeals mechanism is not an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to challenge criminal judgments.

**25:**

**0:** The prohibitive cost of utilizing the appeals mechanism prevents middle class citizens from challenging criminal judgments.

---

**75. In practice, do judgments in the criminal system follow written law?**

**75**

**References:**
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

**100:** Judgments in the criminal system are made according to established legal code and conduct. There are no exceptional cases in which individuals are treated by a separate process. Political interference, bribery, cronyism or other flaws are rarely factors in judicial outcomes.

**75:**

**50:** Judgments in the criminal system usually follow the protocols of written law. There are sometimes exceptions when political concerns, corruption or other flaws in the system decide outcomes.

**25:**

**0:** Judgments in the criminal system are often decided by factors other than written law. Bribery and corruption in the criminal judicial process are common elements affecting decisions.
76. In practice, are judicial decisions enforced by the state?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References:**
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

**100:** Judicial decisions are enforced quickly regardless of what is being decided or who is appearing before the court. Failure to comply brings penalties enforced by the state.

**75:**

**50:** Judicial decisions are generally enforced by the state, with some exceptions. Certain areas of law may be ignored, or certain parties appearing before the courts may evade or delay enforcement.

**25:**

**0:** Judicial decisions are often ignored. The state lacks the will or capacity to consistently enforce these decisions.

77. Is the judiciary able to act independently?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References:**
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there are formal rules establishing that the judiciary is independent from political interference by the executive and legislative branches. Independence include financial issues (drafting, allocation, and managing the budget of the courts).

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there are no formal rules establishing an independent judiciary.
77b. In practice, national-level judges are protected from political interference.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:

100: National level judges operate independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable judgments in politically sensitive cases. Judges never comment on political debates. Individual judgments are rarely praised or criticized by political figures.

75:

50: National level judges are typically independent, yet are sometimes influenced in their judgments by negative or positive political incentives. This may include favorable or unfavorable treatment by the government or public criticism. Some judges may be demoted or relocated in retaliation for unfavorable decisions.

25:

0: National level judges are commonly influenced by politics and personal biases or incentives. This may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include demotion, pay cuts, relocation, threats or harassment.

77c. In law, there is a transparent and objective system for distributing cases to national-level judges.

YES | NO

Comments:
Cases are distributed randomly.

References:
Rules from each fuero* (Acordada 980/99; Acordada 7/94; and others)

YES: A YES score is earned if there is an objective system that is transparent to the public that equitably or randomly assigns cases to individual judges. The executive branch does not control this process.

NO: A NO score is earned if the case assignment system is non-transparent or subjective where judges themselves have influence over which cases they adjudicate. A NO score is also earned if the executive branch controls this process.

77d. In law, national-level judges are protected from removal without relevant justification.
### Comments:
National-level judges are protected from removal without relevant justification by the constitution articles mentioned. The removal may happen only if judges haven’t carried out their responsibilities, or if they have committed a crime.

### References:
National Constitution, Articles 110 and 115
www.infoleg.gov.ar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**YES**: A YES score is earned if there are specific, formal rules for removal of a justice. Removal must be related to abuse of power or other offenses related to job performance.

**NO**: A NO score is earned if justices can be removed without justification, or for purely political reasons. A NO score is earned if the removal process is not transparent, or not based on written rules.

### 78. Are judges safe when adjudicating corruption cases?

#### 78a.
In practice, in the last year, no judges have been physically harmed because of adjudicating corruption cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Comments:
No cases were found.

#### References:
Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

**YES**: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of judges being assaulted because of their involvement in a corruption case during the specific study period. YES is a positive score.

**NO**: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases of assault to a judge related to his/her participation in a corruption trial. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

#### 78b.
In practice, in the last year, no judges have been killed because of adjudicating corruption cases.
Comments:
No cases were found.

References:
Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of judges being killed related to their involvement in a corruption case during the study period. YES is a positive score.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases where a judge was killed because of his/her participation in a corruption trial. The relationship between a mysterious death and a judge’s involvement in a case may not be clear, however the burden of proof here is low. If it is a reasonable assumption that a judge was killed in relation to his or her work on corruption issues, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

79. Do citizens have equal access to the justice system?

57

79a. In practice, judicial decisions are not affected by racial or ethnic bias.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Some groups may be discriminated against.

References:
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

100: Judicial decisions are not affected by racial or ethnic bias.

75:

50: Judicial decisions are generally not affected by racial or ethnic bias, with some exceptions. Some groups may be occasionally discriminated against, or some groups may occasionally receive favorable treatment.

25:

0: Judicial decisions are regularly distorted by racial or ethnic bias. Some groups consistently receive favorable or unfavorable treatment by the courts.

79b. In practice, women have full access to the judicial system.
100: Women enjoy full and equal status in the eyes of the courts. There are no exceptions or practices in which women are treated differently by the judicial system. For this indicator, discrimination against women should reflect specific biases that confront women in the justice system as opposed to difficulties resulting from broader socio-economic disadvantages or discrimination against women.

75: Women generally have use of the judicial system, with some exceptions. In some cases, women may be limited in their access to courts, or gender biases may affect court outcomes. For this indicator, discrimination against women should reflect specific biases that confront women in the justice system as opposed to difficulties resulting from broader socio-economic disadvantages or discrimination against women.

50: Women generally have less access to the courts than men. Court decisions are commonly distorted by gender bias. Women may have to go through intermediaries to interact with the court, or are unable to present evidence. For this indicator, discrimination against women should reflect specific biases that confront women in the justice system as opposed to difficulties resulting from broader socio-economic disadvantages or discrimination against women.

25: Women generally have less access to the courts than men. Court decisions are commonly distorted by gender bias. Women may have to go through intermediaries to interact with the court, or are unable to present evidence. For this indicator, discrimination against women should reflect specific biases that confront women in the justice system as opposed to difficulties resulting from broader socio-economic disadvantages or discrimination against women.

79c. In law, the state provides legal counsel for defendants in criminal cases who cannot afford it.

YES | NO

References:
National Constitution, article 120
Public Ministry organic Law (Law 24946)
www.infoleg.gov.ar
www.mpf.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if the government is required by law to provide impoverished defendants with legal counsel to defend themselves against criminal charges.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no legal requirement for the government to provide impoverished defendants with legal counsel to defend themselves against criminal charges.

79d. In practice, the state provides adequate legal counsel for defendants in criminal cases who cannot afford it.
Comments:
Sometimes the lack of resources (human, financial, organizational) make the provision of adequate legal counsel difficult.

References:
Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

100: State-provided legal aid is basic, but well-trained and effective in representing the rights of impoverished defendants.

75:

50: State-provided legal aid is available, but flawed. Legal aid may be unavailable to some impoverished defendants. Legal aid/public defenders may be sometimes unable or unwilling to competently represent all defendants.

25:

0: State-provided legal aid is unavailable to most impoverished defendants. State legal aid/public defenders may be consistently incompetent or unwilling to fairly represent all defendants.

79e. In practice, citizens earning the median yearly income can afford to bring a legal suit.

References:
Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

100: In most cases, the legal system is an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to redress a grievance.

75:

50: In some cases, the legal system is an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to redress a grievance. In other cases, the cost is prohibitive.

25:

0: The cost of engaging the legal system prevents middle class citizens from filing suits.

79f. In practice, a typical small retail business can afford to bring a legal suit.
References:
Gastón Rosenberg – professor at University of Buenos Aires, September 2007
University of Buenos Aires

100: In most cases, the legal system is an affordable option to a small retail business seeking to redress a grievance.

75:

50: In some cases, the legal system is an affordable option to a small retail business seeking to redress a grievance. In other cases, the cost is prohibitive.

25:

0: The cost of engaging the legal system prevents small businesses from filing suits.

79g. In practice, all citizens have access to a court of law, regardless of geographic location.

References:
Interview with Nicolás Dassen, expert on Anti-corruption affairs. Poder Ciudadano Foundation advisor. Telephone interview, Aug. 15, 2007

100: Courtrooms are always accessible to citizens at low cost, either through rural courthouses or through a system of traveling magistrates.

75:

50: Courts are available to most citizens. Some citizens may be unable to reach a courtroom at low cost due to location.

25:

0: Courts are unavailable to some regions without significant travel on the part of citizens.

VI-4. Law Enforcement

80. Is the law enforcement agency (i.e. the police) effective?
80a. In practice, appointments to the law enforcement agency (or agencies) are made according to professional criteria.

Comments:
Appointments are made through different exams (examen de ingreso).

References:
Law enforcement official (asked for anonymity), Aug. 10, 2007

100: Appointments to the agency (or agencies) are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.

75:

50: Appointments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties, however.

25:

0: Appointments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

80b. In practice, the law enforcement agency (or agencies) has a budget sufficient to carry out its mandate.

Comments:
Sometimes the budget is not enough for equipment, such as bullets, patrol cars, fuel, bullet proof vests, etc. In some cases, this is the result of political will, and sometimes the result of corruption inside the enforcement agency.

References:
Law enforcement official (asked for anonymity), Aug. 10, 2007

100: The agency (or agencies) has a budget sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) has limited budget, generally considered somewhat insufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

25:
0: The agency (or agencies) has no budget or an obviously insufficient budget that hinders the agency's ability to fulfill its mandate.

80c. In practice, the law enforcement agency is protected from political interference.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

References:
Law enforcement official (asked for anonymity), Aug. 10, 2007

100: The agency (or agencies) operates independently of the political process and has operational independence from the government. All laws can be enforced regardless of the status of suspects or the sensitivity of the investigation.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) is typically independent, yet is sometimes influenced in its investigations or enforcement actions by negative or positive political incentives. This may include favorable or unfavorable public criticism by the government or other forms of influence. The agency (or agencies) may not be provided with some information needed to carry out its investigations.

25:

0: The investigative and enforcement work of the agency (or agencies) is commonly influenced by political actors or the government. These may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses of power by the government.

81. Can law enforcement officials be held accountable for their actions?

83

81a. In law, there is an independent mechanism for citizens to complain about police action.

YES | NO

Comments:
The Anti-Corruption Office has an internal mechanism through which civil servants can complain about police actions. Attorneys and police stations may receive complaints as well.

References:
Ministries Law (Law 25233)
Public Ministry organic Law (Law24946)
Decree 102/99
denuncia@jus.gov.ar
**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is a formal process or mechanism by which citizens can complain about police actions. A YES score is earned if a broader mechanism such as the national ombudsman, human rights commission, or anti-corruption agency has jurisdiction over the police.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there is no such mechanism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

81b. In practice, the independent law enforcement complaint reporting mechanism responds to citizen’s complaints within a reasonable time period.

100: The agency/entity responds to complaints quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, complaints are acknowledged promptly and investigations into serious abuses move steadily towards resolution. Citizens with simple issues can expect a resolution within a month.

75:

50: The agency/entity responds to complaints quickly, with some exceptions. Some complaints may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

25:

0: The agency/entity cannot resolve complaints quickly. Complaints may be unacknowledged for more than a month, and simple issues may take three to six months to resolve. Serious abuses are not investigated with any urgency.

81c. In law, there is an agency/entity to investigate and prosecute corruption committed by law enforcement officials.

**YES** | **NO**

**Comments:**
The agencies available to initiate investigations on corruption committed by law enforcement officials are: The Anti-corruption Office, the National Administrative Attorney, and the Police department, which is responsible for opening sumarios administrativos”.

**References:**
Ministries Law (Law 25233)
Public Ministry law (law 24946)
decree 102/99
www.infoleg.gov.ar
YES: A YES score is earned if there is an agency/entity specifically mandated to investigate corruption-related activity within law enforcement. This agency/entity may be internal to the police department (provided it has a degree of independence, such as an internal affairs unit) or part of a broader national mechanism such as the national ombudsman, human rights commission, or anti-corruption agency.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such agency/entity exists.

81d. In practice, when necessary, the agency/entity independently initiates investigations into allegations of corruption by law enforcement officials.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

References:
Law enforcement official (asked for anonymity), Aug. 10, 2007

100: When irregularities are discovered, the agency/entity is aggressive in investigating government law enforcement officials or in cooperating with other investigative agencies.

75:

50: The agency/entity starts investigations, but is limited in its effectiveness or is reluctant to cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency/entity may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The agency/entity does not effectively investigate or does not cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency may start investigations but not complete them, or may fail to detect offenders. The agency may be partisan in its application of power.

81e. In law, law enforcement officials are not immune from criminal proceedings.

YES | NO

Comments:
Law enforcement officials should be judged the same way as regular citizens.

References:
National Constitution
www.infoleg.gov.ar

YES: A YES score is earned if law enforcement officers are fully accountable for their actions under the law and can be investigated and prosecuted for their actions.

NO: A NO score is earned if law enforcement enjoys any special protection from criminal investigation or prosecution.
In practice, law enforcement officials are not immune from criminal proceedings.

References:
Law enforcement official (asked for anonymity), Aug. 10, 2007

100: Law enforcement officers are subject to criminal investigation for official misconduct. No crimes are exempt from prosecution.

75:

50: Law enforcement is generally subject to criminal investigation but exceptions may exist where criminal actions are overlooked by the police or prosecutors. Some crimes may be exempt from prosecution, such as actions taken in the line of duty.

25:

0: Law enforcement enjoys a general protection from most criminal investigation. This may be due to a formal immunity or an informal understanding that the law enforcement community protects itself.