Overall Score:

64 - Weak

Legal Framework Score:

84 - Strong

Actual Implementation Score:

44 - Very Weak

Category I. Civil Society, Public Information and Media

I-1. Civil Society Organizations

1. Are anti-corruption/good governance CSOs legally protected?

67

1a. In law, citizens have a right to form civil society organizations (CSOs) focused on anti-corruption or good governance.

YES | NO

Comments:
Citizens have right to form organizations. According to legislation, non-commercial organizations can engage in any legal activity declared in their mission statement.

References:
The Constitution, Article 21
Law on Noncommercial Organizations of October 15, 1999, Article 12

YES: A YES score is earned when freedom to assemble into groups promoting good governance or anti-corruption is protected by law, regardless of political ideology, religion or objectives. Groups with a history of violence or terrorism (within last ten years) may be banned. Groups sympathetic to or related to banned groups must be allowed if they have no history of violence.

NO: A NO score is earned when any single non-violent group is legally prohibited from organizing to promote good governance or anti-corruption. These groups may include non-violent separatist groups, political parties or religious groups.
1b. In law, anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are free to accept funding from any foreign or domestic sources.

YES | NO

Comments:
There are no formal legal or regulatory bans to raising money from foreign or domestic sources.

References:
The Law on Non-commercial Organizations of October 15, 1999, N 111

YES: A YES score is earned if anti-corruption/good governance CSOs face no legal or regulatory restrictions to raise or accept funds from any foreign or domestic sources. A YES score may still be earned if funds from groups with a history of violence or terrorism (within last ten years) are banned.

NO: A NO score is earned if there any formal legal or regulatory bans on foreign or domestic funding sources for CSOs focused on anti-corruption or good governance.

1c. In law, anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are required to disclose their sources of funding.

YES | NO

Comments:
Information on the property and the structure of non-commercial organizations must be submitted to the state bodies, not to the public.

References:
The Law on Non-commercial organizations, Article 17

YES: A YES score is earned if anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are required to publicly disclose their sources of funding.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such public disclosure requirement exists.

2. Are good governance/anti-corruption CSOs able to operate freely?

33

2a. In practice, the government does not create barriers to the organization of new anti-corruption/good governance CSOs.
All NGOs must register if they want to operate as a legal entity. However, an NGO can be informal and not require the status of a legal entity.

References:
Confidential source (anti-corruption CSO member).
The Law on Non-commercial organizations, Article 6

100: CSOs focused on promoting good governance or anti-corruption can freely organize with little to no interaction with the government, other than voluntary registration.

75:

50: CSOs focused on promoting good governance or anti-corruption must go through formal steps to form, requiring interaction with the state such as licenses or registration. Formation is possible, though there is some burden on the CSO. Some unofficial barriers, such as harassment of minority groups, may occur.

25:

0: Other than pro-government groups, CSOs focused on promoting good governance or anti-corruption are effectively prohibited, either by official requirements or by unofficial means, such as intimidation or fear.

2b. In practice, anti-corruption/good governance CSOs actively engage in the political and policymaking process.

There are a few NGOs who are involved in drafting laws, but their involvement in policy-making is limited. As the project has revealed, NGOs are tools for political action organizations, and they act more as organizational resources than real powerful actors.

References:
Monitoring of State Strategy of Combating Corruption, Bishkek, September, 2007
Confidential source (NGO member)

100: Civil society organizations focused on anti-corruption or good governance are an essential component of the political process. CSOs provide widely valued insights and have political power. Those CSOs play a leading role in shaping public opinion on political matters.

75:

50: Anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are active, but may not be relevant to political decisions or the policymaking process. Those CSOs are willing to articulate opinions on political matters, but have little access to decision makers. They have some influence over public opinion, but considerably less than political figures.

25:
0: Anti-corruption/good governance CSOs are effectively prohibited from engaging in the political process. Those CSOs are unwilling to take positions on political issues. They are not relevant to changes in public opinion.

2c. In practice, no anti-corruption/good governance CSOs have been shut down by the government for their work on corruption-related issues during the study period.

YES | NO

**Comments:**
There is no evidence of the shutting down of the NGOs this year. However, there are attempts from the government side to pressure CSOs. Last year, the Kyrgyz Committee for Human Rights could not register because it was shut down while another organization with the same name was registered. Since two organizations cannot operate with the same name, the Ministry of Justice used this rule to bar registration of the Committee, which had previously published a special report on corruption in Kyrgyzstan in 2004.

**References:**

Confidential source (NGO member)

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there were no CSOs shut down by the government or forced to cease operations because of their work on corruption-related issues during the study period.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if any CSO has been effectively shut down by the government or forced to cease operations because of its work on corruption-related issues during the study period. The causal relationship between the cessation of operations and the CSO's work may not be explicit, however the burden of proof here is low. If it seems likely that the CSO was forced to cease operations due to its work, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

3. Are civil society activists safe when working on corruption issues?

100

3a. In practice, in the past year, no civil society activists working on corruption issues have been imprisoned.

YES | NO

**Comments:**
There is no evidence of imprisonment of anti-corruption activists.

**References:**
Interviews with NGO member, confidential source

Interview with Elmira Toktogulova, Media Consult Foundation, July 2007, Bishkek
YES: A YES score is earned if there were no CSO activists imprisoned because of their work covering corruption. YES is a positive score.

NO: A NO score is earned if any activist was jailed in relation to work covering corruption. The causal relationship between the official charges and the person’s work may not be explicit, however the burden of proof here is low. If it seems likely that the person was imprisoned due to his or her work, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes. Imprisoned* is defined here as detention by the government lasting more than 24 hours.

3b. In practice, in the past year, no civil society activists working on corruption issues have been physically harmed.

YES | NO

Comments:
Documented cases are about journalists and are reflected in the media part of the scorecard.

References:
Interviews with NGO member, confidential source
Interview with Elmira Toktogulova, Media Consult Foundation, July 2007, Bishkek

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of CSO activists covering corruption being assaulted in the specific study period. A YES score can be earned if there was an attack but it was clearly unrelated to the activist’s work. YES is a positive score.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases during the study period of assault to an activist who covers corruption. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

3c. In practice, in the past year, no civil society activists working on corruption issues have been killed.

YES | NO

References:
Interviews with NGO member, confidential source
Interview with Elmira Toktogulova, Media Consult Foundation, July 2007, Bishkek

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of CSO activists being killed because of their work covering corruption in the specific study period. YES is a positive score.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases during the study period where a person was killed related to a corruption trial, scandal or investigation. The relationship between a mysterious death and an individual's history may not be clear, however the burden of proof here is low. If it is reasonable that a person was killed in relation to his or her work on corruption issues, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.
4. Can citizens organize into trade unions?

63

4a. In law, citizens have a right to organize into trade unions.

YES | NO

Comments:
Any citizen age fourteen and older can form and become a member of the trade union of his or her choice, but the number of employees required to form a trade union have to be 3 or more.

References:
Law on Trade Unions of August 4, 2004, N 105, Article 2

YES: A YES score is earned when trade unions are allowed by law, regardless of political ideology, religion or objectives. Groups with a history of violence or terrorism (within last ten years) may be banned. Groups sympathetic to or related to banned groups must be allowed if they have no history of violence.

NO: A NO score is earned when any single non-violent trade union is legally prohibited by the government from organizing.

4b. In practice, citizens are able to organize into trade unions.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Trade unions in Kyrgyzstan are very conservative and are closed organizations headed by Soviet-style old leaders. They formally participated in the social partnership agreement (tripartite agreement between the government, employers union and trade unions), but in reality not all employers a part of the agreement. New private companies are not interested in trade unions.

References:
Bolot Dekanov, Trade Unions of Kyrgyzstan: what to do? at www.open.kg

Confidential source (a member of trade union)

100: Trade unions are common and are an important part to the political process and political discourse. Trade union organizers have widely understood rights. Trade unions are free from intimidation or violence.

75:

50: Trade unions exist, but are not always relevant to politics or policy debates. Barriers to organizing trade unions exist, such as intimidation at work, or retribution firings. Trade union organizers have some rights, but these may not be commonly known, or are difficult to defend.
Trade unions are rare. Significant barriers to organization exist, including direct violence. Rights of union organizers are not widely known, or are ineffective in protecting organizers.

I-2. Media

5. Are media and free speech protected?

100

5a. In law, freedom of the media is guaranteed.

YES | NO

Comments:
The current constitution was adopted on January 15, 2007. It contains all regulations on human rights and mass media that were in the previous edition of the constitution adopted in 1998. According to Article 36 of the constitution, culture, arts, literature, science and the mass media are free. This clause makes the mass media an object of the cultural sphere rather than the political or socio-economic spheres. Article 65 says that no laws shall be made to abridge freedom of speech and the press is also included.

References:
The Constitution of the Kyrgyz Republic as of January 15, 2007

YES: A YES score is earned if freedom of the press is guaranteed in law, including to all political parties, religions, and ideologies.

NO: A NO score is earned if any specific publication relating to government affairs is legally banned, or any general topic is prohibited from publication. Specific restrictions on media regarding privacy or slander are allowed, but not if these amount to legal censorship of a general topic, such as corruption or defense. A NO score is earned if non-government media is prohibited or restricted.

5b. In law, freedom of speech is guaranteed.

YES | NO

References:
According to Article 14 of the constitution, every citizen has a right to free thoughts, speech and print and expression of thoughts
and views.

**YES**: A YES score is earned if freedom of individual speech is guaranteed in law, including to all political parties, religions, and ideologies.

**NO**: A NO score is earned if any individual speech is legally prohibited, regardless of topic. Specific exceptions for speech linked with a criminal act, such as a prohibition on death threats, are allowed. However, any non-specific prohibition earns a NO score.

### 6. Are citizens able to form print media entities?

#### 6a. In practice, the government does not create barriers to form a print media entity.

#### 100 75 50 25 0

**Comments:**
The government doesn’t create barriers to form media entities. There is no evidence for this year of the government’s resistance to the creation of any print media.

**References:**
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

**100**: Print media entities can freely organize with little to no interaction with the government. This score may still be earned if groups or individuals with a history of political violence or terrorism (within last ten years) are banned from forming media entities.

**75**: Formation of print media groups is possible, though there is some burden on the media group including overly complicated registration or licensing requirements. Some unofficial barriers, such as harassment of minority groups, may occur.

**50**: Print media groups are effectively prohibited, either by official requirements or by unofficial means, such as intimidation or fear.

**25**: Print media groups are effectively prohibited, either by official requirements or by unofficial means, such as intimidation or fear.

**0**: Print media groups are effectively prohibited, either by official requirements or by unofficial means, such as intimidation or fear.

#### 6b. In law, where a print media license is necessary, there is an appeal mechanism if a license is denied or revoked.

**YES | NO**
YES: A YES score is earned if there is, in law or in accompanying regulations, a formal process to appeal a denied print media license, including through the courts. A YES score is also earned if no print license is necessary.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no appeal process for print media licenses.

6c. In practice, where necessary, citizens can obtain a print media license within a reasonable time period.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: Licenses are not required or licenses can be obtained within two months.

75:

50: Licensing is required and takes more than two months. Some groups may be delayed up to six months.

25:

0: Licensing takes close to or more than one year for most groups.

6d. In practice, where necessary, citizens can obtain a print media license at a reasonable cost.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: Licenses are not required or can be obtained at minimal cost to the organization. Licenses can be obtained on-line or through the mail.

75:

50: Licenses are required, and impose a financial burden on the organization. Licenses may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:
7. Are citizens able to form broadcast (radio and TV) media entities?

50

7a. In practice, the government does not create barriers to form a broadcast (radio and TV) media entity.

Comments:
There may be problems with the distribution of broadcast bandwidth. The government might freeze the process, saying that there is no bandwidth, or they may announce a bid that contains discriminative conditions for media.

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: Broadcast media entities can freely organize with little to no interaction with the government. Media groups have equal access to broadcast bandwidth through a reasonably fair distribution system. This score may still be earned if groups or individuals with a history of political violence or terrorism (within last ten years) are banned from forming media entities.

75:

50: Formation of broadcast media groups is possible, though there is some burden on the media group including overly complicated registration or licensing requirements. Some unofficial barriers, such as harassment of minority groups, may occur. Division of broadcast bandwidth is widely viewed to be somewhat unfair.

25:

0: Broadcast media groups are effectively prohibited, either by official requirements or by unofficial means, such as intimidation or fear. This score is appropriate if the division of broadcast bandwidth is widely viewed to be used as a political tool.

7b. In law, where a broadcast (radio and TV) media license is necessary, there is an appeal mechanism if a license is denied or revoked.

YES | NO

References:
The Law on Mass Media

YES: A YES score is earned if there is, in law or in accompanying regulations, a formal process to appeal a denied broadcast media license, including through the courts. A YES score is also earned if no broadcast license is necessary.
NO: A NO score is earned if there is no appeal process for broadcast media licenses.

7c. In practice, where necessary, citizens can obtain a broadcast (radio and TV) media license within a reasonable time period.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: Licenses are not required or licenses can be obtained within two months.

75:

50: Licensing is required and takes more than two months. Some groups may be delayed up to six months.

25:

0: Licensing takes close to or more than one year for most groups.

7d. In practice, where necessary, citizens can obtain a broadcast (radio and TV) media license at a reasonable cost.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: Licenses are not required or can be obtained at minimal cost to the organization. Licenses can be obtained on-line or through the mail.

75:

50: Licenses are required, and impose a financial burden on the organization. Licenses may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Licenses are required, and impose a major financial burden on the organization. Licensing costs are prohibitive to the organization.

8. Can citizens freely use the Internet?
8a. In practice, the government does not prevent citizens from accessing content published online.

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: The government does not prevent Internet users from accessing online content. While some forms of content may be illegal to download or own (such as child pornography), the government does not manipulate networks to prevent access to this information. This indicator addresses direct government intervention in the transfer of information, not indirect deterrents such as intimidation, surveillance or technical difficulties in countries with poor infrastructure.

75:

50: Internet users are prevented by the government from reaching online content in some cases. Government tactics may include firewalls preventing access to networks in other countries, or manipulating search engine results to exclude politically sensitive topics.

25:

0: Internet users are routinely prevented from accessing online content. Government restrictions are in place at all times for certain topics. Government tactics may include firewalls preventing access to networks in other countries, or manipulating search engine results to exclude politically sensitive topics.

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

8b. In practice, the government does not censor citizens creating content online.

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: The government never removes online information or disables servers due to their political content. All political speech is protected with limited exceptions, such as legitimate intellectual property restrictions; direct calls to violence; or pornography.

75:

50: In some cases, the government restricts political speech by its citizens on the Internet. This is accomplished either directly by controlling servers hosting restricted content, or indirectly through threats or intimidation against the persons posting political content.

25:
0: The government regularly restricts political speech by its citizens on the Internet. This is accomplished either directly by controlling servers hosting the restricted content, or indirectly through threats or intimidation against the persons posting political content.

9. Are the media able to report on corruption?

50

9a. In law, it is legal to report accurate news even if it damages the reputation of a public figure.

YES | NO

Comments:
The law requires that journalists should provide reliable information but prohibits damaging personal honor and dignity. Individuals who think that their honor and dignity was damaged by the media can appeal to the court, which shall make a decision. The media might deny requests for damaging information from the person concerned.

References:
The Law on Mass Media of July 2, 1992, Article 17

YES: A YES score is earned if it is legal to report accurate information on public figures regardless of damage to their reputations. Public figures are defined broadly, including anyone in a position of responsibility in the government or civil service; any political leader; leaders of civil society groups including religious groups, trade unions, or NGOs; leaders or officers of large businesses. A YES score can still be earned if a reckless disregard for the truth (i.e. slander) is prohibited.

NO: A NO score is earned if privacy laws protect any public figures (as defined in the YES coding) from accurate information.

9b. In practice, the government or media owners/distribution groups do not encourage self-censorship of corruption-related stories.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
It depends on who is involved in the story. The media is selective in covering corruption.

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: The government, its proxies, or media ownership/distribution groups make no attempt to restrict media coverage of corruption-related issues through unofficial means.
The government, its proxies, or media ownership/distribution groups make some attempts to restrict media coverage of corruption-related issues through unofficial means, such as restricting access by disfavored media outlets, or other short-term consequences. Violent reprisals against media outlets are rare.

0: The government, its proxies, or media ownership/distribution groups actively use illegal methods to restrict reporting of corruption-related issues. This may include harassment, arrests, and threats. Journalists and publishers take a personal risk to report on corruption, and media outlets who commonly report on corruption face long-term consequences or violent reprisals.

9c. In practice, there is no prior government restraint (pre-publication censoring) on publishing corruption-related stories.

100: The government never prevents publication of controversial corruption-related materials.

75:

50: The government prevents publication of controversial corruption-related material in cases where there is a strong political incentive to suppress the information. This score is appropriate if in countries where illiteracy is high, the government may allow a free print press but censor broadcast media.

25:

0: The government regularly censors material prior to publication, especially politically sensitive or damaging corruption-related material. This score is appropriate even if the government restricts only politically damaging news while allowing favorable coverage.

10. Are the media credible sources of information?

30

10a. In law, print media companies are required to disclose their ownership.

YES | NO

Comments: The law only stipulates who has the right to establish a mass media entity. There are no requirements to disclose ownership.
**References:**
The Law on Mass Media of July 2, 1992, Article 5

**YES:** A YES score is earned if print media companies are required by law to disclose all owners of the company.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there is no such requirement or if the requirement is optional, only partially applicable, or exempts certain types of entities or agents from being disclosed.

10b. In law, broadcast (radio and TV) media companies are required to disclose their ownership.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
There is no requirement to disclose ownership.

**References:**
The Law on Mass Media of July 2, 1992, Article 5

**YES:** A YES score is earned if broadcast media companies are required by law to disclose all owners of the company.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there is no such requirement or if the requirement is optional, only partially applicable, or exempts certain type of entities or agents from being disclosed.

10c. In practice, journalists and editors adhere to strict, professional practices in their reporting.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**Comments:**
Journalists often violate professional codes of conduct, especially journalists who are partisan in their practice and actively involved in politics.

**References:**
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

Comments of the media representative institute to the Draft Code of Ethics of Kyrgyz Journalists. www.monitoring.kg

**100:** Editors and journalists at the major media outlets abide by a strict journalistic code of conduct and are unwilling to alter their coverage of a particular issue, event or person in exchange for money, gifts, or other favors or remuneration.

**75:**
Editors and journalists at the major media outlets generally avoid altering coverage in exchange for favors but some exceptions have been noted. Not all newsrooms abide by a formal journalistic code of conduct.

Editors and journalists are widely known to sell favorable or unfavorable coverage in exchange for money, gifts, or other remuneration. The major media outlets do not abide by any formal journalistic code of conduct.

In practice, during the most recent election, political parties or independent candidates received fair media coverage.

All candidates have the same amount of media coverage on national state broadcasting and print media. This provision is under regulation of the Election Committee.

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

All political parties and independent candidates have some access to media outlets. Individual media outlets may have biases, but on balance, the national media coverage reflects the interests of the electorate. Media groups generally act as disinterested parties in an election. In places where a government is popular with the public, opposition viewpoints can access the public via media outlets.

Major popular media outlets have a persistent bias regarding some parties or independent candidates. Some major parties may be partially excluded from media coverage, or draw more negative coverage. Media sectors may have distinct biases, such as newspapers favoring one party, while radio favors another.

The mass media, on balance, have clear preferences in election outcomes and coverage is driven to achieve these goals. Some major parties or independent candidates are excluded or consistently negatively portrayed by mass media. Dissenting political opinions are only found on fringe or elite media outlets, such as Web sites.

In practice, political parties and candidates have equitable access to state-owned media outlets.

During the last parliamentary elections, as OSCE stated, The state media were obliged to allocate to candidates fixed amounts of time and space, free of charge, from the start of the official campaign. At the same time, at least one-third of a given time had to be devoted to televised debates.

Prior to the first round, the state broadcaster KTR adhered to its legal requirements to grant free time for candidates, including allocation of time for debates."
However, recently two opposition parties said that National Broadcasting TV didn’t find time for them and one party said that they were offered airtime from 10 p.m. until 5 a.m.

References:

National Broadcasting Company does not provide time for election campaign said parties, Dec. 03, 2007, www.akipress.kg

100: The government ensures that equal access and fair treatment of election contestants is provided by all state-owned media outlets, including all electronic and print media. This obligation extends to news reports, editorial comment, and all other content. All parties and candidates are offered consistent and equivalent rates for campaign advertising on state-owned media outlets.

75:

50: The government generally ensures equal access and fair treatment of all candidates and parties by state-owned media outlets but some exceptions exist. State-owned media may occasionally discriminate against particular parties or candidates and advertising rates may be confusing or non-transparent.

25:

0: The government uses state-owned media to routinely discriminate against opposition candidates and parties. Advertising space may be denied to opposition candidates and parties or higher rates may be charged.

11. Are journalists safe when investigating corruption?

67

11a. In practice, in the past year, no journalists investigating corruption have been imprisoned.

YES | NO

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no journalists imprisoned related to work covering corruption during the study period. A YES score is positive.

NO: A NO score is earned if any journalist was jailed because of his/her work covering corruption during the study period. The causal relationship between the official charges and the journalist’s work may not be explicit, however the burden of proof here is low. If it seems likely that the journalist was imprisoned due to his or her work, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes. Imprisoned* is defined here as detention by the government lasting more than 24 hours.

11b. In practice, in the past year, no journalists investigating corruption have been physically harmed.
Mr. Kairat Birimkulov was seriously injured on March 16, 2007. At that time, he was investigating corruption in Kyrgyz Temir Zholu (Kyrgyz Railways). On March 14, two days before Birimkulov was attacked, Kyrgyz Temir Zholu Director Nariman Tyuleyev filed a defamation suit against the journalist in connection to his broadcast, according to Public Association Journalist, a Bishkek-based press freedom group. The first court hearing was scheduled for March 19, the group said.

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

www.monitoring.kg


YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of journalists being assaulted during the specific study period for their work covering corruption issues. A YES score is positive.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases of assault to a journalist covering corruption during the study period. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

11c. In practice, in the past year, no journalists investigating corruption have been killed.

YES | NO

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of journalists being killed because of their work covering corruption-related issues during the study period. A YES score is positive.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases where a journalist was killed in relation to his or her work covering corruption-related issues in the study period. The relationship between a mysterious death and an individual's work may not be clear, however the burden of proof here is low. If it is a reasonable guess that a person was killed in relation to his or her work on corruption issues, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

63

I-3. Public Access to Information

12. Do citizens have a legal right of access to information?
12a. In law, citizens have a right of access to government information and basic government records.

**YES | NO**

Comments:
The access is guaranteed, but there is no a written procedure for obtaining information.

References:
The Law on Guarantees and Free Access to Information as of December 5, 1997, Article 3

The Law on Access to Information of State Organs and Local Self-governments of November 14, 2006, Articles 3 and 4

**YES**: A YES score is earned if there is a formal right to access government documents, including constitutional guarantees. Exceptions can be made for national security reasons or individual privacy, but they should be limited in scope. All other government documents should be available upon a public request.

**NO**: A NO score is earned if there is no such right.

12b. In law, citizens have a right of appeal if access to a basic government record is denied.

**YES | NO**

Comments:
The appeal mechanism is not defined in both laws.

References:
The Law on Guarantees and Free Access to Information as of December 5, 1997, Article 12

The Law on Access to Information of State Organs and Local Self-governments of November 14, 2006, Article 35

**YES**: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process of appeal for rejected information requests. A YES score can still be earned if the appeals process involves redress through the courts rather than administrative appeal.

**NO**: A NO score is earned if there is no such formal process.

12c. In law, there is an established institutional mechanism through which citizens can request government records.

**YES | NO**
Comments:
Usually there are press-services in the state organs that should provide information for media and the general public.

References:
The Law on Access to Information of State Organs and Local Self-governments of November 14, 2006, Article 33 requests that every state organ should have a structural unit and staff responsible for providing information to citizens.

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal government mechanism/institution through which citizens can access government records available under freedom of information laws. This mechanism could be a government office (or offices within agencies or ministries) or an electronic request system.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no such formal mechanism or institution.

13. Is the right of access to information effective?

10

13a. In practice, citizens receive responses to access to information requests within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The effectiveness of the new Law on Access to information is not proven, said Ilim Karypbekov. The Law on Access to Information of State Organs and Local Self-governments of November 14, 2006 is not sufficient for ensuring that citizens can get information in time.

For example, a request for information has to be responded to within two weeks, but if a state body is unable to answer it, it can resend the query to whomever can provide the answer. However, the next state body to receive the request has another two weeks are its disposal to address the issue.

References:
Ilim Karypbekov, Director of the Institute of the Media Representative, www.bpc.kg
Monitoring of State Strategy on Combating Corruption, Bishkek, 2007

100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two weeks. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information. Legitimate exceptions are allowed for sensitive national security-related information.

75:

50: Records take around one to two months to obtain. Some additional delays may be experienced. Politically-sensitive information may be withheld without sufficient justification.

25:
Records take more than four months to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records. National security exemptions may be abused to avoid disclosure of government information.

13b. In practice, citizens can use the access to information mechanism at a reasonable cost.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

References:
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

50: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

13c. In practice, citizens can resolve appeals to access to information requests within a reasonable time period.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

References:
Interview with a lawyer (confidential source)

Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: The agency/entity acts on appeals quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, appeals are acknowledged promptly and cases move steadily towards resolution.

75: The agency/entity acts on appeals quickly but with some exceptions. Some appeals may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

50: The agency/entity acts on appeals quickly but with some exceptions. Some appeals may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

25:
0: The agency/entity does not resolve appeals in a timely fashion quickly. Appeals may be unacknowledged for many months and simple issues may take more than three months to resolve.

13d. In practice, citizens can resolve appeals to information requests at a reasonable cost.

References:
Interview with a lawyer (confidential source)
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: In most cases, the appeals mechanism is an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to challenge an access to information determination.

75:

50: In some cases, the appeals mechanism is not an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to challenge an access to information determination.

25:

0: The prohibitive cost of utilizing the access to information appeals mechanism prevents middle class citizens from challenging access to information determinations.

13e. In practice, the government gives reasons for denying an information request.

References:
Interview with a lawyer (confidential source)
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek

100: The government always discloses to the requestor the specific, formal reasons for denying information requests.

75:

50: The government usually discloses reasons for denying an information request to the requestor, with some exceptions. The reasons may be vague or difficult to obtain.

25:

0: The government does not regularly give reasons for denying an information request to the requestor.
14. Is there a legal framework guaranteeing the right to vote?

100

14a. In law, universal and equal adult suffrage is guaranteed to all citizens.

YES | NO

Comments:
Citizens of the Republic elect the President, members of the parliament and local self-government. The age limitation for voting is 18 years.

References:
The Constitution of the Kyrgyz Republic of January 15, 2007, Article 1

YES: A YES score is earned if the right to vote is guaranteed to all citizens of the country (basic age limitations are allowed). A YES score can still be earned if voting procedures are, in practice, inconvenient or unfair.

NO: A NO score is earned if suffrage is denied by law to any group of adult citizens for any reason. Citizen is defined broadly, to include all ethnicities, or anyone born in the country. A NO score is earned if homeless or impoverished people are legally prohibited from voting.

14b. In law, there is a legal framework requiring that elections be held at regular intervals.

YES | NO

Comments:
Elections to state and local self-government organs are mandatory and should take place within regular in time frames as required by the relevant laws (the Constitution, the Election Code, etc.).
References:
Election Code of the Kyrgyz Republic of May 29, 1999, Article 7

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a statutory or other framework enshrined in law that mandates elections at reasonable intervals.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such framework exists.

15. Can all citizens exercise their right to vote?

58

15a. In practice, all adult citizens can vote.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The most recent election was held in December 18, 2005. The main problem with the right to vote is insufficiency of the registration system: Voters might be unregistered or may be registered twice after changing their permanent address, etc. This is not a secret, and even the Central Election Commission (CEC) officially reported about it. However, when voters come to the election point with their ID, where their permanent address is stamped, a local election committee has to register them in the additional list of voters so they can vote at that point.

References:
Report of the Central Election Commission (CEC) on the last elections at www.shailoo.gov.kg

100: Voting is open to all citizens regardless of race, gender, prior political affiliations, physical disability, or other traditional barriers.

75: 

50: Voting is often open to all citizens regardless of race, gender, prior political affiliations, physical disability, or other traditional barriers, with some exceptions.

25: 

0: Voting is not available to some demographics through some form of official or unofficial pressure. Voting may be too dangerous, expensive, or difficult for many people.

15b. In practice, ballots are secret or equivalently protected.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
During the election at Kemin election county in April 29, 2007, some ballots were lost, according to independent observers. Since
a daughter of the former president Akaev, Ms. Bermet Akaeva, was a candidate at that election and the government created barriers during her registration, observers expected a lot of violations and they, indeed, did register them.

References:
Moskovsky Komsomoletc 17 2007 (04.05.2007).

100: Ballots are secret, or there is a functional equivalent protection, in all cases.

75:

50: Ballots are secret, or there is a functional equivalent protection, in most cases. Some exceptions to this practice have occurred. Ballots may be subject to tampering during transport or counting.

25:

0: Ballot preferences are not secret. Ballots are routinely tampered with during transport and counting.

15c. In practice, elections are held according to a regular schedule.

Comments: Usually elections have been held on a predictable time schedule. However, upcoming parliamentary elections for December 16, 2007, were announced unexpectedly. Since they will be the first elections based on the party system, parties of the opposition do not have much time to be prepared for the election, while the party of the president has some advantages.

References:
Delovaya Nedelya Newspaper, interviews of opposition leaders, Oct. 25, 2007

100: Elections are always held according to a regular schedule, or there is a formal democratic process for calling a new election, with deadlines for mandatory elections.

75:

50: Elections are normally held according to a regular schedule, but there have been recent exceptions. The formal process for calling a new election may be flawed or abused.

25:

0: Elections are called arbitrarily by the government. There is no functioning schedule or deadline for new elections.

16. Are citizens able to participate equally in the political process?
16a. In law, all citizens have a right to form political parties.

YES | NO

References:
The Constitution of the Kyrgyz Republic of January 15, 2007, Article 8

YES: A YES score is earned if citizens have the right to form political parties without interference from government. A YES score may still be earned if groups or individuals with a history of violence or terrorism (within last ten years) are banned from forming political parties. Non-discriminatory minimal criteria (e.g. minimum age) are also allowed.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are any legal or regulatory restrictions or prohibitions barring any types of political parties from being formed.

16b. In law, all citizens have a right to run for political office.

YES | NO

Comments:
Individuals with a history of criminality, or those under criminal process are banned from running for office.

References:
The constitution, Article 23
The Law on Civil Service

YES: A YES score is earned if all citizens (citizen is defined broadly, to include all ethnicities, or anyone born in the country) have the right under law to run for political office. A YES score may still be earned if Individuals with a history of violence, terrorism, or criminality are banned from running for office.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are any legal restrictions barring certain individuals or groups from running for political office.

16c. In practice, all citizens are able to form political parties.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The real barriers for opposition parties arose after the new election system came into effect, since the parliament will be elected
only through party candidates. In the past, any person could register a party (to date there are 105 parties in the Republic). Now, when the parties are real resources for the opposition, the government is more selective about registering them.

**References:**
Cholpon Jakupova, Central Election Committee Creates Barriers to Opposition Parties to Register, Oct. 25 October, 2005, www.bpc.kg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>While there is no guarantee of electoral success, political parties can form freely without opposition.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Some barriers to formation are present, such as burdensome registration requirements that may not be fairly applied. Some parties' political viewpoints may draw pressure from the government, such as surveillance or intimidation. Some political parties or organizations may have extra barriers to getting on a ballot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Some political parties are effectively barred from forming through some manner of official or unofficial pressure. This may include threats, arrest, or violence from competing parties or other groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16d. In practice, all citizens can run for political office.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
Recently, the government used all its power to bar Bermet Akaeva, a daughter of the former president Askar Akaev, from election to parliament. The district court and the supreme court denied her registration as a candidate 3 days before the election.

**References:**
AKIPress news agency, interview with Bermet Akaeva, April 28, 2007, www.akipress.kg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>While there is no guarantee of electoral success, anyone can run for office under transparent and equitable guidelines. There is a formal process for access to the ballot which is fairly applied. The costs of running a campaign are reasonable and do not deter candidates from entering a race.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Some barriers exist to getting on the ballot and bureaucratic or regulatory requirements for doing do may be unfairly applied. The costs of running a political campaign are significant and result in dissuading some candidates from running for office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Citizens can effectively be barred from the ballot through government abuse of official rules and/or unofficial pressure. The costs of running a campaign are extremely high and result in most average citizens being unable to run an effective campaign for office.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16e. In practice, an opposition party is represented in the legislature.
According to experts, the Ak Jol party of president Bakiev will take about 90 percent of the parliament, whilst other parties will get only 10 percent. According to the new Election Code, to get places in the parliament, the parties have to pass the 5 percent barrier, that is, to get at least 5 percent of votes.

References:
Daniyar Karimov, 17.10.07 www.24.kg
Nezavisimaya Gazeta, Elections: Kirgizia is Forming the Parliament, Oct. 17, 2007

II-2. Election Integrity

17. In law, is there an election monitoring agency or set of election monitoring agencies/entities?

100

References:
The Central Commission on Elections and Referendums
YES: A YES score is earned if there is a domestic agency or set of domestic agencies/entities formally assigned to ensure the integrity of the election process.

NO: A NO score is earned if no domestic agency or set of domestic agencies/entities that monitors elections. A NO score is earned if elections are only monitored by an agency informally, such as poll booth monitoring by the police, only by international observers, or only by NGOs. A NO score is earned if the domestic election agency or set of domestic agencies simply facilitates the process of voting but is not empowered to report violations or abuses.

18. Is the election monitoring agency effective?

45

18a. In law, the agency or set of agencies/entities is protected from political interference.

YES | NO

References:
The Law on Central Election Commission

YES: A YES score is earned only if the agency or set of agencies/entities has some formal organizational independence from the bodies contesting in the election. A YES score is still earned even if the entity is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.

NO: A NO score is earned if the election monitoring agency or set of agencies/entities is legally tied to bodies contesting the election (i.e. an executive branch agency such as the Interior Ministry, or a committee of the legislature). A NO score is automatically earned if there is no domestic election monitoring agency.

18b. In practice, agency (or set of agencies/entities) appointments are made that support the independence of the agency.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Election commissions are still subject to the control of government authorities, and have not always acted independently. An amendment to Article 11 partially addresses these concerns by creating the possibility for political parties to propose, in total, up to one-third of the members of an election commission. However, the government has many legal opportunities to control election commissions.

References:
OSCE assessment of the Kyrgyz Republic Election Code, Warsaw, July 7, 2007
### 18c. In practice, the agency or set of agencies/entities has a professional, full-time staff.

|   | 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**Comments:**
Election commission members are nonprofessional, volunteer staff, except the chairpersons of the regional commissions and the city of Bishkek's commissions. The latter are civil servants paid by the government.

**References:**

Confidential source.

### 18d. In practice, the agency or set of agencies/entities makes timely, publicly available reports following an election cycle.

|   | 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**References:**
OSCE assessment of the Election Code, Warsaw, July, 2006
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100:</th>
<th>Reports are released to the public on a predictable schedule, without exceptions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50:</td>
<td>Reports are released, but may be delayed, difficult to access, or otherwise limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:</td>
<td>The agency or set of agencies/entities makes no public reports, issues reports which are effectively secret, or issues reports of no value.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 18e. In practice, when necessary, the agency or set of agencies/entities imposes penalties on offenders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
The Election Commission uses its judgment according to the political situation. Just before the Tulip Revolution in 2005, Bermet Akaeva was elected as a member of parliament. When her father president Akaev resigned, her election results were abolished.

In May 2007, the Election Commission decided to abolish results of elections in the precinct where Bermet Akaeva was going to ballot in parliament.

**References:**
Novosti Agency, Yulia Orlova, Bermet Akaeva is Going to Appeal to Court on Central Election Commission’s Judgment, May 24, 2005, [http://rian.ru/](http://rian.ru/)

Ferghana.ru, Kirgizia: in Kemin District Reelection Will Take Place, May 2, 2007, [www.ferghana.ru](http://www.ferghana.ru)

### 19. Are elections systems transparent and effective?

19a. In practice, there is a clear and transparent system of voter registration.
Comments:
Only 300,000 voters were registered in the capital city of Bishkek, which has a population of over 1 million people, while 700,000 were registered in the Osh region and 800,000 were registered in the Jalalabad region where most of voters had immigrated to Russia. Manipulation of voter registration is very common in Kyrgyzstan.

References:
Statement at the Round Table of the Women's Political Discussion Club in October 15, 2007

100: There is a transparent system of voter registration that provides voters with sufficient time to understand their rights, check the accuracy of their registration, and ensure that errors are corrected before they vote.

75:

50: There is a transparent voter registration system that provides voters with sufficient time to understand their rights, check the accuracy of their registration, and ensure that errors are corrected before they vote but there are some problems. Voters may have not access to registration lists with sufficient time to correct errors before voting or registration lists may at times be inaccessible.

25:

0: The system of voter registration is incomplete or does not exist. Government may routinely falsify registration lists to affect voting patterns and limit access to the polls. Double voting and ghost* voting by non-existent voters is common.

19b. In law, election results can be contested through the judicial system.

YES | NO

References:
Election Code, General Part, Article 55

YES: A YES score is earned if citizens or political parties can challenge allegedly fraudulent election results through the courts or other judicial mechanisms.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no legal right for citizens or political parties to challenge allegedly fraudulent election results in the courts or other judicial mechanisms.

19c. In practice, election results can be effectively appealed through the judicial system.
Comments:
According to OSCE assessment, which is consistent with the current practices, Articles 54 and 55 of the Code do not establish a uniform and consistent process for protecting suffrage rights, although Article 55(3) provides that an election commission must suspend its consideration of a complaint when a similar complaint has been presented to a court, ..

The possibility of filing a complaint with either an election commission (Article 54) or a court (Article 55) creates the possibility for a party to file a complaint in a favourable forum as opposed to a more logical and fair forum. This possibility – to file in different forums – will also lead to inconsistency in decisions.

References:
OSCE assessment of the Election Code, Warsaw, July 2006

100: The electoral appeals mechanism takes cases from both candidates complaining of flaws in the electoral process as well as citizens bringing complaints related to denial of suffrage or registration errors. There is an expedited process for resolving such complaints to avoid delaying a timely announcement of electoral results.

75:

50: The electoral appeals mechanism takes complaints from both candidates and voters but may not always act on complaints promptly. The appeals mechanism may be abused at times by parties or candidates seeking to delay the announcement of electoral results.

25:

0: The electoral appeals mechanism rarely or never acts on complaints brought by candidates or citizens. Citizens may not be able to bring complaints related to denial of suffrage or voter registration errors.

19d. In practice, the military and security forces remain neutral during elections.

Comments:
Police are actively involved in pressuring political opponents during elections. In 2007, Bermet Akaeva a main political opponent to the government, was interrogated in a police station after 10:00 p.m., which is violation of the Criminal Process Code.

References:
Tazar news agency, Bermet Akaeva was hospitalized after interrogation in Kemin police station, April 30, 2007

100: The military, military officers, and other security forces refrain from overtly supporting or opposing political candidates or commenting on elections. The military or security forces refrain from physically interfering with political campaigns, rallies, or voting.

75:

50: The military, military officers, and security forces may be known to unofficially support or oppose particular candidates or parties. The military or security forces generally refrain from the use of force to support or oppose particular candidates or parties but there are exceptions.

25:

0: The military or other security forces are an active and explicit player in politics and overly support or oppose particular candidates or parties. The military or security forces routinely exercise the use of force to support or oppose parties or
19e. In law, domestic and international election observers are allowed to monitor elections.

YES | NO

Comments:
Foreign (international) observers have to be accredited at the Central Election Commission before elections.

References:
Election Code, Article 17

YES: A YES score is earned if domestic and international election observers are allowed to monitor the electoral process.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are any legal or regulatory prohibitions on the monitoring of the electoral process by domestic or international election observers.

19f. In practice, election observers are able to effectively monitor elections.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Ineffectiveness of the observers is caused by obstruction by local authorities. Local observers were even punished after their mission, as stated to the U.S. Mission to the OSCE. And this is just one well-documented case.

References:
Statement on the Kyrgyz Referendum, United States Mission to the OSCE, Oct. 25, 2007 www.osce.org


100: Election observers have unfettered access to polling sites, counting stations, and voters themselves. The government does not interfere with the observers’ activities.

75:

50: Election observers generally have access to polling sites, counting stations, and voters but encounter restrictions in certain areas. The government may impose burdensome regulatory or bureaucratic requirements on observers to discourage their involvement.

25:

0: Election observers’ movements are significantly limited by the government and many polling and counting sites are restricted or barred from observers. The government imposes so many bureaucratic or regulatory burdens on the observers that their mission is rendered ineffective.
II-3. Political Financing

20. Are there regulations governing political financing?

20a. In law, there are regulations governing private contributions to political parties.

| YES | NO |

Comments:
The law considers donations as a possible legal source of party financing. There is no definition of private contributions as a special source.

References:
The Law on Political Parties, Article 17

YES: A YES score is earned if there are any formal rules (by law or regulation) controlling private contributions to political parties.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no regulation of private contributions to political parties.

20b. In law, there are limits on individual donations to candidates and political parties.

| YES | NO |

Comments:
The Electoral code regulates the limits of donations to election funds of political candidates to different elected posts, but not political parties.

References:
The Electoral Code, Articles 51, 64, 74, 85, 92

YES: A YES score is earned if there are any limits, regardless of size, on individual contributions to political candidates and political parties. A YES score is earned if individual contributions are prohibited.
**20c. In law, there are limits on corporate donations to candidates and political parties.**

**YES | NO**

**Comments:**
The same articles on election funds regulates all kind of donations.

**References:**
Electoral Code, Articles 51, 64, 74, 85, 92

**YES: A YES score is earned if there are any limits, regardless of size, on corporate contributions to political candidates and political parties. A YES score is earned if contributions are prohibited.**

**NO: A NO score is earned if there are no limits on corporate contributions to candidates or political parties. A NO score is also earned if limits are applied by the government on opposition parties/candidates in a discriminatory manner.**

**20d. In law, there are limits on total political party expenditures.**

**YES | NO**

**Comments:**
Since the political parties are normal legal entities, there is no limit on total expenditures. However, a political party can use expenditures only for those activities that do not contradict their charter.

**References:**
The Law on Political Parties, Article 17

**YES: A YES score is earned if there are any limits, regardless of size, on political party expenditures. A YES score is earned if all party expenditures are prohibited.**

**NO: A NO score is earned if there are no limits on political party expenditures. A NO score is also earned if limits are applied by the government on opposition parties in a discriminatory manner.**

**20e. In law, there are requirements for disclosure of donations to political candidates and parties.**
YES | NO

Comments:
Any candidate can request and receive information on donations to other candidates' funds. This rule applies only to candidates, not parties.

References:
The Electoral Code, Article 51

YES: A YES score is earned if there are any requirements mandating the disclosure of financial contributions to political parties or candidates.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no requirements mandating the disclosure of contributions to political parties or candidates, existing regulations do not require a donor’s name or amount given, or the regulations allow for anonymous donations.

20f. In law, there are requirements for the independent auditing of the finances of political parties and candidates.

YES | NO

Comments:
Financial control on election funds is to be implemented by the election committees. Control on political parties’ financing should be made by the tax inspector.

References:
The Electoral Code, Article 52
The Law on Political Parties, Article 22

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a legal or regulatory requirement for independent auditing of candidate and party finances. The auditing is performed by an impartial third-party.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no legal or regulatory requirements for the independent auditing of political parties and candidates or if such requirements exist but allow for candidates or parties to self-audit.

20g. In law, there is an agency or entity that monitors the political financing process.

YES | NO

Comments:
The candidates shall be monitored by the election commission, and the political parties shall be monitored by the tax inspector.
References:
The Election Code, Article 52

The Law on Political Parties, Article 22

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a domestic agency or set of domestic agencies/entities formally assigned to monitor and enforce laws and regulations around political financing. A YES score is earned even if the agency/entity is ineffective in practice.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no such agency or entity.

21. Are the regulations governing political financing effective?

29

21a. In practice, the limits on individual donations to candidates and political parties are effective in regulating an individual's ability to financially support a candidate or political party.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Financing of parties is out of the control of the government, since it is made usually in cash. Official records might be OK, but most of the expenses, like advertising, payments for services, etc. are made in black cash," which means money transactions without any records and files.

References:

Tazar News Agency, interview with Omurbek Tekebaev, Atameken Party Leader, June 18, 2007

100: Existing limits represent the full extent to which an individual can directly or indirectly financially support a candidate or political party. Limits are reasonably low enough in the context of the total costs of running a campaign.

75:

50: Existing limits generally represent the full extent to which an individual can directly or indirectly financially support a candidate or political party. However, exceptions and loopholes exist through which individuals can indirectly support candidates or political parties above and beyond those formal limitations. Such loopholes could include making donations to third-party groups that advocate on behalf of (or against) a particular candidate or party; unregulated loans to candidates or parties (rather than direct donations); or in-kind support that is not explicitly regulated by laws or regulations. The limits may be too high in the context of the overall costs of running a campaign.

25:

0: Existing limits are routinely bypassed or willfully ignored. The vast majority of individual contributions to a candidate or political party are made outside of the formal limitation system. There is no enforcement of violations. Limits are so high that they are meaningless in the context of the overall costs of running a campaign.
21b. In practice, the limits on corporate donations to candidates and political parties are effective in regulating a company's ability to financially support a candidate or political party.

Comments:
There is only one limitation: A corporation donating to a candidate’s fund should have no debt to state budget. However, it is not an enforceable rule, since the most of donations are made in cash.

References:


100: Existing limits represent the full extent to which a company can directly or indirectly financially support a candidate or political party. Limits are reasonably low enough in the context of the total costs of running a campaign to be meaningful.

75:

50: Existing limits generally represent the full extent to which a company can directly or indirectly financially support a candidate or political party. However, exceptions and loopholes exist through which companies can indirectly support candidates or political parties above and beyond those formal limitations. Such loopholes could include making to donations to third-party groups that advocate on behalf of (or against) a particular candidate or party; unregulated loans to candidates or parties (rather than direct donations); or in-kind support that is not explicitly regulated by laws or regulations. The limits may be too high in the context of the overall costs of running a campaign.

25:

0: Existing limits are routinely bypassed or willfully ignored. The majority of corporate contributions to a candidate or political party are made outside of the formal limitation system. There is no enforcement of violations. Limits are so high that they are meaningless in the context of the overall costs of running a campaign.

21c. In practice, the limits on total party expenditures are effective in regulating a political party’s ability to fund campaigns or politically-related activities.

Comments:
A party’s finances are limited only during election campaigns. Between elections there are no limits and control over their resources.

References:

Confidential source (a party member)
**100:** Existing limits represent the full extent to which political parties are able to finance their activities. Limits are reasonably low enough in the context of the total costs of running a party to be meaningful.

**75:**

**50:** Existing limits generally represent the full extent to which a political party can finance its activities. However, exceptions and loopholes exist through which parties can generate revenue or finance their activities beyond the scope of existing regulations. Such loopholes could include taking loans that are outside of the scope of regulations covering direct donations; links to revenue-generating business activities that are beyond the scope of electoral or campaign-related regulations; or accepting in-kind support that is not explicitly regulated by laws or regulations. The limits may be too high in the context of the overall costs of running a party.

**25:**

**0:** Existing limits are routinely bypassed or willfully ignored. The majority of expenditures are made outside of the formal limitation system. Limits are so high that they are meaningless in the context of the overall costs of running a party.

---

21d. In practice, when necessary, an agency or entity monitoring political financing independently initiates investigations.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**Comments:**
The Election Commission formally investigates, but its decisions are partisan and usually aimed at opposition leaders.

**References:**
Confidential source

---

21e. In practice, when necessary, an agency or entity monitoring political financing imposes penalties on offenders.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**Comments:**
Violations of financial rules are regularly reported by the Election Commission, but never enforced.
References:
Confidential source

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100: When rules violations are discovered, the agency or entity is aggressive in penalizing offenders.

75:

50: The agency or entity enforces rules, but is limited in its effectiveness. The agency or entity may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The agency or entity does not effectively penalize offenders. The agency or entity may make judgments but not enforce them, or may fail to make reasonable judgments against offenders. The agency or entity may be partisan in its application of power.

Comments:
Political parties’ and candidates’ finances are audited only during election campaigns. The aim of this audit is to identify whether candidates have used their election fund properly or not. Since candidates have two parallel accounts, the official and the non-official, the audit is ineffective.

References:
Tazar, Transparency of Incomes and Expenses of Political Parties, June 18, 2007, www.tazar.kg

Confidential source (NGO)

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100: Political party and candidate finances are regularly audited using generally accepted auditing practices. This includes the auditing of nominally independent financial organizations that act as financial extensions of the party.

75:

50: Political party and candidate finances (as defined) are audited, but audits are limited in some way, such as using inadequate auditing standards, or the presence of exceptions to disclosed contributions. Contributions to the political party or candidate may be sufficiently audited, but the auditing of nominally independent extensions of the party may not be.

25:

0: Party and candidate finances are not audited, or the audits performed have no value in tracking contributions. Audits may be performed by entities known to be partisan or biased in their practices.

22. Can citizens access records related to political financing?

22a. In practice, political parties and candidates disclose data relating to financial support and expenditures within a reasonable time period.
Political parties and candidates never publish information on their sources of financing, since there is no legal provision for this. According to interviews with the Tazar Agency, some parties even think that their finances are their own business.

Making political parties’ finances transparent was identified as a corruption prevention measure in the State Strategy on Combating Corruption, but it was not put into action.

References:
Tazar, Transparency of Incomes and Expenses of Political Parties, June 18, 2007 www.tazar.kg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:
Political parties and candidates disclose their sources of funding and expenditures at least every quarter.

75:

50: Political parties and candidates disclose their sources of funding and expenditures only one or two times per year. Delays may occur when sensitive political information is involved.

25:

0: Political parties and candidates never publish their sources of funding or expenditures or publish that information only rarely with more than a year in between publication. Politically sensitive information is regular withheld from public disclosure.

22b. In practice, citizens can access the financial records of political parties and candidates within a reasonable time period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:
Financial Records should be transferred to the Election Commission no later than 20 days after the election. The Commission should release them to the mass media within three days. However, the mass media has no interest in publishing these records, since nobody pays for this.

References:
Tazar, Transparency of Incomes and Expenses of Political Parties, June 18, 2007, www.tazar.kg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Records take two to four weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

Records take more than a month to acquire. There may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

22c. In practice, citizens can access the financial records of political parties and candidates at a reasonable cost.

Comments:
It will take some time and efforts to obtain the records, at the very least, a visit to a mass media office.

References:
Tazar, Transparency of Incomes and Expenses of Political Parties, June 18, 2007, www.tazar.kg

Kyrgyz Parliamentarians against Corruption, Report on Monitoring of State Strategy on Combating Corruption, Kyrgyz Parliamentarians against Corruption, September 2007, Bishkek

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

Category III. Government Accountability

III-1. Executive Accountability

23. In law, can citizens sue the government for infringement of their civil rights?
23. In law, can citizens sue the government for infringement of their civil rights?

**YES** | **NO**

**Comments:**
This rule covers any violation by the state power.

**References:**
The Constitution, Article 15, paragraph 14

**YES:** A YES score is earned if all citizens (citizen is defined broadly, to include all ethnicities, or anyone born in the country) can receive compensation or redress through the courts for civil rights violations committed by the government, such as failure to follow due process of law when detaining suspected criminals.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if any group of citizens is excluded from the right to sue the government, or no such mechanism exists.

---

24. Can the chief executive be held accountable for his/her actions?

63

**24a.** In practice, the chief executive gives reasons for his/her policy decisions.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

**Comments:**
Referendum on the new Constitution was announced without any consultation with the civil society. The general public has no time to discuss or debate the amendments which very seriously changed the political system of the Kyrgyz Republic.

At the same time, under pressure from the mass media, the president signed a law regarding the transformation of the state broadcasting company to a public one.

**References:**

Monitoring of freedom of speech for April 2007, www.monitoring.kg

**100:** The chief executive and/or cabinet ministers give formal explanations of all policy matters. The chief executive regularly takes critical questions from journalists or an opposition party, usually at least once a month. There is no censoring of such sessions.

**75:**
The chief executive and/or cabinet ministers give explanations of policy, but not always in a timely or complete way. The chief executive occasionally takes critical questions from journalists or an opposition party, but not in a regular or formalized process. Particular issues of political sensitivity may be censored by government broadcasters.

The chief executive and/or cabinet ministers do not give substantial justifications for policy. Public appearances by the chief executive offer no exposure to critical questions. The government and government-run media routinely sensor such sessions.

In law, the judiciary can review the actions of the executive.

YES | NO

Comments:
The Supreme Court of the Kyrgyz Republic shall be the highest body of the judicial power in regard to civil, criminal and administrative judicial proceedings, as well as in regard to commercial disputes and other matters as the law may envisage. The Constitutional Court shall be the highest body of the judicial power for the protection of the Constitution of the Kyrgyz Republic.

References:
The Constitution, Articles 85 and 86

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process by which the judiciary can pass judgments on the legality or constitutionality of actions taken by the executive.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such mechanism exists. A NO score is earned if judicial review is vaguely established in law or regulation without formal procedures. A NO score is earned if general exemptions exist with respect to executive actions that are reviewable (a national security exemption, for example).

In practice, when necessary, the judiciary reviews the actions of the executive.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The civil procedure code does include a process for suing state agencies and compelling them to act, called Proceedings of Cases on Citizens Contesting Decisions and Activities (Inactivities) of Government Bodies, Bodies of Local Self-Government, and Officials," Civil Procedure Code, Chapter 27. But this is rarely used, mainly on labor issues.

References:
American Bar Association, Judicial Reform Index for Kyrgyzstan, June 2003

Confidential source (a lawyer)

When constitutional or legal questions or possible violations are raised, the judiciary is aggressive in reviewing executive actions and can void illegal or unconstitutional actions. The judiciary is fair and nonpartisan in its application of this power. It does not need to relay upon the executive to initiate a constitutional or legal review.
The judiciary will review executive actions, but is limited in its effectiveness. The judiciary may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically sensitive issues, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

The judiciary does not effectively review executive policy. The judiciary may make judgments but not enforce them, or may fail to pass judgments on executive abuses. The judiciary may be partisan in its application of power. It must rely on instructions from the executive in order to initiate a legal or constitutional review.

In practice, the chief executive limits the use of executive orders for establishing new regulations, policies, or government practices.

Comments:
According to parliament members and lawyers, the president's legal basis for dissolving the parliament is very weak.

References:
Assessment of the President's Order on Dissolution of the Parliament, #33 (700) Oct. 24 2007, Delo : Newspaper


The chief executive utilizes executive orders only when there is no constitutional or legal requirement for official legislative action or approval. Executive orders are limited in number and narrow in scope.

The chief executive sometimes relies on executive orders to implement policies and regulations opposed by the legislature. Some executive orders are overly broad in scope and are designed to circumvent constitutional or legal requirements for legislative action or approval.

The chief executive routinely abuses executive orders to render the legislature practically useless. Executive orders are the norm, not the exception, and directly contravene constitutional or legal requirements for legislative action or approval.

25. Is the executive leadership subject to criminal proceedings?

In law, the heads of state and government can be prosecuted for crimes they commit.
The president (head of state) and all former presidents of the Kyrgyz Republic are granted immunity. They shall not be brought to court for criminal or administrative offenses because of their action or inaction during their presidency. Exception is made for presidents discharged from the office as a result of impeachment.

References:
The Constitution, Article 49

YES: A YES score is earned if the heads of state and government can be investigated, charged or prosecuted for criminal allegations. Figurehead officials (symbolic figures without day-to-day authority) may be exempt.

NO: A NO score is earned if either the head of state or government cannot be investigated, charged or prosecuted for criminal allegations or the executive branch controls whether investigative or prosecutorial immunity can be lifted on the heads of state or government.

25b. In law, ministerial-level officials can be prosecuted for crimes they commit.

YES | NO

Comments:
The prime minister, ministers, and other civil servants might be prosecuted for crimes they commit.

References:
The Law on Civil Service, Article 37

YES: A YES score is earned if ministerial-level officials, or their equivalents, can all be investigated, charged or prosecuted for criminal allegations.

NO: A NO score is earned if any ministerial-level official, or equivalent official, cannot be investigated, charged or prosecuted for criminal allegations or the executive branch controls whether investigative or prosecutorial immunity can be lifted on ministerial-level officials.

26. Are there regulations governing conflicts of interest by the executive branch?

44

26a. In law, the heads of state and government are required to file a regular asset disclosure form.

YES | NO

Comments:
According to these laws, all civil servants should declare their income and assets, liabilities and property and those of their immediate family members.
YES: A YES score is earned if the heads of state and government are required by law to file an asset disclosure form while in office, illustrating sources of income, stock holdings, and other assets. This form need not be publicly available to score a YES. Figurehead officials (symbolic figures without day-to-day authority) may be exempt.

NO: A NO score is earned if either the head of state or government is not required to disclose assets.

26b. In law, ministerial-level officials are required to file a regular asset disclosure form.

YES | NO

YES: A YES score is earned if ministerial-level officials, or their equivalents, are all required by law to file an asset disclosure form while in office, illustrating sources of income, stock holdings, and other assets.

NO: A NO score is earned if ministers are not required to disclose assets. A NO score is earned if some ministers must disclose assets, but other ministers are not required.

26c. In law, there are regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the executive branch.

YES | NO

Comments:
There is a very general rule in the law on civil service that restricts receiving gifts, money or services for action or inaction in relation to the discharge of official duty.

References:
The Law on Civil Service, Article 11, of 30 June, 2004
NO: A NO score is earned if there are no guidelines or regulations with respect to gifts and hospitality offered to members of the executive branch. A NO score is earned if the guidelines are overly general and do not specify what is and is not appropriate.

26d. In law, there are requirements for the independent auditing of the executive branch asset disclosure forms (defined here as ministers and heads of state and government).

YES | NO

Comments:
The law on declaration of income and assets stipulates that the Agency on Civil Service Affairs as a body is responsible for auditing declarations of civil servants, including high officials. But this is not an independent body, because the head of the agency is a presidential appointee. The agency shall examine the data presented in declaration, but there is no procedure for doing this, and the agency's staff are not certified auditors or appraisers.

References:
The Law on Declaring Information on Income and Assets, Liabilities and Property of Political and Other Special State Appointees and Their Immediate Family Members of 30 June, 2004, Article 6

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a legal or regulatory requirement for independent auditing of executive branch asset disclosures. The auditing is performed by an impartial third-party. Figurehead officials (symbolic figures without day-to-day authority) may be exempt.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no legal or regulatory requirements for the independent auditing of executive branch asset disclosures or if such requirements exist but allow for self-auditing.

26e. In law, there are restrictions on heads of state and government and ministers entering the private sector after leaving the government.

YES | NO

Comments:
The law on civil service restricts relationships with the private sector only while civil servants are in service and one year after they leave their office. Elected officials and ministers are not bound by those restrictions.

References:
The Law on Civil Service, Article 11, of 30 June, 2004

YES: A YES score is earned if there are regulations restricting the ability of heads of state/government and ministers to take positions in the private sector after leaving government that would present a conflict of interest, including positions that directly seek to influence their former government colleagues. Figurehead officials (symbolic figures without day-to-day authority) may be exempt.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such restrictions exist.
26f. In practice, the regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for heads of state and government and ministers are effective.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

References:
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: The regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for heads of state/government and ministers are uniformly enforced. There are no or few cases of those officials taking jobs in the private sector after leaving government where they directly lobby or seek to influence their former government colleagues without an adequate cooling off period.

75:

50: The regulations are generally enforced though some exceptions exist. In certain sectors, heads of state/government or ministers are known to regularly take jobs in the private sector that entail directly lobbying or seeking to influence their former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are short and sometimes ignored.

25:

0: The regulations are rarely or never enforced. Heads of state/government or ministers routinely take jobs in the private sector following government employment that involve direct lobbying or influencing of former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are non-existent or never enforced.

26g. In practice, the regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the executive branch are effective.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Regulations cover only official gifts to the head of state. It is not possible to control gifts to other high officials.

References:
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the executive branch are regularly enforced and sufficiently restrict the amounts of gifts and hospitality that can be given. Members of the executive branch never or rarely accept gifts or hospitality above what is allowed.

75:

50: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the executive branch are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some ministers in certain sectors are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.

25:
The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the executive branch are routinely ignored and unenforced. Ministers and other members of the executive branch routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.

26h. In practice, executive branch asset disclosures (defined here as ministers and above) are audited.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
According to declarations, most of deputies of the parliament are very poor people with low incomes. As stated in the 24.kg analysis, for instance, one of the richest oligarchs and a patron of arts, Tashkul Kereksizov, didn’t earn any money in 2006.

References:

Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: Executive branch asset disclosures are regularly audited using generally accepted auditing practices.

75:

50: Executive branch asset disclosures are audited, but audits are limited in some way, such as using inadequate auditing standards, or the presence of exceptions to disclosed assets.

25:

0: Executive branch asset disclosures are not audited, or the audits performed have no value. Audits may be performed by entities known to be partisan or biased in their practices.

27. Can citizens access the asset disclosure records of the heads of state and government?

| 100 |

27a. In law, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of the heads of state and government.

YES | NO

Comments:
The Civil service Agency has to publish assets disclosure forms in its bulletin and on the government’s Web site.

References:
The Law on Declaring Information on Income and Assets, Liabilities and Property of Political and Other Special State Appointees and Their Immediate Family Members of 7 August 2004, N 108, Article 7
**YES:** A YES score is earned if the heads of state and government file an asset disclosure form that is, in law, accessible to the public (individuals, civil society groups or journalists).

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there is no asset disclosure for either the head of state or government. A NO score is earned if the form is filed, but not available to the public.

27b. In practice, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of the heads of state and government within a reasonable time period.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Records are available online at www.gov.kg, Government Services Portal

References:
Monitoring of State Strategy of Combating Corruption, September, 2007, Bishkek
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

27c. In practice, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of the heads of state and government at a reasonable cost.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Records are available online at www.gov.kg, Government Services Portal.

References:
Monitoring of State Strategy of Combating Corruption, September, 2007, Bishkek
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)
Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

28. In practice, official government functions are kept separate and distinct from the functions of the ruling political party.

Comments:
The head of state officially established a party. Although the next day he announced that he would relieved from this post during the upcoming elections, most observers think that administrative resources were used.

References:

Clear rules are followed distinguishing state functions from party activities. Government funds are never used for party activities. The civil service is completely distinct from party bureaucracy.

The ruling party is, in principal, separate from the state, but exceptions to this standard sometimes occur. Examples may be the use of civil servants to organize political rallies, use of government vehicles on campaign trips, or use of government funds for party purposes.

The government bureaucracy is an extension of the ruling party. There are few boundaries between government and party activities. Government funds, equipment and personnel are regularly used to support party activities.

III-2. Legislative Accountability
29. Can members of the legislature be held accountable for their actions?

50

29a. In law, the judiciary can review laws passed by the legislature.

YES | NO

Comments:
The Constitutional Court shall disregard any legal act which it regards as unconstitutional.

References:
The Constitution, Article 85

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process by which the judiciary or constitutional courts can pass judgments on the legality or constitutionality of laws passed by the legislature.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such mechanism exists. A NO score is earned if judicial review is vaguely established in law or regulation without formal procedures. A NO score is earned if general exceptions exist exempting certain legislative actions from being reviewed (a national security exemption, for example).

29b. In practice, when necessary, the judiciary reviews laws passed by the legislature.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Recently on September 14, 2007, the Constitutional Court disregarded a law on the regulation of parliament and on this basis the Constitution approved by the parliament was disregarded too. However, this happened almost one year after approval of the law.

References:

Decision of the Constitutional Court of the Kyrgyz Republic of 14 September, 2007

100: When constitutional or legal questions or possible violations are raised, the judiciary is aggressive in reviewing laws passed and can void illegal or unconstitutional actions. The judiciary is fair and nonpartisan in its application of this power.

75:

50: The judiciary will review laws passed, but is limited in its effectiveness. The judiciary may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically sensitive issues, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:
The judiciary does not effectively review laws passed. The judiciary may make judgments but not enforce them, or may fail to pass judgments on executive abuses. The judiciary may be partisan in its application of power.

29c. In law, are members of the national legislature subject to criminal proceedings?

| YES | NO |

Comments:
The members of the parliament can be the subject of criminal proceedings but only with the approval of the parliament. Otherwise they have immunity.

References:
The Constitution, Article 56

YES: A YES score is earned if all members of the legislature can, in law, be investigated and prosecuted for criminal allegations.

NO: A NO score is earned if any member of the legislature cannot, in law, be investigated and prosecuted for criminal proceedings.

30. Are there regulations governing conflicts of interest by members of the national legislature?

30a. In law, members of the national legislature are required to file an asset disclosure form.

| YES | NO |

Comments:
Members of the parliament are obliged to fill out declarations of their incomes and assets.

References:
The Law on Civil Service, Chapter V, of 30 June, 2004

The Law on Declaring Information on Income and Assets, Liabilities and Property of Political and Other Special State Appointees and Their Immediate Family Members of 7 August, 2004, N 108

YES: A YES score is earned if all members of the legislature are required by law to file an asset disclosure form while in office, illustrating sources of income, stock holdings, and other assets. This form does not need to be publicly available to score a YES.

NO: A NO score is earned if any member of the legislature is not required to disclose assets.
30b. In law, there are restrictions for national legislators entering the private sector after leaving the government.

YES | NO

Comments:
The law on civil service restricts relationships with the private sector only while civil servants are in service and one year after they leave the office. Elected officials are not subject to these restrictions, however.

References:
The Law on Civil Service, Article 11, of 30 June, 2004

YES: A YES score is earned if there are regulations restricting national legislators' ability to take positions in the private sector after leaving government that would present a conflict of interest, including positions that directly seek to influence their former government colleagues.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such restrictions exist.

30c. In law, there are regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the national legislature.

YES | NO

Comments:
There is a very general rule in the law on civil service that restricts receiving gifts, money or services for action or inaction in relation to discharge of their official duties.

References:
The Law on Civil Service, Article 11, of 30 June, 2004

YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal guidelines regulating gifts and hospitality for members of the legislature.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no guidelines or regulations with respect to gifts or hospitality offered to members of the legislature. A NO score is earned if the guidelines are general and do not specify what is and is not appropriate.

30d. In law, there are requirements for the independent auditing of the asset disclosure forms of members of the national legislature.

YES | NO
Comments:
The law on declaration of income and assets stipulates that the Agency on Civil Service Affairs as a body is responsible for auditing declarations of civil servants, including high officials. But this is not an independent body, because the head of the agency is a presidential appointee. The agency shall examine the data presented in the declaration, but there is no procedure to do this, and the agency’s staff are not certified auditors or appraisers.

References:
The Law on Declaring Information on Income and Assets, Liabilities and Property of Political and Other Special State Appointees and Their Immediate Family Members of 30 June, 2004, Article 6

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a legal or regulatory requirement for independent auditing of legislative branch asset disclosures. The auditing is performed by an impartial third-party.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no legal or regulatory requirements for the independent auditing of legislative branch asset disclosures or if such requirements exist but allow for self-auditing.

30e. In practice, the regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for national legislators are effective.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Most of the deputies of parliament are businessmen, so they usually return to their businesses, which legally should be managed by trust funds or hired managers while those civil servants are in office.

References:
Confidential source (civil service law expert)

100: The regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for national legislators are uniformly enforced. There are no or few cases of legislators taking jobs in the private sector after leaving government where they directly lobby or seek to influence their former government colleagues without an adequate cooling off period.

75:

50: The regulations are generally enforced though some exceptions exist. In certain sectors, legislators are known to regularly take jobs in the private sector that entail directly lobbying or seeking to influence their former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are short and sometimes ignored.

25:

0: The regulations are rarely or never enforced. Legislators routinely take jobs in the private sector following government employment that involve direct lobbying or influencing of former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are non-existent or never enforced.

30f. In practice, the regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to national legislators are effective.
Comments:
In practice, there is no mechanism of control over gifts and hospitality. Legislators never report about their activities, although there is a draft code of conduct for civil servants in which these mechanisms are supposed to be included.

References:
Confidential source (civil service law expert)

100: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to national legislators are regularly enforced and sufficiently restrict the amounts of gifts and hospitality that can be given to legislators. Legislators never or rarely accept gifts or hospitality above what is allowed.

75:

50: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to national legislators are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some legislators in certain sectors are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.

25:

0: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to national legislators are routinely ignored and unenforced. Legislators routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.

30g. In practice, national legislative branch asset disclosures are audited.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Legislative branch asset disclosures are not audited. As stated in the 24.kg analysis, for instance, one of the richest oligarchs (and a patron of the arts) Tashkul Kereksizov didn’t earn any money in 2006.

References:

Confidential source (civil service law expert)

100: Legislative branch asset disclosures are regularly audited using generally accepted auditing practices.

75:

50: Legislative branch asset disclosures are audited, but audits are limited in some way, such as using inadequate auditing standards, or the presence of exceptions to disclosed assets.

25:

0: Legislative branch asset disclosures are not audited, or the audits performed have no value. Audits may be performed by entities known to be partisan or biased in their practices.
31. Can citizens access the asset disclosure records of members of the national legislature?

100

31a. In law, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of members of the national legislature.

| YES | NO |

Comments:
All data must be published by the Civil Service Agency.

References:
The Law on Declaring Information on Income and Assets, Liabilities and Property of Political and Other Special State Appointees and Their Immediate Family Members of 7 August 2004, N 108

YES: A YES score is earned if members of the national legislature file an asset disclosure form that is, in law, accessible to the public (individuals, civil society groups or journalists).

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no asset disclosure for members of the national legislature. A NO score is earned if the form is filed, but not available to the public.

31b. In practice, citizens can access legislative asset disclosure records within a reasonable time period.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
All data are available online. Mass media duplicate the results in their publications.

References:

100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:
0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

31c. In practice, citizens can access legislative asset disclosure records at a reasonable cost.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Records can be obtained online.

References:

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

32. Can citizens access legislative processes and documents?

67

32a. In law, citizens can access records of legislative processes and documents.

YES | NO

References:
The Law on Access to Information of State Bodies and Local Self-governments of 14 November, 2006, Chapters III, IV

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a general legal right to access records of legislative proceedings including voting records. A YES score can still be given if there are formal rules for specific exemptions to the right to disclosure (special secret sessions related to national security).
NO: A NO score is earned if there is no general right to access documents recording legislative proceedings. A NO score is earned if there exemptions to the general right that are not clearly defined by formal rules.

32b. In practice, citizens can access records of legislative processes and documents within a reasonable time period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retrieve records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References:
Confidential source (NGO member)
Elmira Toktogulova, Director, Media Consult Public Association, August 17, 2007, Bishkek
0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.
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III-3. Judicial Accountability

33. Are judges appointed fairly?

83

33a. In law, there is a transparent procedure for selecting national-level judges.

**YES** | **NO**

Comments:
National level judges have to be appointed by the parliament upon proposal of the President.

References:
The Constitution, Articles 46, 83

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is a formal process for selecting national level justices. This process should be public in the debating and confirmation stages. National-level judges are defined as judges who have powers that derive from a national law or constitution; are nominated/appointed by a national governmental body (head of state/government or national legislature); and/or are elected nationally.

**NO:** A NO score is given if there is no formal process of selection or the process is conducted without public oversight. National-level judges are defined as judges who have powers that derive from a national law or constitution; are nominated/appointed by a national governmental body (head of state/government or national legislature); and/or are elected nationally.

33b. In practice, there are certain professional criteria required for the selection of national-level judges.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The nature of the process of judicial appointment and removal is closed and there is no clear criteria for the qualification of judges.

Political considerations apparently play an important role in attaining leading positions in the court system.
100: National-level judges selected have relevant professional qualifications such as formal legal training, experience as a lower court judge or a career as a litigator.

75:

50: Most national-level judges selected meet these qualifications, with some exceptions.

25:

0: National-level judges are often unqualified due to lack of training or experience.

33c. In law, there is a confirmation process for national-level judges (i.e. conducted by the legislature or an independent body).

YES | NO

Comments:
National-level judicial nominees have to be selected by the National Council, which consists of representatives of all branches of power.

References:
The Law on National Council on Justice Affairs of 20 August, 2007, #155

The Constitution, Article 46

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process establishing a review of national-level judicial nominees by an agency independent from the body appointing the judges.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no formal review. A NO score is earned if the review is conducted by a body directed by the body appointing the judges (such as review by the head of police if judges are appointed by the executive).

34. Can members of the judiciary be held accountable for their actions?

50

34a. In law, members of the national-level judiciary are obliged to give reasons for their decisions.

YES | NO
Comments:
Judges have to announce their decision at the court's session, but they can announce only the concluding part.

References:
The Rules of the Supreme Court Approved by the Plenum of the Supreme Court of 22 October, 2004, #9, Article 4

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal and mandatory process for judges to explain their decisions.

NO: A NO score is earned if justices are not required to explain decisions. A NO score is earned if there is a general exemption from explaining some decisions (such as national security).

34b. In practice, members of the national-level judiciary give reasons for their decisions.

Comments:
The most recent case of a private court sessions involves parliament press-service employee Jeparkul Arykova, where espionage was alleged. The whole case was declared secret and the court sessions will be also private (with no public and mass media attending).

According to ABA research: A number of former judges and lawyers reported that “telephone justice is still determinative of political and certain important financial cases in the country.”

References:
Delo # newspaper, Zotova, N., lawyer, It’s a Pity That the Session of Court Will Be Private, Oct. 31, 2007

American Bar Association, Judicial Reform Index for Kyrgyzstan, June 2003

100: Judges are formally required to explain their judgments in detail, establishing a body of precedent. All judges comply with these requirements.

75:

50: Judges are compelled to give substantial reasons for their decisions, but some exceptions exist. These may include special courts, such as military courts or tribunals.

25:

0: Judges commonly issue decisions without formal explanations.

34c. In law, there is a disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) for the national-level judicial system.

YES | NO
YES: A YES score is earned if there is a disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) for the judicial system. A disciplinary agency is defined here as an agency or mechanism specifically mandated to investigate breaches of procedure, abuses of power or other failures of the judiciary.

NO: A NO score is earned if no agency or mechanism is specifically mandated to act as a disciplinary mechanism for the national-level judiciary.

34d. In law, the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is protected from political interference.

YES | NO

Comments:
The disciplinary commission consists of judges and a representative of the President’s Office (in fact, it is the internal committee). Although the commission members are elected by secret voting, there is no formal mechanisms of independence.

References:
Regulation on Qualification Collegiums of Judges approved by Presidents Decree of 8 June, 2005, # 223

YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal rules establishing that the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is operationally independent from political interference by the executive, legislative and judicial branches.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no formal rules establishing the independence of the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism). A NO score is given if the judicial disciplinary agency or equivalent mechanism function is carried out by an inherently subordinate organization, such as an executive ministry, legislative committee, or by an internal judiciary committee or council that can only act with the approval of judges themselves.

34e. In practice, when necessary, the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) initiates investigations.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
According to ABA research, a number of judges have reportedly been pressured into resigning before the conclusions of their terms of office. Statistics on resignation were requested but not provided.

References:
American Bar Association, Judicial Reform Index for Kyrgyzstan, June 2003
100: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) aggressively starts investigations — or participates fully with cooperating agencies’ investigations — into judicial misconduct. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is fair in its application of this power.

75:

50: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) will start or cooperate in investigations, but often relies on external pressure to set priorities, or has limited effectiveness when investigating. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism), though limited in effectiveness, is still fair in its application of power.

25:

0: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) rarely investigates on its own or cooperates in other agencies’ investigations, or the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is partisan in its application of this power.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Last year only 26 judges were under disciplinary investigations.

As stated in JRI for Kyrgyzstan research, There have been few examples of judges being removed from office, but it is a matter of concern that the President has such broad powers to remove or transfer lower court judges, which can be used to intimidate judges."

References:
www.president.kg

American Bar Association, Judicial Reform Index for Kyrgyzstan, June 2003

100: When rules violations are discovered, the judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) is aggressive in penalizing offenders or in cooperating with other agencies who penalize offenders.

75:

50: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) enforces rules, but is limited in its effectiveness. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, resistant to cooperating with other agencies, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) does not effectively penalize offenders. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) may make judgments but not enforce them, does not cooperate with other agencies in enforcing penalties, or may fail to make reasonable judgments against offenders. The judicial disciplinary agency (or equivalent mechanism) may be partisan in its application of power.

35. Are there regulations governing conflicts of interest for the national-level judiciary?
35a. In law, members of the national-level judiciary are required to file an asset disclosure form.

| YES | NO |

**References:**
The Law on Declaring Information on Income and Assets, Liabilities and Property of Political and Other Special State Appointees and Their Immediate Family Members of 7 August 2004, N 108, Article 1

**YES:** A YES score is earned if all members of the national-level judiciary are required by law to file an asset disclosure form while in office, illustrating sources of income, stock holdings, and other assets. This form does not need to be publicly available to score a YES.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if any member of the national-level judiciary is not required to publicly disclose assets.

35b. In law, there are regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the national-level judiciary.

| YES | NO |

**Comments:**
According to the Code, judges have to fight corruption, but there is no specification of what corruption is.

**References:**
The Code of the Kyrgyz Republic’s Judge’s Dignity approved by Fifth Conference of Kyrgyz Republic’s Judges in 8 June, 2006, Article 2

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there are formal guidelines regulating gifts and hospitality for members of the national-level judiciary.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if there are no guidelines or regulations with respect to gifts or hospitality offered to members of the national-level judiciary. A NO score is earned if the guidelines are general and do not specify what is and is not appropriate.

35c. In law, there are requirements for the independent auditing of the asset disclosure forms of members of the national-level judiciary.

| YES | NO |

**Comments:**
The law on declaration of income and assets stipulates that the Agency on Civil Service Affairs as a body is responsible for auditing declarations of civil servants, including high officials. But this is not an independent body, because the head of the
agency is a presidential appointee. The agency shall examine the data presented in declaration, but there is no procedure for doing so, and the agency’s staff are not certified auditors or appraisers.

References:
The law on Declaring Information on Income and Assets, Liabilities and Property of Political and Other Special State Appointees and Their Immediate Family Members of 30 June, 2004, Article 6

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a legal or regulatory requirement for independent auditing of national-level judiciary asset disclosures. The auditing is performed by an impartial third-party.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no legal or regulatory requirements for the independent auditing of national-level judiciary asset disclosures or if such requirements exist but allow for self-auditing.

35d. In law, there are restrictions for national-level judges entering the private sector after leaving the government.

YES | NO

Comments:
According to Code of Dignity, judges should abstain from financial and business ties which can call into question their impartiality or affect execution of professional duties by them. These rules apply to retired judges also.

References:
Code of the Kyrgyz Republic’s Judge’s Dignity approved by Fifth Conference of Kyrgyz Republic’s Judges in 8 June, 2006, Article 6

YES: A YES score is earned if there are regulations restricting national-level judges ability to take positions in the private sector after leaving government that would present a conflict of interest, including positions that directly seek to influence their former government colleagues.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such restrictions exist.

35e. In practice, the regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for national-level judges are effective.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Since a cooling-off period is not defined in the current laws, there are no statistics on post-service employment of national level judges.

References:
Confidential source (NGO member)
The regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for national-level judges are uniformly enforced. There are no or few cases of judges taking jobs in the private sector after leaving government where they directly lobby or seek to influence their former government colleagues without an adequate cooling off period.

The regulations are generally enforced though some exceptions exist. In certain cases, judges are known to regularly take jobs in the private sector that entail directly lobbying or seeking to influence their former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are short and sometimes ignored.

The regulations are rarely or never enforced. Judges routinely take jobs in the private sector following government employment that involve direct lobbying or influencing of former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are non-existent or never enforced.

In practice, the regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to members of the national-level judiciary are effective.

- **100**: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the national-level judiciary are regularly enforced and sufficiently restrict the amounts of gifts and hospitality that can be given to judges. Judges never or rarely accept gifts or hospitality above what is allowed.
- **75**: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the national-level judiciary are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some judges are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.
- **50**: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the national-level judiciary are routinely ignored and unenforced. Judges routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.

In practice, national-level judiciary asset disclosures are audited.

- **100**: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the national-level judiciary are regularly enforced and sufficiently restrict the amounts of gifts and hospitality that can be given to judges. Judges never or rarely accept gifts or hospitality above what is allowed.
- **75**: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the national-level judiciary are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some judges are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.
- **50**: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to members of the national-level judiciary are routinely ignored and unenforced. Judges routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.

Information on the real properties owned by the national-level judges can be found only by accident. Thus, the Kyrgyz Committee
on Human Rights reported that the chairman of the Supreme Court of Kyrgyz Republic Kurmanbek Osmonov received the ground area #24 of 1210 square meters along the street Maldybaeva. At the same time, he has another house and apartment in Bishkek. Later in his report to 24.kg news agency a head of the Supreme Court said that he build a house with support of his relatives and friends.

References:
To the Bad Gardener Always Stir Weeds, June 24, 2007, http://www.kchr.org

Kurmanbek Osmonov: It Was the First Time When I Got a Land Area and Built Up a House in the Capital of Kyrgyzstan.

100: National-level judiciary asset disclosures are regularly audited using generally accepted auditing practices.

75:

50: National-level judiciary asset disclosures are audited, but audits are limited in some way, such as using inadequate auditing standards, or the presence of exceptions to disclosed assets.

25:

0: National-level judiciary asset disclosures are not audited, or the audits performed have no value. Audits may be performed by entities known to be partisan or biased in their practices.

36. Can citizens access the asset disclosure records of members of the national-level judiciary?

100

36a. In law, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of members of the national-level judiciary.

YES | NO

References:
The Law on Declaring Information on Income and Assets, Liabilities and Property of Political and Other Special State Appointees and Their Immediate Family Members of 7 August, 2004 ; N 108

YES: A YES score is earned if members of the national-level judiciary file an asset disclosure form that is, in law, accessible to the public (individuals, civil society groups or journalists).

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no asset disclosure for members of the national-level judiciary. A NO score is earned if the form is filed, but not available to the public.

36b. In practice, citizens can access judicial asset disclosure records within a reasonable time period.
Comments:
Records are available online at www.gov.kg, Government Services Portal.

References:
Monitoring of State Strategy of Combating Corruption, Bishkek, September, 2007
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

36c. In practice, citizens can access judicial asset disclosure records at a reasonable cost.

Comments:
Records are available online at www.gov.kg, Government Services Portal.

References:
Monitoring of State Strategy of Combating Corruption, Bishkek, September 2007
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.
III-4. Budget Processes

37. Can the legislature provide input to the national budget?

83

37a. In law, the legislature can amend the budget.

YES | NO

Comments:
The parliament shall not make amendments requiring increase in expenditures if the government cannot provide sources to cover them.

References:
The Law on Main Principles of Budget Law, Article 15
The Constitution, Articles 58 and 65

YES: A YES score is earned if the legislature has the power to add or remove items to the national government budget.

NO: A NO score is earned if the legislature can only approve but not change details of the budget. A NO score is earned if the legislature has no input into the budget process.

37b. In practice, significant public expenditures require legislative approval.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The budget of the Kyrgyz Republic for 2007 was increased up to 100 million soms (US$2,800,000) in response to requests of the parliamentarians.

References:

100: All significant government expenditures (defined as any project costing more than 1% of the total national budget), must be approved by the legislature. This includes defense and secret programs, which may be debated in closed hearings.
Most significant government expenditures (as defined) are approved by the legislature, but some exceptions to this rule exist. This may include defense programs, an executive’s personal budget, or other expenses.

The legislature does not have the power to approve or disapprove large portions of the government budget, or the legislature does not exercise this power in a meaningful way.

In practice, the legislature has sufficient capacity to monitor the budget process and provide input or changes.

Legislators have a limited number of staff for monitoring, which is why they mostly rely on reports of the Accounts Chamber.

Legislators benefit from a sufficient and qualified staff as well as adequate financial and physical resources. Lack of capacity is never a reason why legislators cannot carry out their duties effectively.

Legislators have some staff and financial resources but are limited by a shortfall of resources to adequately perform all of their budgetary oversight functions. Legislators are occasionally overwhelmed by the volume of work to be performed.

Legislators have little to no staff and virtually no financial resources with which to perform their budgetary oversight role. Lack of resources is a regular and systemic problem that cripples the performance of the legislature.

Can citizens access the national budgetary process?

In practice, the national budgetary process is conducted in a transparent manner in the debating stage (i.e. before final approval).

References:
Budget debates are public and records of these proceedings are easily accessible. Authors of individual budget items can easily be identified. Nearly all budget negotiations are conducted in these official proceedings.

There is a formal, transparent process for budget debate, but major budget modifications may be negotiated in separate, closed sessions. Some items, such as non-secret defense projects, may be negotiated in closed sessions. Authors of individual line items may be difficult to identify.

Budget negotiations are effectively closed to the public. There may be a formal, transparent process, but most real discussion and debate happens in other, closed settings.

In practice, citizens provide input at budget hearings.

Citizens, usually acting through CSOs, can provide information or commentary to the budget debate through a formal process. This information is essential to the process of evaluating budget priorities.

Citizens or CSOs can provide input, but this information is often not relevant to budget decisions.

Citizens or CSOs have no formal access to provide input to the budget debate.

In practice, citizens can access itemized budget allocations.

References:
Interview with Zaure Sadykova, Director of Small Business Development Center, Director of www.open.kg Project, Aug. 25, 2007, Bishkek
Citizens, journalists and CSOs can access itemized lists of budget allocations. This information is easily available and up to date.

Citizens, journalists and CSOs can access itemized lists of budget allocations but this information may be difficult to access, incomplete or out of date.

Citizens cannot access an itemized list of budget allocations, due to secrecy, prohibitive barriers or government inefficiency.

39. In law, is there a separate legislative committee which provides oversight of public funds?

100

39. In law, is there a separate legislative committee which provides oversight of public funds?

YES | NO

Comments:
According to the law, a permanent committee of the parliament must consider all proposed budget expenditures and incomes.

References:
The Law on Main Principles of Budget Law in the Kyrgyz Republic, June 11, 1998, N 78, Article 41

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a dedicated legislative committee (or equivalent group located in the legislature) that oversees the expenditure of public funds.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such body exists within the legislature. A NO score is earned if there is a body executing this function but it is not part of the legislature (such as a separate supreme audit institution).

40. Is the legislative committee overseeing the expenditure of public funds effective?

44

40a. In practice, department heads regularly submit reports to this committee.

Comments:
The reports on budget implementation are submitted to the Central Treasury of the Ministry of Finance. The parliament's committee can request a report from a particular ministry or agency, but this is not a formal and regular report.
### 100: Heads of ministry- or cabinet-level agencies submit regular, formal reports of expenses to a budget oversight committee.

75:

50: Agency heads submit reports to a budget oversight committee, but these reports are flawed in some way. The reports may be inconsistently delivered, or lacking important details.

25:

0: There is no budget oversight committee or equivalent, or heads of agencies do not submit meaningful reports to the agency.

### 40b. In practice, the committee acts in a non-partisan manner with members of opposition parties serving on the committee in an equitable fashion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Comments:

So far, there has been no clear distinction between the opposition and the ruling party. That is why some representatives of the opposition often used to be members of budget committees. The head of the last parliament committee on budget and finance was leading opposition member Temir Sariev.

However, in the new constitution, a party system was introduced. Therefore, after parliament elections that will be held on December 16, 2007, the party of the President might become the majority in the parliament. In this case, it is doubtful that opposition members can be a part of the budget and finance committee.

### References:

Interview with an expert in budget (confidential source)

100: The committee is comprised of legislators from both the ruling party (or parties) and opposition parties in a roughly equitable distribution. All members of the committee — including opposition party members — are able to fully participate in the activities of the committee and influence the committee’s work to roughly the same extent as any other member of the committee.

75:

50: The committee is comprised of legislators from both the ruling party (or parties) and opposition parties although the ruling party has a disproportionate share of committee seats. The chairperson of the committee may be overly influential and curb other members’ ability to shape the committee’s activities.

25:

0: The committee is dominated by legislators of the ruling party and/or the committee chairperson. Opposition legislators serving on the committee have in practice no way to influence the work of the committee.

### 40c. In practice, this committee is protected from political interference.
**References:**
Interview with an expert in budget (confidential source)

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**100:** This committee operates independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable judgments on politically sensitive issues. Investigations are rarely praised or criticized by political figures.

**75:**

**50:** This committee is usually independent but is sometimes influenced by negative or positive political incentives. This may include public praise or criticism by the government.

**25:**

**0:** This committee is commonly influenced by personal or political forces or incentives. This may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties that ultimately influence the committee's behavior and decision-making. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses of power by the government.

**40d.** In practice, when necessary, this committee initiates independent investigations into financial irregularities.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**Comments:**
The committee has no capacity to investigate financial irregularities or violations. Usually parliamentary investigation teams include members of different committees. For instance, in March 2007, the parliament initiated investigations on how shares of state corporation have been sold through illegal procedures.

**References:**
Order of the Parliament on Illegal Deal with Shares of State-owned Cristal® Corporation of 19 March, 2007, N 1692-III

Interview with an expert in budget (confidential source)

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**100:** When irregularities are discovered, the committee is aggressive in investigating the government.

**75:**

**50:** The committee starts investigations, but is limited in its effectiveness. The committee may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

**25:**

**0:** The committee does not effectively investigate financial irregularities. The committee may start investigations but not complete them, or may fail to detect offenders. The committee may be partisan in its application of power.
IV-1. Civil Service Regulations

41. Are there national regulations for the civil service encompassing, at least, the managerial and professional staff?

75

41a. In law, there are regulations requiring an impartial, independent and fairly managed civil service.

YES | NO

Comments:
The law stipulated that the Civil Service in Kyrgyzstan perform its functions on the basis of professionalism, competence, initiative and integrity.

References:
The Law on Civil Service of 11 August, 2004, N 114, Article 4

YES: A YES score is earned if there are specific formal rules establishing that the civil service carry out its duties independent of political interference.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no formal rules establishing an independent civil service.

41b. In law, there are regulations to prevent nepotism, cronyism, and patronage within the civil service.

YES | NO

References:
The Law on Civil Service of 11 August, 2004, N 114, Article 11

YES: A YES score is earned if there are specific formal rules prohibiting nepotism, cronyism, and patronage in the civil service. These should include competitive recruitment and promotion procedures as well as safeguards against arbitrary
disciplinary actions and dismissal.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such regulations exist.

41c. In law, there is an independent redress mechanism for the civil service.

YES | NO

Comments:
Civil servants can apply to the Agency for Civil Service Affairs (for appeals against disciplinary decisions) or to a court (for both disciplinary issues and labor disputes).

References:
The Law on Civil Service of 11 August, 2004, N 114, Articles 39 and 43

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a mechanism to which civil servants and applicants for the civil service can take grievances regarding civil service management actions. Civil servants are able to appeal the mechanism’s decisions to the judiciary.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such mechanism exists.

41d. In law, civil servants convicted of corruption are prohibited from future government employment.

YES | NO

Comments:
Theoretically, civil servants convicted of corruption shall be deprived of the right to occupy certain positions or engage in certain activities for a period up to three years. However, according to legal experts, this is not enforceable because a clean record regarding corruption is not included in the list of requirements for administrative civil servants. For political civil servants (ministers, heads of agencies), there are no requirement at all. The only exclusions are made in law enforcement organizations where a system of blacklists exists. The Criminal Code is fundamentally inadequate for governing this area and provides no procedures for enforcing such a policy.

References:
The Criminal Code of the Kyrgyz Republic, Article 303

YES: A YES score is earned if there are specific rules prohibiting continued government employment following a corruption conviction.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such rules exist.

42. Is the law governing the administration and civil service effective?
42a. In practice, civil servants are protected from political interference.

Comments:
The number of civil servants fired for political reasons is still high. In the period of April to June 2007, almost 70 percent of firings were due to personal reasons, and only 14 percent of all firings were at the initiative of the administrations.

References:
Monitoring of State Strategy of Combating Corruption, September, 2007, Bishkek

100: Civil servants operate independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable treatment or policy decisions on politically sensitive issues. Civil servants rarely comment on political debates. Individual judgments are rarely praised or criticized by political figures. Civil servants can bring a case to the courts challenging politically-motivated firings.

75:

50: Civil servants are typically independent, yet are sometimes influenced in their judgments by negative or positive political or personal incentives. This may include favorable or unfavorable treatment by superiors, public criticism or praise by the government, or other forms of influence. Civil servants may bring a case to the judicial system challenging politically-motivated firings but the case may encounter delays or bureaucratic hurdles.

25:

0: Civil servants are commonly influenced by political or personal matters. This may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses of power. Civil servants are unable to find a remedy in the courts for unjustified or politically-motivated firings.

42b. In practice, civil servants are appointed and evaluated according to professional criteria.

Comments:
Civil servants are still not all appointed through competitive processes. The Civil Service Agency reports on cases where some vacancies were filled illegally. Sometimes state bodies bypass the law by using short-term contracts instead of open competition.

References:
Monitoring of State Strategy of Combating Corruption, September, 2007, Bishkek
**100:** Appointments to the civil service and their professional evaluations are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest arising from personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.

**75:**

**50:** Appointments and professional assessments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties, however.

**25:**

**0:** Appointments and professional assessments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

---

42c. In practice, civil service management actions (e.g. hiring, firing, promotions) are not based on nepotism, cronyism, or patronage.

---

**Comments:**

Civil service appointments are now regionally based. According to former member of parliament K. Baibolov, a massive turnover in the civil servants from the south of Kyrgyzstan has had a negative effect. This was caused by the fact that the former president of the Kyrgyzstan was from the northern of the country, while the current president was born in the South. Change at the top level leads to changes of civil servants at lower levels.

**References:**


---

**100:** Nepotism (favorable treatment of family members), cronyism (favorable treatment of friends and colleagues), and patronage (favorable treatment of those who reward their superiors) are actively discouraged at all levels of the civil service. Hirings, firings, and promotions are based on merit and performance.

**75:**

**50:** Nepotism, cronyism, and patronage are discouraged, but exceptions exist. Political leaders or senior officials sometimes appoint family member or friends to favorable positions in the civil service, or lend other favorable treatment.

**25:**

**0:** Nepotism, cronyism, and patronage are commonly accepted principles in hiring, firing and promotions of civil servants.

---

42d. In practice, civil servants have clear job descriptions.

---

**Comments:**

Functions and tasks of the state bodies are not clear and job descriptions of civil servants are very formal. Because ministries and
agencies mostly perform different functions from those they are required to perform, civil servants usually perform different kinds of tasks in their day-to-day work, although formally they should be familiar with their actual job descriptions before they are appointed.

**References:**

Confidential source (expert in civil service)

100: Civil servants almost always have formal job descriptions establishing levels of seniority, assigned functions, and compensation. Job descriptions are a reliable means to map positions to both human capital requirements (including the position’s authority and responsibilities) and base pay.

75:

50: Civil servants often have formal job descriptions, but exceptions exist. Some civil servants may not be part of the formal assignment of duties and compensations. Some job descriptions may not map clearly to pay or responsibilities in some cases.

25:

0: Civil servants do not have formal roles or job descriptions. If they do, such job descriptions have little or nothing to do with the position’s responsibilities, authority, or pay.

42e. In practice, civil servant bonuses constitute only a small faction of total pay.

Comments:
Civil servants may have bonuses in amounts of up to 100 percent of their basic salary. These include: 50 percent bonus for irregular working hours, a bonus for civil service rank, four quarterly bonuses per year, an amount of salary for two months as a bonus for annual leave, and an amount of one month’s salary as a material aid. All bonuses are included in the annual budget of a ministry or agency under the section titled Salary."

There are many other benefits regulated for special kinds of civil servants, like prosecutors. In addition to their monthly salaries, prosecutors receive the following benefits under law:

1. 30 – 45 days of annual leave, depending on longevity, with allowances for additional leave
2. Housing allowance and temporary housing when needed
3. Public transportation certificates
4. Full pension at retirement
5. Disability insurance
6. Complimentary uniforms
7. Daycare, summer camp, and boarding school for minor dependents, and
8. Home telephone service.

**References:**
President’s Decree #196 as of 2000

American Bar Association, Prosecutorial Reform Index for Kyrgyzstan, March 2007

100: Civil servant bonuses constitute no more than 10% of total pay and do not represent a major element of take-home pay.
Civil servant bonuses are generally a small percentage of total take-home pay for most civil servants though exceptions exist where some civil servants' bonuses represent a significant part of total pay.

Most civil servants receive bonuses that represent a significant amount of total take-home pay. In some cases bonuses represent the majority of total pay to civil servants.

42f. In practice, the government publishes the number of authorized civil service positions along with the number of positions actually filled.

The government publishes such a list on a regular basis.

The government publishes such a list but it is often delayed or incomplete. There may be multiple years in between each successive publication.

The government rarely or never publishes such a list, or when it does it is wholly incomplete.

42g. In practice, the independent redress mechanism for the civil service is effective.

The Agency for Civil Service Affairs informs the heads of ministries and agencies about violations of law when they take place, but it has no leverage to make sure that their recommendations are followed. The Agency conducts investigations, but its powers sometimes are not enough to conclude them effectively.

Comments:

References:

Monitoring of State Strategy of Combating Corruption, September 2007, Bishkek


References:

Monitoring of State Strategy of Combating Corruption, September 2007, Bishkek
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: The independent redress mechanism for the civil service can control the timing and pace of its investigations without any input from the bodies that manage civil servants on a day-to-day basis.

75:

50: The independent civil service redress mechanism can generally decide what to investigate and when but is sometimes subject to pressure from the executive or the bodies that manage civil servants on a day-to-day basis on politically sensitive issues.

25:

0: The civil service redress mechanism must rely on approval from the executive or the bodies that manage civil servants on a day-to-day basis before initiating investigations. Politically sensitive investigations are almost impossible to move forward on.

42h. In practice, in the past year, the government has paid civil servants on time.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Usually civil servants get paid on time, although some exceptions may occur.

References:
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: In the past year, no civil servants have been paid late.

75:

50: In the past year, some civil servants have been paid late.

25:

0: In the past year, civil servants have frequently been denied due pay.

42i. In practice, civil servants convicted of corruption are prohibited from future government employment.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
According to K. Baibolov, there are many cases when convicted civil servants are appointed. According to legal experts, this happens because a clean record regarding corruption is not included in the list of requirements for administrative civil servants. For political civil servants (ministers, heads of agencies), there are no requirements at all. The only exclusions are made in law enforcement organizations where a system of blacklists exists.
References:

Interview with a civil service law expert (confidential source)

100: A system of formal blacklists and cooling off periods is in place for civil servants convicted of corruption. All civil servants are subject to this system.

75:

50: A system of formal blacklists and cooling off periods is in place, but the system has flaws. Some civil servants may not be affected by the system, or the prohibitions are sometimes not effective.

25:

0: There is no such system, or the system is consistently ineffective in prohibiting future employment of convicted civil servants.

43. Are there regulations addressing conflicts of interest for civil servants?

54

43a. In law, there are requirements for civil servants to recuse themselves from policy decisions where their personal interests may be affected.

YES | NO

Comments:
A civil servant who is aware of conflicts of interest shall take measures to prevent it. Usually they are requested to inform their top managers about conflicts of interests.

References:
The Law on Civil Service, Article 9

The Law on Fight Against Corruption, Article 11.

YES: A YES score is earned if there are requirements for civil servants to recuse themselves from policy decisions where their personal interests, including personal financial interests as well as those of their family and friends, are affected.

NO: A NO score exists if no such requirements exist in regulation or law.

43b. In law, there are restrictions for civil servants entering the private sector after leaving the government.
Comments:
The Civil Service Law restricts relationships with the private sector only while civil servants are in service and one year after they leave the office, but these restrictions are for administrative civil servants only. Ministers and other political appointees are not bound by this rule.

References:
The Law on Civil Service of the Kyrgyz Republic, Article 11

YES: A YES score is earned if there are regulations restricting civil servants’ ability to take positions in the private sector after leaving government that would present a conflict of interest, including positions that directly seek to influence their former government colleagues.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such restrictions exist.

43c. In law, there are regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to civil servants.

YES | NO

Comments:
According to both laws, the administrative civil servants shall not accept any kind of gift or hospitality in connection with fulfillment of their official duties.

References:
The Law on Civil Service of 11 August, 2004, N 114, Article 11

The Law on Fighting against Corruption, 6 March, 2003, N 51, Article 12

YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal guidelines regarding gifts and hospitality given to civil servants.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no such guidelines or regulations.

43d. In practice, the regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for civil servants are effective.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Current guidelines are very generic, and there is no procedure and staff to enforce such a provision. Anyway, the cooling-off period of one year is too short.
100: The regulations restricting post-government private sector employment for civil servants are uniformly enforced. There are no or few cases of civil servants taking jobs in the private sector after leaving government where they directly lobby or seek to influence their former government colleagues without an adequate cooling off period.

75:

50: The regulations are generally enforced though some exceptions exist. In certain sectors, civil servants are known to regularly take jobs in the private sector that entail directly lobbying or seeking to influence their former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are short and sometimes ignored.

25:

0: The regulations are rarely or never enforced. Civil servants routinely take jobs in the private sector following government employment that involve direct lobbying or influencing of former government colleagues. Cooling off periods are non-existent or never enforced.

43e. In practice, the regulations governing gifts and hospitality offered to civil servants are effective.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The regulations are not effective, since they do not provide civil servants or their management with the clear definitions of gifts, hospitality or other benefits. There are no regulations on what civil servants should do if they are approached with gifts or other illegal proposals.

References:
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to civil servants are regularly enforced and sufficiently restrict the amounts of gifts and hospitality that can be given to civil servants. Civil servants never or rarely accept gifts or hospitality above what is allowed.

75:

50: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to civil servants are generally applied though exceptions exist. Some civil servants in certain sectors are known to accept greater amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups or private sector actors than is allowed.

25:

0: The regulations governing gifts and hospitality to the civil service are routinely ignored and unenforced. Civil servants routinely accept significant amounts of gifts and hospitality from outside interest groups and actors seeking to influence their decisions.

43f. In practice, the requirements for civil service recusal from policy decisions affecting personal interests are effective.
Comments:
The recusal requirements are ignored because of the absence of mechanisms to control conflicts of interest.

References:
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: The requirements that civil servants recuse themselves from policy decisions where their personal interests are affected are routinely followed by most or all civil servants.

75:

50: The requirements that civil servants recuse themselves from policy decisions where their personal interests are affected are followed by most civil servants though exceptions exist. In certain sectors, civil servants are known to routinely participate in policy decisions where their personal interests are affected.

25:

0: Most civil servants routinely ignore recusal requirements and continue to participate in policy decisions where their personal interests are affected.

44. Can citizens access the asset disclosure records of senior civil servants?

100

44a. In law, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of senior civil servants.

YES  |  NO

Comments:
There is information that is private and cannot be published (i.e., home address of the civil servants).

References:
The Law on Declaring Information on Income and Assets, Liabilities and Property of Political and Other Special State Appointees and Their Immediate Family Members of 30 June, 2004, Article 6

YES: A YES score is earned if laws or regulations guarantee that citizens can access the asset records of senior civil servants.

NO: A NO score is earned if senior civil servants do not file an asset disclosure. A NO score is earned if senior civil servants file an asset disclosure, but it is not available to the public.

44b. In practice, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of senior civil servants within a reasonable time period.
### 44c. In practice, citizens can access the asset disclosure records of senior civil servants at a reasonable cost.

#### Comments:
Records are available online.

#### References:
- Confidential source (expert in civil service law)
45. Are employees protected from recrimination or other negative consequences when reporting corruption (i.e. whistle-blowing)?

45a. In law, civil servants who report cases of corruption, graft, abuse of power, or abuse of resources are protected from recrimination or other negative consequences.

YES | NO

References:
The Law on Civil Service, Article 8,
The Law on Fight Against Corruption, Article 9.
Criminal Procedure Code, Article 314 9 (note)
The Law on Protection of rights of Witnesses, Suffered and Other Participants of Criminal Legal proceedings of 16 August, 2006, N 170

YES: A YES score is earned if there are specific laws against recrimination against public sector whistleblowers. This may include prohibitions on termination, transfer, harassment or other consequences.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no legal protections for public-sector whistleblowers.

45b. In practice, civil servants who report cases of corruption, graft, abuse of power, or abuse of resources are protected from recrimination or other negative consequences.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
According to independent monitoring of the State Strategy on Combating Corruption, citizens are not protected when they report about corruption due to financial and other burdens.
Public sector whistleblowers can report abuses of power without fear of negative consequences. This may be due to robust mechanisms to protect the identity of whistleblowers or may be due to a culture that encourages disclosure and accountability.

Public sector whistleblowers are sometimes able to come forward without negative consequences, but in other cases, whistleblowers are punished for disclosing, either through official or unofficial means.

Public sector whistleblowers often face substantial negative consequences, such as losing a job, relocating to a less prominent position, or some form of harassment.

In law, private sector employees who report cases of corruption, graft, abuse of power, or abuse of resources are protected from recrimination or other negative consequences.

Yes: A YES score is earned if there are specific laws against recrimination against private sector whistleblowers. This may include prohibitions on termination, transfer, harassment or other consequences.

No: A NO score is earned if there are no legal protections for private-sector whistleblowers.

In practice, private sector employees who report cases of corruption, graft, abuse of power, or abuse of resources are protected from recrimination or other negative consequences.

According to independent monitoring of the State Strategy on Combating Corruption, citizens are not protected when they report about corruption due to financial and other burdens.
100: Private sector whistleblowers can report abuses of power without fear of negative consequences. This may be due to robust mechanisms to protect the identity of whistleblowers or may be due to a culture that encourages disclosure and accountability.

75:

50: Private sector whistleblowers are sometimes able to come forward without negative consequences, but in other cases, whistleblowers are punished for disclosing, either through official or unofficial means.

25:

0: Private sector whistleblowers often face substantial negative consequences, such as losing a job, relocating to a less prominent position, or some form of harassment.

46. In law, is there an internal mechanism (i.e. phone hotline, e-mail address, local office) through which civil servants can report corruption?

100

46. In law, is there an internal mechanism (i.e. phone hotline, e-mail address, local office) through which civil servants can report corruption?

YES | NO

References:
The Regulation of the Government on Public Receptions and Phone Hot Lines of 12 May, 2005, N 184

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a mechanism, or multiple mechanisms for multiple national government agencies, through which civil servants can report cases of graft, misuse of public funds, or corruption.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such mechanism (or equivalent series of mechanisms) exists.

47. In practice, is the internal mechanism (i.e. phone hotline, e-mail address, local office) through which civil servants can report corruption effective?

13

47a. In practice, the internal reporting mechanism for public sector corruption has a professional, full-time staff.
Comments:
Hotlines and contact phones for reporting corruption or harassment do exist in most ministries and agencies, but there is no regulation and special staff to serve them. According to independent monitoring of the State Strategy on Combating Corruption, hotlines are not effective, since citizens do not see any results of their work. Moreover, absence of attention to hotlines leads to a skeptical attitude toward whistle-blowing mechanisms.

References:
Monitoring of the State Strategy of Combating Corruption, September 2007, Bishkek

100: The agency/entity has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

75:

50: The agency/entity has limited staff, a fact that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.

25:

0: The agency/entity has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

47b. In practice, the internal reporting mechanism for public sector corruption receives regular funding.

Comments:
The internal reporting mechanism for public sector corruption has no separate funds. According to the government’s regulation, they have to be funded within the existing budget. So, it is an additional expenditure for the state bodies.

References:
The Regulation of the Government on Public Receptions and Phone Hot Lines of 12 May, 2005, N 184

100: The agency/entity has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

75:

50: The agency/entity has a regular source of funding but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

25:

0: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions.

47c. In practice, the internal reporting mechanism for public sector corruption acts on complaints within a reasonable time period.
### Comments:
The complaints received through the internal reporting mechanisms have no priority, and they are considered in an effectively and timely fashion as any other business.

### References:
Interview with a civil service law expert (confidential source)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### 100: The agency/entity acts on complaints quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, complaints are acknowledged promptly and investigations into serious abuses move steadily towards resolution. Citizens with simple issues can expect a resolution within a month.

#### 75:

#### 50: The agency/entity acts on complaints quickly, with some exceptions. Some complaints may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

#### 25:

#### 0: The agency/entity cannot resolve complaints quickly. Complaints may be unacknowledged for more than a month, and simple issues may take more than three months to resolve. Serious abuses are not investigated with any urgency.

---

#### 47d. In practice, when necessary, the internal reporting mechanism for public sector corruption initiates investigations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Comments:
There were 61 phone calls to the agency’s hotline, five of which were anonymous. Most of the complaints were about corruption in law enforcement agencies and courts. After transferring these complaints for investigation, usually they were all closed because of the absence of a criminal case.

#### References:
Slovo Kyrgyzstana Newspaper, interview with Ulugbek Karymshakov, head of the Corruption Prevention Department of the National Agency on Prevention Corruption, Oct. 23, 2007

#### 100: When irregularities are discovered, the agency/entity is aggressive in investigating the government or in cooperating with other agencies’ investigations.

#### 75:

#### 50: The agency/entity starts investigations, but is limited in its effectiveness. The agency/entity may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, reluctant to cooperate with other investigative agencies, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

#### 25:
The agency/entity does not effectively investigate. The agency/entity may start investigations but not complete them, may refuse to cooperate with other investigative agencies, or may fail to detect offenders. The agency/entity may be partisan in its application of power.

IV-3. Procurement

48. Is the public procurement process effective?

90

48a. In law, there are regulations addressing conflicts of interest for public procurement officials.

YES | NO

Comments:
Procurement officials are not considered as a special group within the civil service law. All civil servants shall not participate in a bid where they have conflicts of interest. The latter include restrictions on making deals between organizations in which they work and organizations where they have relatives, shares or other interests.

References:
The Law on State Procurements of 24 May, 2004, #69, Article 5

YES: A YES score is earned if there are specific formal regulations defining and regulating conflicts of interest between official public duty and private interests for public procurement officials. A YES score is earned if such regulations cover all civil servants, including procurement officials.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such rules exist.

48b. In law, there is mandatory professional training for public procurement officials.

YES | NO

Comments:
There is no provision for training, although at least one member of the bidding commission has to be a certified procurement specialist. Training usually is provided by the Regional Training Center of the State Procurements Agency from time to time.

References:
The Law on State Procurements of 24 May, 2004, #69, Article 13
YES: A YES score is earned if public procurement officials receive regular mandatory training to ensure professional standards in supervising the tendering process.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no regular required training of public procurement officials or if training is sporadic, inconsistent, unrelated to procurement processes, or voluntary.

48c. In practice, the conflicts of interest regulations for public procurement officials are enforced.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

Comments:
Conflicts of interest cannot be identified and monitored, since there is no procedure, staff or a database for this.

References:
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: Regulations regarding conflicts of interest for procurement officials are aggressively enforced.

75:

50: Conflict of interest regulations exist, but are flawed. Some violations may not be enforced, or some officials may be exempt from regulations.

25:

0: Conflict of interest regulations do not exist, or are consistently ineffective.

48d. In law, there is a mechanism that monitors the assets, incomes and spending habits of public procurement officials.

YES  |  NO

Comments:
Incomes and assets of procurement specialists might be monitored by the Agency for Civil Service Affairs as they would be for any other civil servants.

References:
The Law on Civil Service of 11 August, 2004, N 114

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal mandate to some agency to monitor the assets, incomes and spending habits of public procurement officials, such as an inspector general, or ombudsman.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such mandate exists.
48e. In law, major procurements require competitive bidding.

YES | NO

Comments:
The minimum margin for procurement of goods and services is 100,000 soms (US$2,500) and the maximum margin is 1,000,000 soms (US$25,000). For a sum equal or exceeding the maximum margin, a tender announcement is to be published in the mass media and the Government Procurement Bulletin.

References:

YES: A YES score is earned if all major procurements (defined as those greater than 0.5% of GDP) require competitive bidding.

NO: A NO score is earned if competitive bidding is not required by law or regulation for major procurement (greater than 0.5% of GDP).

48f. In law, strict formal requirements limit the extent of sole sourcing.

YES | NO

References:
The Law on State Procurements of 24 May, 2004, #69, Article 38

YES: A YES score is earned if sole sourcing is limited to specific, tightly defined conditions, such as when a supplier is the only source of a skill or technology.

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no prohibitions on sole sourcing. A NO score is earned if the prohibitions on sole sourcing are general and unspecific.

48g. In law, unsuccessful bidders can instigate an official review of procurement decisions.

YES | NO

Comments:
Tender participants may file a complaint to a purchasing organization or to the authorized state body – the Government Procurement Commission – before the tender commission makes a final decision.
References:
The Law on State Procurements of 24 May, 2004, #69, Articles 63, 65

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal appeal process for unsuccessful bidders.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such process exists.

48h. In law, unsuccessful bidders can challenge procurement decisions in a court of law.

YES  |  NO

Comments:
The decisions of a purchasing organization, as well as disputes arising in the process of procurement shall be settled in a court.

References:
The Law on State Procurements of 24 May, 2004, #69, Article 67

YES: A YES score is earned if unsuccessful bidders can use the courts to appeal a procurement decision.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such process exists.

48i. In law, companies guilty of major violations of procurement regulations (i.e. bribery) are prohibited from participating in future procurement bids.

YES  |  NO

References:
Regulations about formation of the Database of unreliable (unfair) suppliers (contractors) and procedure of its application":
Approved by the Order of the State Commission on Public Procurements and Material Reserves of 13 January, 2005, N 8

YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal procurement blacklists, preventing convicted companies from doing business with the government.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such process exists.

48j. In practice, companies guilty of major violations of procurement regulations (i.e. bribery) are prohibited from participating in future procurement bids.

YES  |  NO
Comments:
There is the regulation on the database of unreliable suppliers, but it is not published at the Web site, as it has to be according to the law. There is no proven experience such a system has been applied.

References:
Confidential source (specialist in procurements)

100: A system of formal blacklists and cooling off periods is in place for companies convicted of corruption. All companies are subject to this system.

75:

50: A system of formal blacklists and cooling off periods is in place, but the system has flaws. Some procurements or companies may not be affected by the system, or the prohibitions are sometimes not effective.

25:

0: There is no such system, or the system is consistently ineffective in prohibiting future hiring of blacklisted companies.

49. Can citizens access the public procurement process?
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49a. In law, citizens can access public procurement regulations.

YES | NO

Comments:
General rules of procurement are available to the public online.

References:

YES: A YES score is earned if procurement rules are, by law, open to the public. These regulations are defined here as the rules governing the competitive procurement process.

NO: A NO score is earned if procurement rules are officially secret for any reason or if there are no procurement rules.

49b. In law, the government is required to publicly announce the results of procurement decisions.
Comments:
Purchasing organizations have to announce results of bids in the State Procurement Bulletin.

References:
The Law on State Procurements of 24 May, 2004, #69, 30

YES: A YES score is earned if the government is required to publicly post or announce the results of the public procurement process. This can be done through major media outlets or on a publicly-accessible government register or log.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no requirement for the government to publicly announce the results of the public procurement process.

49c. In practice, citizens can access public procurement regulations within a reasonable time period.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Regulations are available online.

References:
http://www.goszakupki.gov.kg/

100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information. These records are defined here as the rules governing the competitive procurement process.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

49d. In practice, citizens can access public procurement regulations at a reasonable cost.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |
Comments:
Regulations are available online.

References:
http://www.goszakupki.gov.kg/

100: Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line. These records are defined here as the rules governing the competitive procurement process.

75:

50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

49e. In practice, major public procurements are effectively advertised.

Comments:
Most purchasing organizations ignore Article 30 of the Law on State Procurements and purchase goods and services without the mandatory announcement in the State Procurement Bulletin. The State Procurement Agency has no leverages and mechanisms to control and impose sanctions on them.

References:
The Decision of the State Commission on Public Procurements and Material Reserves of 5 October, 2006

100: There is a formal process of advertising public procurements. This may include a government website, newspaper advertising, or other official announcements. All major procurements are advertised in this way. Sufficient time is allowed for bidders to respond to advertisements.

75:

50: There is a formal process of advertisement but it is flawed. Some major procurements may not be advertised, or the advertising process may not be effective. The time between advertisements and bidding may be too short to allow full participation.

25:

0: There is no formal process of advertising major public procurements or the process is superficial and ineffective.

49f. In practice, citizens can access the results of major public procurement bids.
Comments:
There are cases where state agencies ignored the law and did not publish information regarding a bid's results.

References:
Results of investigations of the State agency on state procurement and material reserves,
http://www.goszakupki.gov.kg

100: Records of public procurement results are publicly available through a formal process.

75:

50: Records of public procurements are available, but there are exceptions to this practice. Some information may not be available, or some citizens may not be able to access information.

25:

0: This information is not available to the public through an official process.

IV-4. Privatization

50. Is the privatization process effective?

67

50a. In law, all businesses are eligible to compete for privatized state assets.

YES | NO

Comments:
Only state-owned organizations and corporations are not allowed to compete for privatized state property.

References:
The Law on Privatization of State Property of 2 March, 2002, N 31, Article 12

YES: A YES score is earned if all businesses are equally eligible to compete for privatized assets. A YES score is still earned if the government did not privatize any state-owned assets during the study period.
50b. In law, there are regulations addressing conflicts of interest for government officials involved in privatization.

**YES** | **NO**

**Comments:**
There is no a special regulation. But public officials involved in privatization are considered as being like any other civil servants.

**References:**
The Law on Civil Service of 11 August, 2004, N 114, Article 11

50c. In practice, conflicts of interest regulations for government officials involved in privatization are enforced.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

**Comments:**
Conflict of interest regulations exist, but are flawed. Some violations may not be enforced, or some officials may be exempt from the regulations.

**References:**
Confidential source (expert in civil service law)

100: Regulations regarding conflicts of interest for privatization officials are aggressively enforced.

75:

50: Conflict of interest regulations exist, but are flawed. Some violations may not be enforced, or some officials may be exempt from the regulations.

25:

0: Conflict of interest regulations do not exist, or are consistently ineffective.

51. Can citizens access the terms and conditions of privatization bids?
51a. In law, citizens can access privatization regulations.

**YES | NO**

**Comments:**
The general public must be informed about the date, place and time and provided the contact phone numbers of privatization authorities. After completion of a bid, the final price of a privatized property has to be published. All of this information is to be announced in the local press.

At the same time, the Concept of Privatization approved by the Parliament and the Program of Privatization, which includes a list of state property for privatization in the next two to three years, in addition to general recommendations on how it should be implemented, are made available to the public. The most recent program is effective until 2007.

**References:**
The Law on Privatization of State Property of 2 March, 2002, N 31, Article 21

**YES: A YES score is earned if privatization rules (defined here as the rules governing the competitive privatization process) are, by law, open to the public. Even if privatization is infrequent or rare, the most recent privitization should be used as the basis for scoring this indicator.**

**NO: A NO score is earned if privatization rules are officially secret for any reason or if there are no privatization rules.**

51b. In practice, privitizations are effectively advertised.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

**Comments:**
Privatization of the most valuable businesses and properties in Kyrgyzstan usually have been made illegally through relatives of the former President Akaev and his wife.

**References:**
The Report of Governmental Commission on Definition of Property of the Former President Akaev, www.akipress.kg

**100:** There is a formal process of advertising privatizations. This may include a government website, newspaper advertising, or other official announcements. All major procurements are advertised in this way. Sufficient time is allowed for bidders to respond to advertisements.

**75:**

**50:** There is a formal process of advertisement but it is flawed. Some privatizations may not be advertised, or the advertising process may not be effective. The time between advertisements and bidding may be too short to allow full participation.

**25:**
0: There is no formal process of advertising privatizations or the process is superficial and ineffective.

51c. In law, the government is required to publicly announce the results of privatization decisions.

YES | NO

Comments:
Results of privatization bids (auctions) are to be announced in the local press within 30 days after completion.

References:
The Law on Privatization of State Property of 2 March, 2002, N 31, Article 21

YES: A YES score is earned if the government is required to publicly post or announce the results of the privatization process. This can be done through major media outlets or on a publicly-accessible government register or log.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no requirement for the government to publicy announce the results of the privatization process.

51d. In practice, citizens can access privatization regulations within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Politically sensitive information, such as the names of owners, may be hidden, as it was in case of Akaev's property.

References:
The Report of Governmental Commission on Definition of Property of the Former President Akaev, www.akipress.kg

100: Records (defined here as the rules governing the competitive privatization process) are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:

0: Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

51e. In practice, citizens can access privatization regulations at a reasonable cost.
**Comments:**
An ordinary citizen needs to make a lot of effort to get this information, for example, by going to a local office of the State Property Fund.

**References:**
Interview with privatization expert (confidential source)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100: Records (defined here as the rules governing the competitive privatization process) are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50: Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0: Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Category V. Oversight and Regulation**

**V-1. National Ombudsman**

52. In law, is there a national ombudsman, public protector or equivalent agency (or collection of agencies) covering the entire public sector?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

52. In law, is there a national ombudsman, public protector or equivalent agency (or collection of agencies) covering the entire public sector?

**Comments:**
There is an ombudsman of the Kyrgyz Republic who shall exert control over execution of the constitutional, human and civil rights and freedoms in the territory of the Kyrgyz Republic.
**References:**
The Law on Ombudsman of the Kyrgyz Republic of 31 July, 2002, N 136

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is a specific agency or set of agencies whose primary mandate is to investigate the actions of government on the behalf of common citizens. This agency or set of agencies should be specifically charged with seeking out and documenting abuses of power.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if no such agency or set of agencies exists, or that function is a secondary concern of a larger body, such as the legislature.

---

53. Is the national ombudsman effective?
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53a. In law, the ombudsman is protected from political interference.

| YES | NO |

**Comments:**
The ombudsman has immunity and is elected by the parliament. No state body is allowed to interfere with the ombudsman.

**References:**
The Law on Ombudsman of the Kyrgyz Republic of 31 July, 2002, N 136, Articles 4, 6

**YES:** A YES score is earned only if the agency (or set of agencies) has some formal organizational independence from the government. A YES score is earned even if the entity is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if the agency is a subordinate part of any government ministry or agency, such as the Department of Interior or the Justice Department.

53b. In practice, the ombudsman is protected from political interference.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

**Comments:**
Ombudsman might report about violations on the part of the country leaders side, but very rarely.

**References:**
Ombudsman reported on violation of election campaign rules by the ruling parties, Nov. 13, 2007, www.pr.kg
This agency (or set of agencies) operates independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable judgments in politically sensitive cases. Investigations can operate without hindrance from the government, including access to politically sensitive information.

This agency (or set of agencies) is typically independent, yet is sometimes influenced in its work by negative or positive political incentives. This may include public criticism or praise by the government. The ombudsman may not be provided with some information needed to carry out its investigations.

This agency (or set of agencies) is commonly influenced by political or personal incentives. This may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses of power. The ombudsman cannot compel the government to reveal sensitive information.

In practice, the head of the ombudsman agency/entity is protected from removal without relevant justification.

Comments:
The current ombudsman started serving from 2002 throughout his term without any attempts to remove him. He is the first and the only ombudsman in the Kyrgyz Republic to date. Early removal of the ombudsman would be a very complicated process. The ombudsman has immunity, and the law clearly defines the instances when he or she can be removed.

References:
www.ombudsman.kg

The director of the ombudsman (or directors of multiple agencies) serves a defined term and cannot be removed without a significant justification through a formal process, such as impeachment for abuse of power.

The director of the ombudsman (or directors of multiple agencies) serves a defined term, but can in some cases be removed through a combination of official or unofficial pressure.

The director of the ombudsman (or directors of multiple agencies) can be removed at the will of political leadership.

In practice, the ombudsman agency (or agencies) has a professional, full-time staff.

Comments:
One-third of all requests to the ombudsman come from the provinces. Regional offices of the ombudsman are needed.
References:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The ombudsman agency (or agencies) has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ombudsman agency (or agencies) has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ombudsman agency (or agencies) has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

53e. In practice, agency appointments support the independence of the ombudsman agency (or agencies).

Comments:
Office of the Ombudsman makes appointments on the basis of civil service law.

References:
Reports of the Civil Service Agency for 2007
Interview with civil service law expert (confidential source)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appointments to the agency (or agencies) are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

53f. In practice, the ombudsman agency (or agencies) receives regular funding.

Comments:
According to Dean M. Gottehrer, a member of the associations of ombudsman, the Kyrgyz ombudsman has a separate budget line in the state budget and salaries of the officers are at the level of high officials.
References:
International Consultant Dean M. Gottehrer’s Assessment of Ombudsman’s Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>100</strong>: The agency (or agencies) has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>75</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>50</strong>: The agency (or agencies) has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>25</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0</strong>: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency functions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

53g. In practice, the ombudsman agency (or agencies) makes publicly available reports.

Comments:
Reports are available online, but they are sometimes out of date, although the ombudsman is open to the mass media and usually keep the general public informed about his activities.

References:

Reports of the Ombudsmen to the parliament, www.ombudsmen.kg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>100</strong>: The agency (or agencies) makes regular, publicly available, substantial reports either to the legislature or directly to the public outlining the full scope of its work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>75</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>50</strong>: The agency (or agencies) makes publicly available reports to the legislature and/or directly to the public that are sometimes delayed or incomplete.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>25</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0</strong>: The agency (or agencies) makes no reports of its activities, or makes reports that are consistently out of date, unavailable to the public, or insubstantial.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

53h. In practice, when necessary, the national ombudsman (or equivalent agency or agencies) initiates investigations.
Comments:
The ombudsman makes investigation upon a citizen's request or by its own initiative. But ombudsman's effectiveness is limited by law, since he cannot make direct actions or sanctions to offenders.

References:

The Ombudsman's Special Report on Facts of Resistance to His Activities, www.ombudsman.kg

100: The agency aggressively starts investigations — or participates fully with cooperating agencies' investigations — into judicial misconduct. The agency is fair in its application of this power.

75:

50: The agency will start or cooperate in investigations, but often relies on external pressure to set priorities, or has limited effectiveness when investigating. The agency, though limited in effectiveness, is still fair in its application of power.

25:

0: The agency rarely investigates on its own or cooperates in other agencies' investigations, or the agency is partisan in its application of this power.

53i. In practice, when necessary, the national ombudsman (or equivalent agency or agencies) imposes penalties on offenders.

Comments:
The ombudsman cannot deal directly with offenders. Sometimes, state bodies do not cooperate with the ombudsman or create impediments to his activities.

References:


100: When rules violations are discovered, the agency is aggressive in penalizing offenders or in cooperating with other agencies who penalize offenders.

75:

50: The agency enforces rules, but is limited in its effectiveness. The agency may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, resistant to cooperating with other agencies, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:
0: The agency does not effectively penalize offenders. The agency may make judgments but not enforce them, does not cooperate with other agencies in enforcing penalties, or may fail to make reasonable judgments against offenders. The agency may be partisan in its application of power.

53j. In practice, the government acts on the findings of the ombudsman agency (or agencies).

100  75  50  25  0

Comments:
The Ombudsman (Akyikatchy) shall inform the state body, official or a legal entity, the action or act of which has been subject to a complaint, on such a decision to allow them to submit a written report within 15 days. Usually, the state bodies respond on time. The ombudsman reported that every third complaint received by his office was addressed successfully.

References:


100: Ombudsman's reports are taken seriously, with negative findings drawing prompt corrective action.

75:

50: In most cases, ombudsman's reports are acted on, though some exceptions may occur for politically sensitive issues, or particularly resistant agencies.

25:

0: Ombudsman reports are often ignored, or given superficial attention. Ombudsman reports do not lead to policy changes.

53k. In practice, the ombudsman agency (or agencies) acts on citizen complaints within a reasonable time period.

100  75  50  25  0

Comments:
According to media reports, more people are asking advice from the ombudsman's officers, and the number of people who are satisfied after getting support from the office has increased.

References:

100: The agency (or agencies) acts on complaints quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, complaints are acknowledged promptly and investigations into serious abuses move steadily towards resolution. Citizens with simple issues can expect a resolution within a month.
The agency (or agencies) acts on complaints quickly, with some exceptions. Some complaints may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

The agency (or agencies) cannot resolve complaints quickly. Complaints may be unacknowledged for more than a month, and simple issues may take more than three months to resolve. Serious abuses are not investigated with any urgency.

54. Can citizens access the reports of the ombudsman?

100

54a. In law, citizens can access reports of the ombudsman(s).

YES | NO

References:
The Law on Ombudsman of the Kyrgyz Republic of 31 July, 2002, N 136

YES: A YES score is earned if all ombudsman reports are publicly available.

NO: A NO score is earned if any ombudsman reports are not publicly available. This may include reports made exclusively to the legislature or the executive, which those bodies may choose not to distribute the reports.

54b. In practice, citizens can access the reports of the ombudsman(s) within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Reports are available online.

References:
Reports of the Ombudsman at www.ombudsman.kg

100: Reports are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75: 50: Reports take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.
25: Reports take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

54c. In practice, citizens can access the reports of the ombudsman(s) at a reasonable cost.

Comments: Reports are available online.

References: Reports of the Ombudsman at www.ombudsman.kg

100: Reports are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Reports can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:

50: Reports impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving reports may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

25:

0: Retrieving reports imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Reports costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

V-2. Supreme Audit Institution

55. In law, is there a national supreme audit institution, auditor general or equivalent agency covering the entire public sector?

100

55. In law, is there a national supreme audit institution, auditor general or equivalent agency covering the entire public sector?

YES | NO
Comments:
The Chamber of Accounts is a supreme audit institution for all state-owned entities.

References:
The Law on the Chamber of Accounts of August 13, 2004, #117

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a specific agency whose primary mandate is to audit and track the movement of money through the government. This agency should be specifically charged to investigate and document the misuse of funds. A system of agencies located in each department is equivalent.

NO: A NO score is earned if no such agency exists, or that function is a secondary concern of a larger body, such as the executive.

56. Is the supreme audit institution effective?

63

56a. In law, the supreme audit institution is protected from political interference.

YES | NO

Comments:
The Chamber is legally independent, but in practice, the President has a very strong influence on its staff.

References:

YES: A YES score is earned only if the agency has some formal organizational independence from the government. A YES score is earned even if the entity is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.

NO: A NO score is earned if the agency is a subordinate part of any government ministry or agency, such as the Department of Interior or the Justice Department.

56b. In practice, the head of the audit agency is protected from removal without relevant justification.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Two Chairpersons were removed in the last three years and a new one was appointed in 2007. There is no legal provision for justifying the Chairman's removal.
The director of the agency serves a defined term and cannot be removed without a significant justification through a formal process, such as impeachment for abuse of power.

The director of the agency serves a defined term, but can in some cases be removed through a combination of official or unofficial pressure.

The director of the agency can be removed at the will of political leadership.

In practice, the audit agency has a professional, full-time staff.

The agency has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

The agency has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.

The agency has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

In practice, audit agency appointments support the independence of the agency.

According to the Law on the Chamber, effective since September 2004, all of its auditors have to have international certificates in audit and accounting. As of today, most of the auditors don’t have a certificate. Most auditors are experienced in old accounting systems, although commercial and governmental accounts have been transformed according to international accounting standards.
International organizations have proposed building the capacity of the chamber, but the current Public Finance Reform Project was terminated in 2005.

References:
The Report on Functional Review of the Chamber of Account of the Kyrgyz Republic, UNDP/DFID joint project, Bishkek, 2004

Kyrgyz Republic – Public Finance Reform, www.minfin.kg

100: Appointments to the agency are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.

75:

50: Appointments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties.

25:

0: Appointments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

56e. In practice, the audit agency receives regular funding.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
According to the Law on Chamber of Accounts (CA), the Parliamentary committee determines the budget requirements for the CA. This provision has strengthened funding of the CA. Usually, the chamber receives regular funding.

References:
Midterm-budget framework for 2007 – 2010


100: The agency has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

75:

50: The agency has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

25:

0: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions.

56f. In practice, the audit agency makes regular public reports.
Comments:
Reports on budget compliance are generally submitted to parliament within 2 months. The law authorizes the chamber to provide information regarding its activity to the mass media. However, there is no requirement to provide copies of the annual report on the performance of the chamber to the mass media.

References:


100: The agency makes regular, publicly available, substantial reports to the legislature and/or to the public directly outlining the full scope of its work.

75:

50: The agency makes publicly available reports to the legislature and/or to the public directly that are sometimes delayed or incomplete.

25:

0: The agency makes no reports of its activities, or makes reports that are consistently out of date, unavailable to the public, or insubstantial.

56g. In practice, the government acts on the findings of the audit agency.

Comments:
Over 430 cases was transfered to the law enforcement agencies for investigation and 113 officials were sentenced upon reports of the chamber last year.

References:

100: Audit agency reports are taken seriously, with negative findings drawing prompt corrective action.

75:

50: In most cases, audit agency reports are acted on, though some exceptions may occur for politically sensitive issues, or particularly resistant agencies.

25:
Audit reports are often ignored, or given superficial attention. Audit reports do not lead to policy changes.

In practice, the audit agency is able to initiate its own investigations.

Comments:
The chamber makes investigations according to its annual and operational plans which have to be approved by the Council of the Chamber (which comprises all auditors and the chairman). The plans include the work of the president and the parliament.

But the chairman can start non-planned investigations, which than need to be approved by the council. This year, the Chamber of Accounts started investigations of the Bishkek City Council after rumors that the chairman of the council (a wife of the opposition leader) has stolen 500 soms (US$140) from the municipal budget.

References:


100: The supreme audit institution can control the timing and pace of its investigations without any input from the executive or legislature.

75:

50: The supreme audit institution can generally decide what to investigate, and when, but is subject to pressure from the executive or legislature on politically sensitive issues.

25:

0: The supreme audit institution must rely on approval from the executive or legislature before initiating investigations. Politically sensitive investigations are almost impossible to move forward on.

57. Can citizens access reports of the supreme audit institution?

YES  |  NO

57a. In law, citizens can access reports of the audit agency.

References:
The Law on the Chamber of Accounts of August 13, 2004, Article 62
YES: A YES score is earned if all supreme auditor reports are available to the general public.

NO: A NO score is earned if any auditor reports are not publicly available. This may include reports made exclusively to the legislature or the executive, which those bodies may choose not to distribute.

57b. In practice, citizens can access audit reports within a reasonable time period.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

Comments:  
Although the chamber is required by law to make its reports public, there is no requirement to provide copies of the annual report to the mass media. The chamber has a website that can be accessed by civil society or the mass media.

References:  

100: Reports are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Reports are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:  

50: Reports take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.

25:  

0: Reports take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most reports may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

57c. In practice, citizens can access the audit reports at a reasonable cost.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

Comments:  
Since there is no requirement to provide copies of the annual report on the performance of the Chamber of Accounts to the mass media, citizens have to wait for the online version of the report or find it in printed media.

References:  

100: Reports are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Reports can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

75:  

50: Reports impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving reports may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

0: Retrieving reports imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Report costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

76
V-3. Taxes and Customs

58. In law, is there a national tax collection agency?

100

YES | NO

Comments:
There is an authorized government agency for tax collection called State Tax Inspection.

References:
The Tax Code of the Kyrgyz Republic of 26 June, 1996, N 25, Article 10

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a national agency formally mandated to collect taxes.

NO: A NO score is earned if that function is spread over several agencies, or does not exist. A NO score is earned if national government ministries can collect taxes independently.

59. Is the tax collection agency effective?

100

59a. In practice, the tax collection agency has a professional, full-time staff.
Comments:
The Tax Inspection has enough staff. The number of inspections made and the hours spent in inspections and meetings are much higher than in the average level of the CIS.

References:
The Report on Functional Review of the Revenue Committee under the Ministry of Finance of the Kyrgyz Republic, UNDP/DFID joint project, 2004, Bishkek

The EBRD-World Bank Business Environment and Enterprise Performance Survey (BEEPS)

| 100: The agency has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate. |
| 75: |
| 50: The agency has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate. |
| 25: |
| 0: The agency has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate. |

59b. In practice, the tax agency receives regular funding.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:  
The state budget covers only the salaries of the staff and public utilities of the Inspection, as well as other state bodies in the Kyrgyz Republic. All other expenditures are covered from special accounts in which the Inspection accumulates part of collected taxes, penalties and incomes from selling documentary stamps, tax returns etc. In this regard, the tax inspection is one of the “rich” agencies in Kyrgyzstan.

The special accounts are part of the budget of all ministries and agencies and they exist despite all government’s efforts to abolish them.

References:  

| 100: The agency has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding. |
| 75: |
| 50: The agency has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding. |
| 25: |
| 0: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions. |

60. In practice, are tax laws enforced uniformly and without discrimination?
60. In practice, are tax laws enforced uniformly and without discrimination?

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Some business entities related to the political elite never pay taxes, as revealed by the commission.

References:
The Report of Governmental Commission on Definition of Property of the Former President Akaev, www.akipress.kg
Interview with Zaure Sadykova, Director of Small Business Development Center, Director of www.open.kg Project, Aug. 25, 2007, Bishkek

100: Tax laws (which may be economically unfair as written) are enforced consistently for all citizens. No general group of citizens is more or less likely to evade tax law than another.

75:

50: Tax laws are generally enforced consistently, but some exceptions exist. For example, some groups may occasionally evade tax law. Some arbitrary and discriminatory tax rules exist.

25:

0: Tax law is unequally applied. Some groups of citizens are consistently more or less likely to evade tax law than others. Tax regulations are, as a rule, written to be discriminatory and/or arbitrary.

61. In law, is there a national customs and excise agency?

100

61. In law, is there a national customs and excise agency?

YES | NO

Comments:
The State Customs Inspection is responsible for export and import control.

References:
The Custom Code of the Kyrgyz Republic of July 12, 2004, N 87, Chapter 51

YES: A YES score is earned if there is an agency formally mandated to collect excises and inspect customs.
62. Is the customs and excise agency effective?

100

62a. In practice, the customs and excise agency has a professional, full-time staff.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments: The average duration of customs inspections and meetings is five times higher than in other CIS countries.

References:
The EBRD-World Bank Business Environment and Enterprise Performance Survey (BEEPS), 2005

100: The agency has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

75:

50: The agency has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.

25:

0: The agency has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.

62b. In practice, the customs and excise agency receives regular funding.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments: Customs inspection is funded as regularly as other state bodies. However, the majority of its expenses are covered by special accounts.

References:

100: The agency has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.
50: The agency has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

25:

0: Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions.

63. In practice, are customs and excise laws enforced uniformly and without discrimination?

25

Comments:
About 30 percent of firms stated that bribery is frequent for customs. Administrative rigidity in the areas of importing and exporting are some of the hardest factors to deal with.

References:
The EBRD-World Bank Business Environment and Enterprise Performance Survey (BEEPS), 2005
Doing Business, 2008

100: Customs and excise laws (which may be economically unfair as written) are enforced consistently for all citizens. No general group of citizens is more or less likely to evade customs than another.

75:

50: Customs and excise laws are generally enforced consistently, but some exceptions exist. For example, some groups may occasionally evade customs requirements.

25:

0: Customs and excise laws are unequally applied. Some groups of citizens are consistently more or less likely to evade customs and excise laws than others.

V-4. State-Owned Enterprises

64. In law, is there an agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies?
64. In law, is there an agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies?

| YES | NO |

Comments:
The state committee on management of state properties is responsible for control over state-owned entities.

References:
The Decree of the President About Some Issues of Improvement of a Control System of Joint-stock Companies with the State Share Holding and the State Enterprises on April 27, 2006, N 188

YES: A YES score is earned if there is an agency or equivalent mechanism tasked with overseeing the conduct and performance of state-owned companies on behalf of the public. State-owned companies are defined as companies owned in whole or in part by the government.

NO: A NO score is earned if this function does not exist.

65. Is the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies effective?

40

65a. In law, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies is protected from political interference.

| YES | NO |

Comments:
The state committee on state property is a ministry in the government.

References:
The Decree of the President About Some Issues of Improvement of a Control System of Joint-stock Companies with the State Share Holding and the State Enterprises on April 27, 2006, N 188

YES: A YES score is earned only if the agency or equivalent mechanism has some formal operational independence from the government. A YES score is earned even if the entity is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.

NO: A NO score is earned if the agency or equivalent mechanism is a subordinate part of any government ministry or agency, such as the Department of Interior or the Justice Department.

65b. In practice, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies has a professional, full-time staff.
Comments:
The committee has a regular ministerial staff which is not sufficient to control all state-owned companies. Usually, the officials of the committee only participate in annual meetings of boards of directors of the companies.

References:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The agency or equivalent mechanism has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75:</td>
<td>The agency or equivalent mechanism has limited staff that hinders its ability to fulfill its basic mandate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50:</td>
<td>The agency or equivalent mechanism has no staff, or a limited staff that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65c. In practice, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies receives regular funding.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The committee receives regular funding from the government.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>The the agency or equivalent mechanism has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75:</td>
<td>The agency or equivalent mechanism has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50:</td>
<td>Funding source is unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency functions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65d. In practice, when necessary, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies independently initiates investigations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments:
The committee does not investigate obvious corruption in state-owned companies such as the biggest national electricity company, National Electric Network whose property usually is sold for peanuts. For example, a hotel owned by the company was sold at the price of a studio to family members of the company's top managers.

References:

100: When irregularities are discovered, the agency or equivalent mechanism is aggressive in investigating and/or in cooperating with other investigative bodies.

75:

50: The agency or equivalent mechanism starts investigations, but is limited in its effectiveness or in its cooperation with other investigative agencies. The agency may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The agency or equivalent mechanism does not effectively investigate financial irregularities or cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency may start investigations but not complete them, or may fail to detect offenders. The agency may be partisan in its application of power.

65e. In practice, when necessary, the agency or equivalent mechanism overseeing state-owned companies imposes penalties on offenders.

Comments:
Recently, the committee started to exchange information with law enforcement agencies on possible investors. In the past, a lot of state property was sold to unknown companies.

References:

100: When rules violations are discovered, the agency or equivalent mechanism is aggressive in penalizing offenders and/or in cooperating with other agencies that impose penalties.

75:

50: The agency or equivalent mechanism enforces rules, but is limited in its effectiveness or reluctant to cooperate with other agencies. The agency may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:
66. Can citizens access the financial records of state-owned companies?

0

66a. In law, citizens can access the financial records of state-owned companies.

YES | NO

Comments:
State-owned companies, except for joint-stock ones, are not open to the public. They are responsible to the government.

References:
The Decree of the President About Some Issues of Improvement of a Control System of Joint-stock Companies with the State Share Holding and the State Enterprises on April 27, 2006, N 188

YES: A YES score is earned if the financial information of all state-owned companies is required by law to be public. State-owned companies are defined as companies owned in whole or in part by the government.

NO: A NO score is earned if any category of state-owned company is exempt from this rule, or no such rules exist.

66b. In practice, the financial records of state-owned companies are regularly updated.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
As is stated in the assessment of international finance experts: Any tracking of expenditure at the lowest level would be difficult and time consuming. As the recording system is manual there are inherent problems with accuracy and timeliness.”

References:

100: State-owned companies always disclose financial data, which is generally accurate and up to date.

75:

50: State-owned companies disclose financial data, but it is flawed. Some companies may misstate financial data, or file the information behind schedule.

25:
0: Financial data is not available, or is consistently superficial or otherwise of no value.

66c. In practice, the financial records of state-owned companies are audited according to international accounting standards.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
State-owned companies should be audited by the Chamber of Accounts. In respect to transaction auditing, no standards are specified. The chamber is now introducing international standards. Staffing levels and skills of the state auditors are still based on the chamber’s previous structure.

References:

100: Financial records of all state-owned companies are regularly audited by a trained third party auditor using accepted international standards.

75:

50: Financial records of state-owned companies are regularly audited, but exceptions may exist. Some companies may use flawed or deceptive accounting procedures, or some companies may be exempted from this requirement.

25:

0: State-owned companies are not audited, or the audits have no functional value. The auditors may collude with the companies in providing misleading or false information to the public.

66d. In practice, citizens can access the financial records of state-owned companies within a reasonable time period.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Financial records of state-owned companies are not available as separate information. They can be indirectly reflected in reports on budget execution. During the year, reports on budget execution are generated on a regular and timely basis. The reports are not comprehensive and are not compatible with budget estimates.

References:

100: Records are available on-line, or records can be obtained within two days. Records are uniformly available; there are no delays for politically sensitive information.

75:

50: Records take around two weeks to obtain. Some delays may be experienced.
Records take more than a month to acquire. In some cases, most records may be available sooner, but there may be persistent delays in obtaining politically sensitive records.

In practice, citizens can access the financial records of state-owned companies at a reasonable cost.

Comments:
Reports are not available to most citizens.

References:

Records are free to all citizens, or available for the cost of photocopying. Records can be obtained at little cost, such as by mail, or on-line.

Records impose a financial burden on citizens, journalists or CSOs. Retrieving records may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

Retrieving records imposes a major financial burden on citizens. Records costs are prohibitive to most citizens, journalists, or CSOs trying to access this information.

V-5. Business Licensing and Regulation

Are business licenses available to all citizens?

In law, anyone may apply for a business license.

Comments:
The Law on Licensing has no discriminative norms except for activities where the state has a monopoly.
**References:**
Law on Licensing of the Kyrgyz Republic of 3 March, 1997, N 12, Article 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES:</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A YES score is earned if no particular group or category of citizens is excluded from applying for a business license, when required. A YES score is also earned if basic business licenses are not required.</td>
<td>A NO score is earned if any group of citizens are categorically excluded from applying for a business license, when required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

67b. In law, a complaint mechanism exists if a business license request is denied.

YES | NO

**Comments:**
License denials can be appealed in a court.

**References:**
Law on Licensing of the Kyrgyz Republic of 3 March, 1997, N 12, Article 18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES:</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A YES score is earned if there is a formal process for appealing a rejected license.</td>
<td>A NO score is earned if no such mechanism exists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

67c. In practice, citizens can obtain any necessary business license (i.e. for a small import business) within a reasonable time period.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

**Comments:**
It depends on the type of license needed. For example, there is a list of business activities that require special orders to obtain a license in such businesses as construction, alcohol production, notary, medicine etc. In these cases, a license will take more than three months.

**References:**
The EBRD-World Bank Business Environment and Enterprise Performance Survey (BEEPS), 2005
Doing Business, 2008

100: Licenses are not required, or licenses can be obtained within roughly one week.
75:
Licensing is required and takes around one month. Some groups may be delayed up to a three months

Licensing takes more than three months for most groups. Some groups may wait six months to one year to get necessary licenses.

In practice, citizens can obtain any necessary business license (i.e. for a small import business) at a reasonable cost.

The official costs of licenses are not high. In most cases, businessmen are ready to pay more to speed up the process of getting a license.

References:
The EBRD-World Bank Business Environment and Enterprise Performance Survey (BEEPS), 2005
Doing Business, 2008
Interview with Zaure Sadykova, Director of Small Business Development Center, Director of www.open.kg Project, Aug. 25, 2007, Bishkek

Licenses are not required, or licenses are free. Licenses can be obtained at little cost to the organization, such as by mail, or on-line.

Licenses are required, and impose a financial burden on the organization. Licenses may require a visit to a specific office, such as a regional or national capital.

Licenses are required, and impose a major financial burden on the organization. Licensing costs are prohibitive to the organization.

68. Are there transparent business regulatory requirements for basic health, environmental, and safety standards?

100

68a. In law, basic business regulatory requirements for meeting public health standards are transparent and publicly available.

YES | NO
The Law on Basics of Technical Regulation establishes a standard and transparent system of technical regulations aimed at health, safety, protection of environment and consumers’ rights.

References:
The Law on Basics of Technical Regulation of the Kyrgyz Republic of 22 May, 2004, N 67
Article 5

**YES:** A YES score is earned if basic regulatory requirements for meeting public health standards are publicly accessible and transparent.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if such requirements are not made public or are otherwise not transparent.

68b. In law, basic business regulatory requirements for meeting public environmental standards are transparent and publicly available.

**YES** | **NO**

Comments:
All technical norms and standards have to be made available to the general public through the National Foundation of Technical Norms and Standards.

References:
The Law on Basics of Technical Regulation of the Kyrgyz Republic of 22 May, 2004, N 67, Article 41

**YES:** A YES score is earned if basic regulatory requirements for meeting public environmental standards are publicly accessible and transparent.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if such requirements are not made public or are otherwise not transparent.

68c. In law, basic business regulatory requirements for meeting public safety standards are transparent and publicly available.

**YES** | **NO**

Comments:
All technical norms and standards have to be made available to the general public through the National Foundation of Technical Norms and Standards.

References:
The Law on Basics of Technical Regulation of the Kyrgyz Republic of 22 May, 2004, N 67, Article 41
YES: A YES score is earned if basic regulatory requirements for meeting public safety standards are publicly accessible and transparent.

NO: A NO score is earned if such requirements are not made public or are otherwise not transparent.

69. Does government effectively enforce basic health, environmental, and safety standards on businesses?

25

69a. In practice, business inspections by government officials to ensure public health standards are being met are carried out in a uniform and even-handed manner.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

Comments:
Business inspections to ensure that public health standards are met are made in order to extract extra payments from businesses in exchange for favorable treatment.

References:
Doing Business, 2008
Interview with Zaure Sadykova, Director of Small Business Development Center, Director of www.open.kg Project, Aug. 25, 2007, Bishkek

100: Business inspections by the government to ensure that public health standards are being met are designed and carried out in such a way as to ensure comprehensive compliance by all businesses with transparent regulatory requirements.

75:

50: Business inspections by the government to ensure public health standards are met are generally carried out in an even-handed way though exceptions exist. Bribes are occasionally paid to extract favorable treatment or expedited processing.

25:

0: Business inspections to ensure that public health standards are met are routinely carried out by government officials in an ad hoc, arbitrary fashion designed to extract extra payments from businesses in exchange for favorable treatment.

69b. In practice, business inspections by government officials to ensure public environmental standards are being met are carried out in a uniform and even-handed manner.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

Comments:
Business inspections to ensure that public environmental standards are met are made in order to extract extra payments from
businesses in exchange for favorable treatment.

References:
Doing Business, 2008

Interview with Zaure Sadykova, Director of Small Business Development Center, Director of www.open.kg Project, Aug. 25, 2007, Bishkek

**100:** Business inspections by the government to ensure that public environmental standards are being met are designed and carried out in such a way as to ensure comprehensive compliance by all businesses with transparent regulatory requirements.

**75:**

**50:** Business inspections by the government to ensure public environmental standards are met are generally carried out in an even-handed way though exceptions exist. Bribes are occasionally paid to extract favorable treatment or expedited processing.

**25:**

**0:** Business inspections to ensure that public environmental standards are met are routinely carried out by government officials in an ad hoc, arbitrary fashion designed to extract extra payments from businesses in exchange for favorable treatment.

69c. In practice, business inspections by government officials to ensure public safety standards are being met are carried out in a uniform and even-handed manner.

**100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0**

Comments:
Business inspections to ensure that public safety standards are met are made in order to extract extra payments from businesses in exchange for favorable treatment.

References:
Doing Business, 2008

Interview with Zaure Sadykova, Director of Small Business Development Center, Director of www.open.kg Project, Aug. 25, 2007, Bishkek

**100:** Business inspections by the government to ensure that public safety standards are being met are designed and carried out in such a way as to ensure comprehensive compliance by all businesses with transparent regulatory requirements.

**75:**

**50:** Business inspections by the government to ensure public safety standards are met are generally carried out in an even-handed way though exceptions exist. Bribes are occasionally paid to extract favorable treatment or expedited processing.

**25:**

**0:** Business inspections to ensure that public safety standards are met are routinely carried out by government officials in an ad hoc, arbitrary fashion designed to extract extra payments from businesses in exchange for favorable treatment.
VI-1. Anti-Corruption Law

70. Is there legislation criminalizing corruption?

78

70a. In law, attempted corruption is illegal.

| YES | NO |

Comments:
Most corruption-related crimes are defined as grave crimes in the criminal code. Attempted grave crimes have to be punished as completed crimes with references to Article 27, Criminal preparation,” and Article 28, “Criminal attempt,” of the Code.

References:
The Criminal Code, Articles 27, 28, 303

YES: A YES score is earned if corruption laws include attempted acts.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70b. In law, extortion is illegal.

| YES | NO |

References:
The Criminal Code, Article 170

YES: A YES score is earned if corruption laws include extortion. Extortion is defined as demanding favorable treatment (such as a bribe) to withhold a punishment.
70c. In law, offering a bribe (i.e. active corruption) is illegal.

YES | NO

Comments:
Offering and/or promising a bribe is not a crime in the Kyrgyz Republic, although these actions could be considered an attempt to give a bribe (which is a crime). Since an “attempt” is considered as a criminal “act,” it is criminalized, whereas an offer or promise is not considered an “act” per se (since it may only be a verbal promise that is never acted upon).

References:
Criminal Code, Chapter 30

70d. In law, receiving a bribe (i.e. passive corruption) is illegal.

YES | NO

Comments:
These articles stipulate different types of bribes.

References:
Criminal Code, Articles 310-312

70e. In law, bribing a foreign official is illegal.

YES | NO

Comments:
Only national state officials can be given a bribe.
YES: A YES score is earned if bribing a foreign official is illegal.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70f. In law, using public resources for private gain is illegal.

YES | NO

Comments:
Misuse of budget (i.e., public) funds by public officials and inflicting damage on public or governmental interests are also criminalized in the criminal code (Article 308).

References:
The Law on Combating Corruption of 6 March, 2003, #51, Article 6

Criminal Code, Article 308

The Law on Combating Corruption, Article 13

YES: A YES score is earned if using public resources for private gain is illegal.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70g. In law, using confidential state information for private gain is illegal.

YES | NO

Comments:
Misusing any information received during execution of official duties is illegal.

References:
The Law on Combating Corruption, Article 13

YES: A YES score is earned if using confidential state information for private gain is illegal.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70h. In law, money laundering is illegal.
YES | NO

Comments:
The law provoked discussions because of an article in the Criminal Code on legalization of property gained through criminal activities (Article 183).

References:
The Law on Counteracting Terrorism Financing and Money Laundering of November 8, 2006

YES: A YES score is earned if money laundering is illegal. Money laundering is defined as concealing the origin of funds to hide wrongdoing or avoid confiscation.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

70i. In law, conspiracy to commit a crime (i.e. organized crime) is illegal.

YES | NO

Comments:
Organized crime is defined in Part 4 of Article 31 of the Criminal Code. An organized criminal group is defined as a stable group of people aimed at committing a crime. The participation of an organized criminal group is considered an aggravating circumstance in the commission of any crime.

References:
The Criminal Code, Article 31

YES: A YES score is earned if organized crime is illegal.

NO: A NO score is earned if this is not illegal.

VI-2. Anti-Corruption Agency

71. In law, is there an agency (or group of agencies) with a legal mandate to address corruption?
71. In law, is there an agency (or group of agencies) with a legal mandate to address corruption?

**YES | NO**

**Comments:**
Although the agency formally has been in effect since 2005, the fact that the president has established it undermines its activities. The agency would be much more powerful if its statute had been adopted through a law made by the parliament.

**References:**
National Agency on Preventing Corruption, Created by the President's Decree of Oct. 21, 2005, #476

**YES:** A YES score is earned if an agency is specifically mandated to address corruption. A YES score is earned if there are several agencies or entities with specific roles in fighting corruption, including special prosecutorial entities.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if no agency (or group of agencies/entities) is specifically mandated to prevent or prosecute corruption.

72. Is the anti-corruption agency effective?

39

72a. In law, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) is protected from political interference.

**YES | NO**

**Comments:**
The decree created a monitoring body for the national agency on preventing corruption.

**References:**
President’s Decree of Oct. 21, 2005

**YES:** A YES score is earned only if the agency (or agencies) has some formal organizational or operational independence from the government. A YES score is earned even if the agency/agencies is legally separate but in practice staffed by partisans.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if the agency (or agencies) is a subordinate part of any government ministry or agency, such as the Department of Interior or the Justice Department, in such a way that limits its operational independence.

72b. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) is protected from political interference.
References:

**100:** This agency (or agencies) operates independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable judgments in politically sensitive cases. Investigations can operate without hindrance from the government, including access to politically sensitive information.

**75:**

**50:** This agency (or agencies) is typically independent, yet is sometimes influenced in its work by negative or positive political incentives. This may include favorable or unfavorable public criticism by the government, political appointments, or other forms of influence. The agency (or agencies) may not be provided with some information needed to carry out its investigations.

**25:**

**0:** This agency (or agencies) is commonly influenced by political or personal incentives. These may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include threats, harassment or other abuses of power. The agency (or agencies) cannot compel the government to reveal sensitive information.

72c. In practice, the head of the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) is protected from removal without relevant justification.

References:
President’s orders on removal and assignment of the Commissioner of the National Agency on Prevention of Corruption.

**100:** The director(s) cannot be removed without a significant justification through a formal process, such as impeachment for abuse of power.

**75:**

**50:** The director(s) can in some cases be removed through a combination of official or unofficial pressure.

**25:**

**0:** The director(s) can be removed at the will of political leadership.

72d. In practice, appointments to the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) are based on professional criteria.
**Comments:**
The Civil Service Agency revealed that NAPC often makes appointments without a competitive process. Thus, in April 2007, two vacancies in the NAPC were filled without competition; in May, there were seven vacancies filled in this manner; in June, there were two filled; only one civil servant passed the competition.

**References:**
Monthly Reports of the Civil Service Agency on implementation of Civil service law for April, May, June, 2007, www.csa.gov.kg

**100:** Appointments to the agency (or agencies) are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest arising from personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.

**75:**

**50:** Appointments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties, however.

**25:**

**0:** Appointments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest arising from personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

72e. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) has a professional, full-time staff.

**References:**


**100:** The agency (or agencies) has staff sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

**75:**

**50:** The agency (or agencies) has limited staff, or staff without necessary qualifications to fulfill its basic mandate.

**25:**

**0:** The agency (or agencies) has no staff, or a limited staff, that is clearly unqualified to fulfill its mandate.
72f. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) receives regular funding.

**Comments:**
The agency had problems with financing at the very beginning, but now it has been resolved. Although the journalist says that this was achieved by the new commissioner, in fact, any new agency faces the same problem with financing.

**References:**
Slovo Kyrgyzstana Newspaper, Ludmila Pavlovich, Aug. 23, 2007

100: The agency (or agencies) has a predictable source of funding that is fairly consistent from year to year. Political considerations are not a major factor in determining agency funding.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) has a regular source of funding, but may be pressured by cuts, or threats of cuts to the agency budget. Political considerations have an effect on agency funding.

25:

0: The agency's funding sources are unreliable. Funding may be removed arbitrarily or as retaliation for agency actions.

72g. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) makes regular public reports.

**Comments:**
The agency publishes reports from time to time in the newspapers and has no any communication strategy. There are some reports published in the mass media, but these appear only when the agency has some public event.

**References:**
Report of Monitoring of State Strategy on Combating Corruption, September 2007, Bishkek

100: The agency (or agencies) makes regular, publicly available, substantial reports to the legislature and/or to the public directly outlining the full scope of its work.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) makes publicly available reports to the legislature that are sometimes delayed or incomplete.

25:

0: The agency (or agencies) makes no reports of its activities, or makes reports that are consistently out of date, unavailable to the public, or insubstantial.
72h. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) has sufficient powers to carry out its mandate.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

Comments:
Only 5 out of 19 state agencies responsible for anti-corruption activities fulfill their tasks. The Anti-corruption Agency has to monitor them, but the agency has no leverage.

References:
Slovo Kyrgyzstana Newspaper, Aug. 23, 2007

100: The agency (or agencies) has powers to gather information, including politically sensitive information. The agency (or agencies) can question suspects, order arrests and bring suspects to trial (or rely on related agencies or law enforcement authorities to perform such functions).

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) has most of the powers needed to carry out its mandate with some exceptions.

25:

0: The agency (or agencies) lacks significant powers which limit its effectiveness.

72i. In practice, when necessary, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) independently initiates investigations.

100  |  75  |  50  |  25  |  0

Comments:
The Agency has no investigative power. Usually, the agency turns the investigation over to the prosecutor’s office.

References:

Tazar News Agency, interview with the press secretary of the agency, www.tazar.kg

100: When irregularities are discovered, the agency (or agencies) is aggressive in investigating the government or in cooperating with other investigative agencies.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) starts investigations, but is limited in its effectiveness or is reluctant to cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency (or agencies) may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.
The agency (or agencies) does not effectively investigate or does not cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency (or agencies) may start investigations but not complete them, or may fail to detect offenders. The agency (or agencies) may be partisan in its application of power.

73. Can citizens access the anti-corruption agency?

50

73a. In practice, the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) acts on complaints within a reasonable time period.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
The number of complaints increased two times (154 for the first half of 2007).

References:
Tazar News Agency, interview of the press secretary of the agency, www.tazar.kg

100: The agency (or agencies) acts on complaints quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, complaints are acknowledged promptly and investigations into serious abuses move steadily towards resolution. Citizens with simple issues can expect a resolution within a month.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) acts on complaints quickly, with some exceptions. Some complaints may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.

25:

0: The agency (or agencies) cannot resolve complaints quickly. Complaints may be unacknowledged for more than a month, and simple issues may take more than three months to resolve. Serious abuses are not investigated with any urgency.

73b. In practice, citizens can complain to the anti-corruption agency (or agencies) without fear of recrimination.

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

Comments:
Anonymous calls and complaints to the agency are increasing because the agency has no means of protecting whistle-blowers.

References:
Tazar News Agency, interview with the press secretary
Whistleblowers can report abuses of power without fear of negative consequences. This may be due to robust mechanisms to protect the identity of whistleblowers, or may be due to a culture that encourages disclosure and accountability.

Whistleblowers are sometimes able to come forward without negative consequences, but in other cases, whistleblowers are punished for disclosing, either through official or unofficial means.

Whistleblowers often face substantial negative consequences, such as losing a job, relocating to a less prominent position, or some form of harassment.

VI-3. Rule of Law

74. Is there an appeals mechanism for challenging criminal judgments?

67

74a. In law, there is a general right of appeal.

YES | NO

References:
The Constitution, Articles 14, 15

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process of appeal for challenging criminal judgments.
NO: A NO score is earned if there is no such process.

74b. In practice, appeals are resolved within a reasonable time period.

Comments:
Appeals are generally acted upon quickly but with some exceptions. Some appeals may not be acknowledged, and simple cases may take years to resolve.
References:
Confidential source (a lawyer)

100: Appeals are acted upon quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, appeals are acknowledged promptly and cases move steadily towards resolution.

75:

50: Appeals are generally acted upon quickly but with some exceptions. Some appeals may not be acknowledged, and simple cases may take years to resolve.

25:

0: Most appeals are not resolved in a timely fashion. Appeals may go unacknowledged for months or years and simple cases may never be resolved.

74c. In practice, citizens can use the appeals mechanism at a reasonable cost.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The appeals mechanism is not an affordable option to middle-class citizens seeking to challenge criminal judgments.

References:
Confidential source (a lawyer)

100: In most cases, the appeals mechanism is an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to challenge criminal judgments.

75:

50: In some cases, the appeals mechanism is not an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to challenge criminal judgments.

25:

0: The prohibitive cost of utilizing the appeals mechanism prevents middle class citizens from challenging criminal judgments.

75. In practice, do judgments in the criminal system follow written law?

25
Comments:
Judgments made by the criminal system are influenced by the government and sometimes by organized crime.

References:
Millennium Challenge Account Threshold Plan, May 15, 2006
Information Agency 24.kg, May 11, 2006

100: Judgments in the criminal system are made according to established legal code and conduct. There are no exceptional cases in which individuals are treated by a separate process. Political interference, bribery, cronyism or other flaws are rarely factors in judicial outcomes.

75:

50: Judgments in the criminal system usually follow the protocols of written law. There are sometimes exceptions when political concerns, corruption or other flaws in the system decide outcomes.

25:

0: Judgments in the criminal system are often decided by factors other than written law. Bribery and corruption in the criminal judicial process are common elements affecting decisions.

76. In practice, are judicial decisions enforced by the state?

50

Comments:
According to government's self-assessment, in practice judgments are enforced without the involvement of the courts. Enforcement officials often perform their duties improperly and overstep their authority. In addition, citizens are not subject to penalties for failing to comply with the demands of enforcement officials. Enforcement of judgments is delayed.

References:
Kyrgyzstan Millennium Challenge Account Threshold Country Plan, May 15, 2006

100: Judicial decisions are enforced quickly regardless of what is being decided or who is appearing before the court. Failure to comply brings penalties enforced by the state.

75:

50: Judicial decisions are generally enforced by the state, with some exceptions. Certain areas of law may be ignored, or certain parties appearing before the courts may evade or delay enforcement.
Judicial decisions are often ignored. The state lacks the will or capacity to consistently enforce these decisions.

77. Is the judiciary able to act independently?

56

77a. In law, the independence of the judiciary is guaranteed.

YES | NO

References:
The Constitution, Article 83

YES: A YES score is earned if there are formal rules establishing that the judiciary is independent from political interference by the executive and legislative branches. Independence include financial issues (drafting, allocation, and managing the budget of the courts).

NO: A NO score is earned if there are no formal rules establishing an independent judiciary.

77b. In practice, national-level judges are protected from political interference.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
The nature of the appointment process and the ever-present possibility of removal leave judges susceptible to pressures from both inside and outside the judicial system. Judges feel compelled to take into account the opinions and positions of judges of higher courts, since their record of reversals is given considerable weight during the review process. There is a widespread perception that telephone justice (i.e., the transmission of instructions to the judge by judicial and political superiors) and corruption are frequent occurrences during adjudication.

References:
Kyrgyzstan Millennium Challenge Account Threshold Country Plan, May 15, 2006

100: National level judges operate independently of the political process, without incentive or pressure to render favorable judgments in politically sensitive cases. Judges never comment on political debates. Individual judgments are rarely praised or criticized by political figures.

75:

50: National level judges are typically independent, yet are sometimes influenced in their judgments by negative or positive political incentives. This may include favorable or unfavorable treatment by the government or public criticism. Some judges may be demoted or relocated in retaliation for unfavorable decisions.
National level judges are commonly influenced by politics and personal biases or incentives. This may include conflicting family relationships, professional partnerships, or other personal loyalties. Negative incentives may include demotion, pay cuts, relocation, threats or harassment.

77c. In law, there is a transparent and objective system for distributing cases to national-level judges.

YES | NO

Comments:
The chairmen and the deputy chairman of the Supreme Court distribute cases among judges according to the law. The process is rather subjective, since there is no legal procedure for it.

References:
The Law on Supreme Court, Articles 20, 21

YES: A YES score is earned if there is an objective system that is transparent to the public that equitably or randomly assigns cases to individual judges. The executive branch does not control this process.

NO: A NO score is earned if the case assignment system is non-transparent or subjective where judges themselves have influence over which cases they adjudicate. A NO score is also earned if the executive branch controls this process.

77d. In law, national-level judges are protected from removal without relevant justification.

YES | NO

References:
The Constitution, Article 83

YES: A YES score is earned if there are specific, formal rules for removal of a justice. Removal must be related to abuse of power or other offenses related to job performance.

NO: A NO score is earned if justices can be removed without justification, or for purely political reasons. A NO score is earned if the removal process is not transparent, or not based on written rules.

78. Are judges safe when adjudicating corruption cases?

100

78a. In practice, in the last year, no judges have been physically harmed because of adjudicating corruption cases.
Comments:
There are no documented cases of judges being assaulted. However, security at the courthouses is very weak.

References:
American Bar Association, ABA/CEEL, Judicial Reform Index, 2004
Confidential source.

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of judges being assaulted because of their involvement in a corruption case during the specific study period. YES is a positive score.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases of assault to a judge related to his/her participation in a corruption trial. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

78b. In practice, in the last year, no judges have been killed because of adjudicating corruption cases.

References:
Confidential source.

YES: A YES score is earned if there were no documented cases of judges being killed related to their involvement in a corruption case during the study period. YES is a positive score.

NO: A NO score is earned if there were any documented cases where a judge was killed because of his/her participation in a corruption trial. The relationship between a mysterious death and a judge's involvement in a case may not be clear, however the burden of proof here is low. If it is a reasonable assumption that a judge was killed in relation to his or her work on corruption issues, then the indicator is scored as a NO. Corruption is defined broadly to include any abuses of power, not just the passing of bribes.

79. Do citizens have equal access to the justice system?

YES | NO

79a. In practice, judicial decisions are not affected by racial or ethnic bias.
Comments:
92 percent of judges are Kyrgyz, although Kyrgyz represents 67 percent of all population in the country. Therefore, unofficially, some exceptions might be made in terms of ethnic bias.

References:
American Bar Association, ABA/CEELI, Judicial Reform Index, 2004

100: Judicial decisions are not affected by racial or ethnic bias.

75:

50: Judicial decisions are generally not affected by racial or ethnic bias, with some exceptions. Some groups may be occasionally discriminated against, or some groups may occasionally receive favorable treatment.

25:

0: Judicial decisions are regularly distorted by racial or ethnic bias. Some groups consistently receive favorable or unfavorable treatment by the courts.

79b. In practice, women have full access to the judicial system.

Comments:
There was no documented evidence or studies on the unwillingness of women to appeal to a court. The problem is that women are not allowed to go to court in cases of bride-kidnapping or home violence. According to Human Rights Watch: Prosecutions for the crime of bride-kidnapping are extremely rare, and experts were unable to name a single case of abduction that had gone to trial."

References:
Human Rights Watch, Reconciled to Violence. State Failure to Stop Domestic Abuse and Abduction of Women in Kyrgyzstan, Sept. 2006

100: Women enjoy full and equal status in the eyes of the courts. There are no exceptions or practices in which women are treated differently by the judicial system. For this indicator, discrimination against women should reflect specific biases that confront women in the justice system as opposed to difficulties resulting from broader socio-economic disadvantages or discrimination against women.

75:

50: Women generally have use of the judicial system, with some exceptions. In some cases, women may be limited in their access to courts, or gender biases may affect court outcomes. For this indicator, discrimination against women should reflect specific biases that confront women in the justice system as opposed to difficulties resulting from broader socio-economic disadvantages or discrimination against women.

25:
0: Women generally have less access to the courts than men. Court decisions are commonly distorted by gender bias. Women may have to go through intermediaries to interact with the court, or are unable to present evidence. For this indicator, discrimination against women should reflect specific biases that confront women in the justice system as opposed to difficulties resulting from broader socio-economic disadvantages or discrimination against women.

79c. In law, the state provides legal counsel for defendants in criminal cases who cannot afford it.

YES | NO

Comments:
According to the law, the state provides legal aid and protection free of charge if the citizen has no means to pay for it.

References:

YES: A YES score is earned if the government is required by law to provide impoverished defendants with legal counsel to defend themselves against criminal charges.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no legal requirement for the government to provide impoverished defendants with legal counsel to defend themselves against criminal charges.

79d. In practice, the state provides adequate legal counsel for defendants in criminal cases who cannot afford it.

100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0

Comments:
Lawyers usually serve as public defenders by rotation. Of course, because the job is mandatory, they have no incentive to provide quality defense.

References:
Confidential source.

100: State-provided legal aid is basic, but well-trained and effective in representing the rights of impoverished defendants.

75:

50: State-provided legal aid is available, but flawed. Legal aid may be unavailable to some impoverished defendants. Legal aid/public defenders may be sometimes unable or unwilling to competently represent all defendants.

25:

0: State-provided legal aid is unavailable to most impoverished defendants. State legal aid/public defenders may be consistently incompetent or unwilling to fairly represent all defendants.
79e. In practice, citizens earning the median yearly income can afford to bring a legal suit.

References:
Confidential source

100: In most cases, the legal system is an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to redress a grievance.

75:

50: In some cases, the legal system is an affordable option to middle class citizens seeking to redress a grievance. In other cases, the cost is prohibitive.

25:

0: The cost of engaging the legal system prevents middle class citizens from filing suits.

79f. In practice, a typical small retail business can afford to bring a legal suit.

References:
Confidential source

100: In most cases, the legal system is an affordable option to a small retail business seeking to redress a grievance.

75:

50: In some cases, the legal system is an affordable option to a small retail business seeking to redress a grievance. In other cases, the cost is prohibitive.

25:

0: The cost of engaging the legal system prevents small businesses from filing suits.

79g. In practice, all citizens have access to a court of law, regardless of geographic location.
Comments:
Rural courthouses are sometimes more accessible than those in the in capital city of Bishkek. Judges in Bishkek hear up to 350 cases per year (four to five cases each day) while in more remote regions, a judge may need to hear only about 60 to 70 cases per year.

References:
American Bar Association, ABA/CEELI, Judicial Reform Index, 2004

100: Courtrooms are always accessible to citizens at low cost, either through rural courthouses or through a system of traveling magistrates.

75:

50: Courts are available to most citizens. Some citizens may be unable to reach a courtroom at low cost due to location.

25:

0: Courts are unavailable to some regions without significant travel on the part of citizens.

VI-4. Law Enforcement

80. Is the law enforcement agency (i.e. the police) effective?

33

80a. In practice, appointments to the law enforcement agency (or agencies) are made according to professional criteria.

Comments:
According to ABA ROLI: Nearly all prosecutors are appointed and promoted via an attestation committee. Although well regarded by prosecutors, most other observers feel that the decisions of the committee are corrupt and not based on the abilities and reputations of applicants."

This is true for the prosecutor's office, which is supposed to be an elite of law enforcement agencies.

References:
100: Appointments to the agency (or agencies) are made based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed are free of conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed usually do not have clear political party affiliations.

75:

50: Appointments are usually based on professional qualifications. Individuals appointed may have clear party loyalties, however.

25:

0: Appointments are often based on political considerations. Individuals appointed often have conflicts of interest due to personal loyalties, family connections or other biases. Individuals appointed often have clear party loyalties.

80b. In practice, the law enforcement agency (or agencies) has a budget sufficient to carry out its mandate.

Comments:
Funding levels do not sufficiently support the prosecution function in the areas of information technology, office automation, facility maintenance, and supplies.

References:
American Bar Association, Prosecutorial Reform Index for Kyrgyzstan, March 2007

100: The agency (or agencies) has a budget sufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

75:

50: The agency (or agencies) has limited budget, generally considered somewhat insufficient to fulfill its basic mandate.

25:

0: The agency (or agencies) has no budget or an obviously insufficient budget that hinders the agency's ability to fulfill its mandate.

80c. In practice, the law enforcement agency is protected from political interference.

Comments:
A former Prosecutor General Azimbek Beknazarov clearly stated that appointment of the Prosecutor General and heads of police depends on the political situation.

Second source: According to ABA ROLI Research, the Procuracy is publicly perceived as being subject to substantial, improper interference from prosecutorial and non-prosecutorial authorities alike, despite legal prohibitions against such interference.
81. Can law enforcement officials be held accountable for their actions?

71

81a. In law, there is an independent mechanism for citizens to complain about police action.

YES | NO

Comments:
First source: The ombudsman is an independent agency for complaints about police actions.
Second source: From the government side, citizens also can complain to the president through this commission.

References:
The Presidents Decree on the Commission of Human Rights, f 5 July, 1997, N 204

YES: A YES score is earned if there is a formal process or mechanism by which citizens can complain about police actions. A YES score is earned if a broader mechanism such as the national ombudsman, human rights commission, or anti-corruption agency has jurisdiction over the police.

NO: A NO score is earned if there is no such mechanism

81b. In practice, the independent law enforcement complaint reporting mechanism responds to citizen's complaints within a reasonable time period.
**Comments:**
The ombudsman can only recommend actions, so his reports might be ignored. The ombudsman may not have enough time and staff to consider complaints and grievances in a timely fashion.

**References:**
The Special Report of the Ombudsman on Facts of Hindrance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>The agency/entity responds to complaints quickly. While some backlog is expected and inevitable, complaints are acknowledged promptly and investigations into serious abuses move steadily towards resolution. Citizens with simple issues can expect a resolution within a month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>The agency/entity responds to complaints quickly, with some exceptions. Some complaints may not be acknowledged, and simple issues may take more than two months to resolve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>The agency/entity cannot resolve complaints quickly. Complaints may be unacknowledged for more than a month, and simple issues may take three to six months to resolve. Serious abuses are not investigated with any urgency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

81c. In law, there is an agency/entity to investigate and prosecute corruption committed by law enforcement officials.

**YES** | **NO**

**Comments:**
The Prosecutor’s Office, the Ministry of Internal Affairs, the Finance Police and the Custom Service can investigate cases related to malfeasance. Every law enforcement agency has an internal investigations unit, which deals with corruption and other malfeasances of its staff. Usually these types of units are subordinate to the head of an agency.

**References:**
Criminal Procedure Code 30, June 1999, N 63, Article 63

**YES:** A YES score is earned if there is an agency/entity specifically mandated to investigate corruption-related activity within law enforcement. This agency/entity may be internal to the police department (provided it has a degree of independence, such as an internal affairs unit) or part of a broader national mechanism such as the national ombudsman, human rights commission, or anti-corruption agency.

**NO:** A NO score is earned if no such agency/entity exists.

81d. In practice, when necessary, the agency/entity independently initiates investigations into allegations of corruption by law enforcement officials.
Comments:
The Agency received 154 complaints of citizens from January 1 until August 16, 2007, but it has no authority to investigate them. The Agency makes referrals to the Prosecutor’s Office.

But the Prosecutor’s Office, the Ministry of Internal Affairs, the National Security Services and the Finance Police brought to trial 103 cases related to bribery last year and 44 of them were dismissed. In 2005-2006 a total of only three Article 303 of the Criminal Code (Corruption) cases were sent to trial, and all but one were dismissed.

References:

American Bar Association, Prosecutorial Reform Index for Kyrgyzstan, March 2007

| 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 | 0 |

100: When irregularities are discovered, the agency/entity is aggressive in investigating government law enforcement officials or in cooperating with other investigative agencies.

75:

50: The agency/entity starts investigations, but is limited in its effectiveness or is reluctant to cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency/entity may be slow to act, unwilling to take on politically powerful offenders, or occasionally unable to enforce its judgments.

25:

0: The agency/entity does not effectively investigate or does not cooperate with other investigative agencies. The agency may start investigations but not complete them, or may fail to detect offenders. The agency may be partisan in its application of power.

81e. In law, law enforcement officials are not immune from criminal proceedings.

YES | NO

Comments:
Law enforcement officials are responsible for their possible violations of laws.

References:
Law on Organs of Internal Affairs of 11 January, 1994, N 1360-XII, Article 11

YES: A YES score is earned if law enforcement officers are fully accountable for their actions under the law and can be investigated and prosecuted for their actions.

NO: A NO score is earned if law enforcement enjoys any special protection from criminal investigation or prosecution.
In practice, law enforcement officials are not immune from criminal proceedings.

Comments:
On October 23, 2007, the Bishkek Military Court announced its decision on a case of high police officials who allegedly took part in a massacre in the remote Akse District on March 17, 2002, where 6 civilians were killed and more than 70 injured during a demonstration to support their deputy in parliament. Police officers who were held responsible for this action mostly have been justified or have received short terms.

One of the greatest challenges to the criminal justice system is police brutality and misconduct. Police beatings of suspects are considered routine. At the same time there are a few cases where police officers were subjected to criminal proceedings.

References:
American Bar Association, Prosecutorial Reform Index for Kyrgyzstan, March 2007

100: Law enforcement officers are subject to criminal investigation for official misconduct. No crimes are exempt from prosecution.

75:

50: Law enforcement is generally subject to criminal investigation but exceptions may exist where criminal actions are overlooked by the police or prosecutors. Some crimes may be exempt from prosecution, such as actions taken in the line of duty.

25:

0: Law enforcement enjoys a general protection from most criminal investigation. This may be due to a formal immunity or an informal understanding that the law enforcement community protects itself.